

# The Multi Ideal Convergence of Difference Strongly of $\chi^2$ in P-Metric Spaces Defined by Modulus

C. Murugesan<sup>1</sup> & N. Subramanian<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, SATHYABAMA University, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University, India

Correspondence: Dr. N.Subramanian, Department of Mathematics, SASTRA, Thanjavur-613 401, India. E-mail: prof.murugesanc@gmail.com/nsmaths@yahoo.com

Received: August 12, 2015 Accepted: October 13, 2015 Online Published: December 22, 2015

doi:10.5539/mas.v10n1p65 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/mas.v10n1p65

## Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce multi and study a new concept of the  $\chi^2$  space via ideal convergence of difference operator defined by modulus. Some topological properties of the resulting sequence spaces are also examined.

**Keywords:** analytic sequence, modulus function, double sequences,  $\chi^2$  space, p-metric space, multi ideal

## 1. Introduction

Throughout  $w$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\Lambda$  denote the classes of all, gai and analytic scalar valued single sequences, respectively. We write  $w^2$  for the set of all complex double sequences  $(x_{mn})$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of positive integers. Then,  $w^2$  is a linear space under the coordinate wise addition and scalar multiplication.

Let  $(x_{mn})$  be a double sequence of real or complex numbers. Then the series  $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$  is called a double series. The double series  $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$  give one space is said to be convergent if and only if the double sequence  $(S_{mn})$  is convergent, where

$$S_{mn} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_{ij} \quad (m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  is said to be double analytic if

$$\sup_{m,n} |x_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} < \infty.$$

The vector space of all double analytic sequences are usually denoted by  $\Lambda^2$ . A sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  is called double entire sequence if

$$|x_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The vector space of all double entire sequences are usually denoted by  $\Gamma^2$ . Let the set of sequences with this property be denoted by  $\Lambda^2$  and  $\Gamma^2$  is a metric space with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{m,n} \{ |x_{mn} - y_{mn}|^{\frac{1}{m+n}} : m, n : 1, 2, 3, \dots \}, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x = \{x_{mn}\}$  and  $y = \{y_{mn}\}$  in  $\Gamma^2$ . Let  $\phi = \{\text{finite sequences}\}$ .

Consider a double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$ . The  $(m, n)^{\text{th}}$  section  $x^{[m,n]}$  of the sequence is defined by

$$x^{[m,n]} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m,n} x_{ij} \delta_{ij}$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\delta_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

with 1 in the  $(m, n)^{\text{th}}$  position and zero otherwise.

An Orlicz function is a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(x) > 0$ , for  $x > 0$  and  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If convexity of Orlicz function  $f$  is replaced by  $f(x+y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ , then this function is called modulus function. An modulus function  $f$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta^2$ - condition for all values  $u$ , if there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $f(2u) \leq Kf(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ .

**Remark 1.1** An Modulus function satisfies the inequality  $f(\lambda x) \leq \lambda f(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

**Lemma 1.2** Let  $f$  be an modulus function which satisfies  $\Delta^2$ -condition and let  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then for each  $t \geq \delta$ , we have  $f(t) < K\delta^{-1}f(2)$  for some constant  $K > 0$ .

Let  $M$  and  $\Phi$  be mutually complementary modulus functions. Then, we have

(i) For all  $u, y \geq 0, uy \leq M(u) + \Phi(y)$ , (Young’s inequality) (Kamthan & Gupta, 1981). (1.2)

(ii) For all  $u \geq 0, u\eta(u) = M(u) + \Phi(\eta(u))$ . (1.3)

(iii) For all  $u \geq 0$ , and  $0 < \lambda < 1, M(\lambda u) \leq \lambda M(u)$ . (1.4)

Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L. (1971), used the idea of Orlicz function to construct Orlicz sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$

The space  $\ell_M$  with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For  $M(t) = t^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), the spaces  $\ell_M$  coincide with the classical sequence space  $\ell_p$ .

A sequence  $f = (f_{mn})$  of modulus function is called a Musielak-modulus function. A sequence  $g = (g_{mn})$  defined by

$$g_{mn}(v) = \sup \{ |v|u - f_{mn}(u) : u \geq 0 \}, m, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-modulus function  $f$ . For a given Musielak modulus function  $f$ , the Musielak-modulus sequence space  $t_f$  is defined by

$$t_f = \{ x \in w^3 : M_f(|x_{mnk}|)^{1/m+n+k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n, k \rightarrow \infty \},$$

where  $M_f$  is a convex modular defined by

$$M_f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{mnk}(|x_{mnk}|)^{1/m+n+k}, \quad x = (x_{mnk}) \in t_f.$$

We consider  $t_f$  equipped with the Luxemburg metric space, (i.e.)

Let  $(X_i, d_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  be a family of metric spaces such that each two elements of the family are disjoint. Denote  $X : \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ . If we define

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} d_i(x, y), & \text{if } x, y \in X_i \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \in X_i, y \in X_j, i \neq j \end{cases}$$

then the pair  $(X, d)$  is a Luxemburg metric space. The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz (1981) as follows

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\},$$

for  $Z = c, c_0$  and  $\ell_\infty$ , where  $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Here  $c, c_0$  and  $\ell_\infty$  denote the classes of convergent, null and bounded scalar valued single sequences respectively. The difference sequence space  $bv_p$  of the classical space  $\ell_p$  is introduced and studied in the case  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  by Başar and Altay and in the case  $0 < p < 1$ . The spaces  $c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta), \ell_\infty(\Delta)$  and  $bv_p$  are Banach spaces normed by

$$\|x\| = |x_1| + \sup_{k \geq 1} |\Delta x_k| \text{ and } \|x\|_{bv_p} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

Later on the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following difference double sequence spaces defined by

$$Z(\Delta) = \{x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\},$$

where  $Z = \Lambda^2, \chi^2$  and  $\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{m+1n}) - (x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{m+1n} + x_{m+1n+1}$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The generalized difference double notion has the following representation:  $\Delta^m x_{mn} = \Delta^{m-1} x_{mn} - \Delta^{m-1} x_{m+1n} - \Delta^{m-1} x_{m+1n} + \Delta^{m-1} x_{m+1n+1}$ , and also this generalized difference double notion has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^m x_{mn} = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{i+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{m}{j} x_{m+i, n+j}.$$

### 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $\Delta^m X$  be a non empty set. A non-void class  $I \subseteq 2^{\Delta^m X}$  (power set, of  $\Delta^m X$ ) is called an ideal if  $I$  is additive (i.e  $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ ) and hereditary (i.e  $A \in I$  and  $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$ ). A non-empty family of sets  $F \subseteq 2^{\Delta^m X}$  is said to be a filter on  $\Delta^m X$  if  $\emptyset \notin F; A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$  and  $A \in F, A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in F$ . For each ideal  $I$  there is a filter  $F(I)$  given by  $F(I) = \{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus K \in I\}$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I \subseteq 2^{\Delta^m X}$  is called admissible if and only if  $\{\{x\} : x \in \Delta^m X\} \subset I$ .

A double sequence space  $E$  is said to be solid or normal if  $(\alpha_{mn} \Delta^m x_{mn}) \in E$ , whenever  $(\Delta^m x_{mn}) \in E$  and for all double sequences  $\alpha = (\alpha_{mn})$  of scalars with  $|\alpha_{mn}| \leq 1$ . for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  be a real vector space of dimension  $w$ , where  $n \leq w$ . A real valued function  $d_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \|(d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_p$  on  $X$  satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i)  $\|(d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_p = 0$  if and only if  $d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0)$  are linearly dependent,
- (ii)  $\|(d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_p$  is invariant under permutation,
- (iii)  $\|(\alpha d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_p = |\alpha| \|(d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_p, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- (iv)  $d_p((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \dots (x_n, y_n)) = (d_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^p + d_Y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^p)^{1/p}$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ; (or)
- (v)  $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots (x_n, y_n)) := \sup\{d_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)\}$ , for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$  is called the p-product metric of the Cartesian product of n-metric spaces is the p-norm of the n-vector of the norms of the n-sub spaces.

A trivial example of p-product metric of n-metric space is the p-norm space is  $X = \mathbb{R}$  equipped with the following Euclidean metric in the product space is the p-norm:

$$\|(d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0))\|_E = \sup(|\det(d_{mn}(x_{mn}, 0))|) =$$

$$\sup \left( \begin{vmatrix} d_{11}(x_{11}, 0) & d_{12}(x_{12}, 0) & \dots & d_{1n}(x_{1n}, 0) \\ d_{21}(x_{21}, 0) & d_{22}(x_{22}, 0) & \dots & d_{2n}(x_{2n}, 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1}(x_{n1}, 0) & d_{n2}(x_{n2}, 0) & \dots & d_{nn}(x_{nn}, 0) \end{vmatrix} \right)$$

where  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

If every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to some  $L \in X$ , then  $X$  is said to be complete with respect to the p-metric. Any complete p-metric space is said to be p-Banach metric space.

### 3. Main Results

In this section we introduce the notion of different types of I-convergent double sequences. This generalizes and

unifies different notions of convergence for  $\chi^2$ . We shall denote the ideal of  $2^{N \times N}$  by  $I_2$ .

Let  $I_2$  be an ideal of  $2^{N \times N}$ ,  $f$  be an modulus function. Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-negative integers and  $\mu = (\mu_{mn})$  be a sequence of non-zero reals. Then for a sequence  $\eta = (\eta_{mn})$  be a double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers and  $(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X, \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p)$  be an  $p$ -product of  $n$  metric spaces is the  $p$  norm of the

$n$ -vector of the norms of the  $n$  subspaces. Further  $\chi^2(p - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X)$  denotes  $\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X$ -valued sequence space.

Now, we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = x = (\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}) \in \chi^2(p - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in N \times N : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right|^{1/m+n}, (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right) \right]_p^{\eta_{mn}} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I_2,$$

for every  $d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \in \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X$ .

$$\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = x = (x_{mn}) \in \Lambda^2(p - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X) : \exists K > 0,$$

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in N \times N : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right|^{1/m+n}, (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0)) \right) \right]_p^{\eta_{mn}} \geq K \right\} \in I_2,$$

for every  $d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \in \Delta^m X$ .

$$\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^2 [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = x = (\Delta^m x_{mn}) \in \Lambda^2(p - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X) : \exists K > 0,$$

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in N \times N : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right|^{1/m+n}, (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0)) \right) \right]_p^{\eta_{mn}} \leq K \right\},$$

for every  $d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \in \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v X$ .

If  $\eta = \eta_{mn} = 1$  for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain

$$\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ],$$

$$\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = \Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ],$$

$$\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^n = \Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ].$$

The following well-known inequality will be used in this study:  $0 \leq \inf_{mn} \eta_{mn} = H_0 \leq \eta_{mn} \leq \sup_{mn} \eta_{mn} = H < \infty$ ,

$D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ , then

$$|x_{mn} + y_{mn}|^{\eta_{mn}} \leq D \{ |x_{mn}|^{\eta_{mn}} + |y_{mn}|^{\eta_{mn}} \}$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_{mn}, y_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also  $|x_{mn}|^{\eta_{mn}/m+n} \leq \max(1, |x_{mn}|^{H/m+n})$  for all  $x_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** The classes of sequences  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^{\eta_{mn}}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p ]^{\eta_{mn}}$

are linear spaces over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof:** Now we establish the result for the case  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \| (d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0)) \|_p ]^{\eta_{mn}}$  and the others can be proved

similarly. Let  $x, y \in \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \| (d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0)) \|_p ]^{\eta_{mn}}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2,$$

and

$$\left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2.$$

Since  $\| (d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0)) \|_p$  be an p-product of n metric spaces is the p norm of the n-vector of the norms of the n subspaces and f is an modulus function, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \frac{\left( \left| \alpha \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} + \beta \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}}{\left| \alpha \right|^{1/m+n} + \left| \beta \right|^{1/m+n}}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ \frac{\left| \alpha \right|^{1/m+n}}{\left| \alpha \right|^{1/m+n} + \left| \beta \right|^{1/m+n}} f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} + \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ \frac{\left| \beta \right|^{1/m+n}}{\left| \alpha \right|^{1/m+n} + \left| \beta \right|^{1/m+n}} f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} + \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \frac{\left( \left| \alpha \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} + \beta \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}}{\left| \alpha \right|^{1/m+n} + \left| \beta \right|^{1/m+n}}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v x_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2 \\ & \cup \left\{ (r, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( \left| \Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v y_{mn} \right| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2** The class of sequence  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu, \nu)}^v}^{2I_2} [ \| (d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_n, 0)) \|_p ]^{\eta}$  is a paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$gr_s(x) = \inf \left\{ \left( \sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1 \right\},$$

for every  $d_1(x_1, 0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1}, 0) \in X$ .

**Proof:**  $g_{rs}(\theta) = 0$  and  $g_{rs}(-x) = g_{rs}(x)$  are easy to prove, so we omit them.

Let us take  $x, y \in \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v} \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}}$ .

Let

$$gr_s(x) = \inf \left\{ \sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1, \forall x \right\},$$

and

$$gr_s(y) = \inf \left\{ \sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1, \forall y \right\},$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} + \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq$$

$$\sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} +$$

$$\sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}}.$$

Thus

$$\sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} + \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1$$

and  $g_{rs}(x + y) = g_{rs}(x) + g_{rs}(y)$ .

Now, let  $\lambda_{mn}^u \rightarrow \lambda$ , where  $\lambda_{mn}^u, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $g_{rs}(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . We have to prove that  $g_{rs}(\lambda_{mn}^u \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$gr_s(x^u) = \left\{ \sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1, \forall x \in X \right\}$$

and

$$gr_s(x^u - x) = \left\{ \sup_{rs} \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1, \right\}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . We observe that

$$f \left( \left\| \left( \frac{|\lambda_{mn}^u \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|^{1/m+n} + |\lambda|^{1/m+n}} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f \left( \left\| \left( \frac{|\lambda_{mn}^u x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|^{1/m+n} + |\lambda|^{1/m+n}} , d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right) \right\|_p \right) + \\
 & f \left( \left\| \left( \frac{|\lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|^{1/m+n} + |\lambda|^{1/m+n}} , d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right) \right\|_p \right) \leq \\
 & \frac{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda| + |\lambda|} f \left( \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u|^{1/m+n} , d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right) \right\|_p \right) + \\
 & \frac{|\lambda|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda| + |\lambda|} f \left( \left\| \left( |\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u|^{1/m+n} , d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right) \right\|_p \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality, it follows that

$$f \left( \left\| \left( \frac{|\lambda_{mn}^u \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta^2 x_{mn}^u|}{|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|^{1/m+n} + |\lambda|^{1/m+n}} , d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right) \right\|_p \right)^{\eta_{mn}} \leq 1$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g_{rs}(\lambda_{mn}^u \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \lambda \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u) \leq (|\lambda_{mn}^u - \lambda|)^{\frac{\eta_{mn}}{H}} \inf \{ g_{rs}(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u) \} + \\
 & (|\lambda|)^{\frac{\eta_{mn}}{H}} \inf \{ g_{rs}(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - x) \} \leq \max \left\{ |\lambda|, (|\lambda|)^{\frac{\eta_{mn}}{H}} \right\} g_{rs}(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}^u - \Delta^m x_{mn}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by our assumption the right hand side tends to zero as  $u, m$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3(i)** If  $0 < \inf_{mn} \eta_{mn} = H_0 \leq \eta_{mn} < 1$ , then

$$\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^n \subset \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right].$$

(ii) If  $1 \leq \eta_{mn} \leq \sup_{mn} \eta_{mn} = H < \infty$ , then

$$\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^n \subset \chi_f^{2I_2} \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^n.$$

(iii) If  $0 < \eta_{mn} < \mu_{mn} < \infty$  and  $\left\{ \frac{\mu_{mn}}{\eta_{mn}} \right\}$  is double analytic, then

$$\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^n \subset \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^\mu.$$

**Proof:** The proof can be established using standard technique.

The following result is well known.

**Lemma 3.4** If a sequence space  $E$  is solid, then it is monotone.

**Theorem 3.5** The class of sequence  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v}^{2I_2} f \left[ \left\| (d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)) \right\|_p \right]^n$  is not solid and hence not monotone.

**Proof:** It is routine verification. Therefore we omit the proof.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $f, f_1$  and  $f_2$  be modulus functions. Then we have

- (i)  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n \subset \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n$
- (ii)  $\chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n \cap \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_2}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n \subset \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1 + \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_2}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n$

**Proof:** (i) Let  $\inf_{m,n} \eta_{mn} = H_0$ . For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we first choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\max\{\varepsilon_0^H, \varepsilon_0^{H_0}\} < \varepsilon$ . Now using the continuity of  $f$ , choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $0 < t < \delta$  implies  $f(t) < \varepsilon_0$ .

$$\text{Let } \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x \in \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n$$

We observe that

$$A(\delta) = \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} \geq \delta^H \right\} \in I_2.$$

Thus if  $(r, s) \notin A(\delta)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} < \delta^H \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} < rs\delta^H, \\ \Rightarrow & \left[ f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} < \delta^H, \text{ for all } m, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \Rightarrow & f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) < \delta, \text{ for all } m, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence from above inequality and using continuity of  $f$ , we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & f \left( f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right) < \varepsilon_0, \text{ for all } m, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ & \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} < rs \max\{\varepsilon_0^H, \varepsilon_0^{H_0}\} < rs \varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f \left( f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right) \right]^{n_{mn}} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset A(\delta) \in I_2.$$

- (ii) Let  $x \in \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_1}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n \cap \chi_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f_2}^{2l_2} [\|(d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0))\|_p]^n$ .

Then the fact that



$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ (f_1 + f_2) \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \leq \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{\eta_{mn}} + \\ & \frac{D}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_2 \left( \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right) \right]^{\eta_{mn}} . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.7** The class of sequence  $\Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f}^{2I_2} [\|d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)\|_p]^n$  is a sequence algebra

**Proof:** Let  $(\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn}), (\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn}) \in \Lambda_{\Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v, f}^{2I_2} [\|d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_n,0)\|_p]^n$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Then the result follows from the following inclusion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \otimes \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} \right\} \in I_2 \\ & \supseteq \left\{ \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v x_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} < \varepsilon \right\} \in \right\} \\ & \cap \left\{ \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{rs} \sum_{m=1}^r \sum_{n=1}^s \left[ f_1 \left\| \left( \Delta_{(\mu,u)}^v y_{mn} \right)^{1/m+n}, d_1(x_1,0), \dots, d_n(x_{n-1},0) \right\|_p \right]^{\eta_{mn}} < \varepsilon \right\} \in I_2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove the result for other cases.

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this research paper.

**References**

Altay, J., & Başar, F. (2005). Some new spaces of double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 309(1), 70-90.

Başar, F., & Sever, Y. (2009). The space  $\mathcal{L}_p$  of double sequences. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*, 51, 149-157.

Basarir, M., & Solancan, O. (1999). On some double sequence spaces. *Journal of Indian Academy Mathematics*, 21(2), 193-200.

Bromwich, T. J. I'A. (1965). An introduction to the theory of infinite series. Macmillan and Co. Ltd. New York.

Connor, J. (1989). On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 32(2), 194-198.

Gökhan, A., & Çolak, R. (2005). Double sequence spaces  $\ell_2^\infty$ . *Ibid.*, 160(1), 147-153.

Hardy, G. H. (1917). On the convergence of certain multiple series. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 19, 86-95.

Kamthan, P. K., & Gupta, M. (1981). *Sequence spaces and series*. Lecture notes. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.

Kizmaz, H. (1981). On certain sequence spaces. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 24(2), 169-176.

Lindenstrauss, J., & Tzafriri, L. (1971). On Orlicz sequence spaces. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 10, 379-390.

Maddox, I. J. (1986). Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 100(1), 161-166.

- Moricz, F. (1991). Extensions of the spaces  $c$  and  $c_0$  from single to double sequences. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 57(1-2), 129-136.
- Moricz, F., & Rhoades, B. E. (1988). Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 104, 283-294.
- Mursaleen, M. (2004). Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 293(2), 523-531.
- Mursaleen, M., & Edely, O. H. H. (2003). Statistical convergence of double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 288(1), 223-231.
- Mursaleen, M., & Edely, O. H. H. (2004). Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 293(2), 532-540.
- Mursaleen, M., & Sharma, S. K. (2014). Entire sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function on locally convex Hausdorff topological spaces. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction A*, 38.
- Mursaleen, M., Alotaibi, A., & Sharma, S. K. (2014). New classes of generalized seminormed difference sequence spaces. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 7.
- Mursaleen, M., Sharma, S. K., & Kilicman, A. (2013). Sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function over  $n$ -normed space. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 364743, pages 10.
- Pringsheim, A. (1900). Zurtheorie der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen. *Mathematische Annalen*, 53, 289-321.
- Subramanian, N., & Misra, U. K. (2010). The semi normed space defined by a double gai sequence of modulus function. *Fasciculi Mathematici*, 46.
- Tripathy, B.C. (2003). On statistically convergent double sequences. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 34(3), 231-237.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Chandra, P. (2011). On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences defined by modulus function. *Analysis in Theory and Applications*, 27(1), 21-27.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Dutta, A. J. (2007). On fuzzy real-valued double sequence spaces  ${}_2\ell_F^p$ . *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 46(9-10), 1294-1299.
- Tripathy, B.C., & Dutta, A. J. (2010). Bounded variation double sequence space of fuzzy real numbers. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 59(2), 1031-1037.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Dutta, A. J. (2013). Lacunary bounded variation sequence of fuzzy real numbers. *Journal in Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 24(1), 185-189.
- Tripathy, B.C., & Dutta, H. (2010). On some new paranormed difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 50(1), 59-69.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Hazarika, B. (2008). I-convergent sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequence spaces. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 11(3), 543-548.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Mahanta, S. (2004). On a class of vector valued sequences associated with multiplier sequences. *Acta Mathematica Applicata Sinica (Eng. Ser.)*, 20(3), 487-494.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Sarma, B. (2008). Statistically convergent difference double sequence spaces. *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 24(5), 737-742.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Sarma, B. (2009). Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function. *Mathematica Slovaca*, 59(6), 767-776.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Sarma, B. (2012). On I-convergent Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers. *Kyungpook Math. Journal*, 52(2), 189-200.
- Tripathy, B. C., & Sen, M. (2006). Characterization of some matrix classes involving paranormed sequence spaces. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 37(2), 155-162.
- Tripathy, B. C., Hazarika, B., & Choudhary, B. (2012). Lacunary I-convergent sequences. *Kyungpook Math. Journal*, 52(4), 473-482.
- Tripathy, B. C., Sen, M., & Nath, S. (2012). I-convergence in probabilistic  $n$ -normed space. *Soft Computing*, 16, 1021-1027. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00500-011-0799-8>.

- Turkmenoglu, A. (1999). Matrix transformation between some classes of double sequences. *Journal of Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science Maths Series*, 12(1), 23-31.
- Zeltser, M. (2001). *Investigation of Double Sequence Spaces by Soft and Hard Analytical Methods*. Dissertationes Mathematicae Universitatis Tartuensis 25, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tartu.

### **Copyrights**

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).