

Ideological Relationship between Neo-Conservative Government of George W. Bush and Israeli Government

Rouhollah Shahabi¹ & Alireza Mashoori²

¹Assistant Professor, Islamic Azad University of Ahvaz, Iran

² MA in International Relations, Iran

Correspondence: Rouhollah Shahabi, Islamic Azad University of Ahvaz, Iran.

Received: September 4, 2016 Accepted: October 2, 2016 Online Published: December 29, 2016

doi:10.5539/jpl.v10n1p50

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jpl.v10n1p50>

Abstract

United States and Israel despite all the alliances and relationships among governments, has a special relationship. Various factors are United States of America support and help Israel and its special status of the country for America in particular was in Bush period. Political, economic and geographical factors..., which resulted Israel had special and unique place in the foreign policy of the United States. However, the role of religion and ideology in this relationship and its impact on support for Israel not ignored. George Bush is a Christian believes in his speeches frequently uses religious concepts. He argues that had a regular communication with God and takes over on a divine mission. This Bush's religious beliefs is where express the support for Israel in the form of religious Evangelical and word concepts. Bush knows support for Israel as God intention and had a religious and apocalyptic view to Israel. The Bush administration formed the neoconservatives who tend much more support Israel. Ideological affinity George W. The Bush government with Israel is the factor that America political security supports from Israel increased.

Keywords: George Bush, apocalyptic, Christian Zionism, Israel, neoconservatives

1. Introduction

The Foreign policy of any government is outcome of national interest and its culture and worldview. Foreign policy is the process of obtaining and implementing the decisions and goals oriented action and national interests against external environment and the international system. With selection of George W. Bush as president in America, relations between the United States and Israel had entered a new phase. Christ George Bush was born that his views on Israel and its people, not just as an ally and partner of America in the region, but as the Jewish people and God chosen people.

Following the events of September this relationship took a new form, and America and Israel against terrorists were on the same side.

During the presidency of George Bush, a lot of events and developments that occurred in the Middle East directly or indirectly affect the security of Israel; this had led Middle East policy of George Bush to be Israel-centric. This view than Israel under George Bush government addition to ideological thinking, is the result of neoconservative politicians and consultants that play decisive role in the foreign policy of George Bush. In the review of America's support for Israel in several factors (economic, political, geographical, etc.) can be mentioned. However, in this article we have tried to highlight the role of religion and neoconservative on America's support for Israel during the presidency of George W. Bush.

2. Religious Fundamentalism in America

Almost all other capitalist societies and liberal democratic have secular orientation. God only in the United States plays a major role in public discourse and public policy. In other words, the United States and Australia have many cultural similarities, but when it comes to examining the role of religion, the country is probably more similar to Saudi Arabia than Australia. Many believe militants Muslim of the Middle East, in the intensity of their faith, are like fundamentalist Christians in the United States. This compares to some extent justifies the mutual hostility toward each other. This long-standing religious intolerance in the United States that has distinguished public life in the country and understands it is difficult for other people (Judis, 2015). Views of right evangelical Christian (evangelist) and religious beliefs of the group to Israel and the United States strongly

support the government. One of the branches linking individual relations between America and Israel is the idea of Christian Zionism.

It is claimed that policies supporting "Israel and right Christian Zionism in Israel's role originated as the most important ally of the United States in the Middle East" is true and correct. But the reason for this support is more than just these topics: the Christian right is basically Israel as a lens to look at the religion and the Bible. It is a political position with regard to Israel driven of a geopolitical strategy or theory of democratic peace. As one expert says, "They do not hesitate in consultation with the Bible." In fact, it can be said that the Bible for Christian right for being the magazine's foreign policy or foreign relations for politicians. Israel for Christian right is a special case; because the leaders of the Christian right believe that Israel and Jews have a special place for God. The Christian Right discourse, Israel as a "light eye of God" is called. The Christian Israel supporters often call themselves "Christian Zionists". In addition, often it is said that after the Jews in America, the Christian right and evangelical Protestant have more support from Israel and the "Christian Zionists" are proud for their reputation in this field (Bergern, 2005: 39).

Christian Zionists emphasized that ancient Palestine, including the West, which were occupied by Israel after the 1967 war, Jews should be under the exclusive control because it is an essential step before the return of Jesus (AS) must be removed. Some of their ideas are as follows:

- Covenant of God with Israel, and exclusive everlasting covenant that will not be obsoleted.
- Bible from two separate covenants, yet parallel to each other speaks: one between God and Israel and between God and the church.

The Treaty on covenant with Israel excels. The church in God's plan is a "mere parenthesis" and thus in an accident with "joy and great happiness" will be erased from the page of history. At this time, the nation of Israel as the instrument of God in the land will revert to its place.

- Verse, "I will send those who bless Israel and they will meet in friendship with Israel will send a curse nearest hostilities" should be interpreted objectively and appearance; which actually led to the need and necessity of supporting the most political, economic, moral and spiritual Israel and all Jews in the world is the new government.

- Revelation, Bible prophecies and dreams as the book of Daniel, Zechariah, Ezekiel and the Apocalypse, all refer to the actual events that will occur in the future.

-Establishment of State of Israel, rebuild the Third Temple, the rise of the Antichrist (Antichrist)

And strengthen the army hostile to Israel, including signs that the final battle of Armageddon and the return of Christ will lead to his millennial kingdom. Christian Zionist movement approaching apocalypse is looking for powerful evil forces that the Antichrist will be lined up and will fight against Israel. God's judgment on nations and individuals is based on whether they come in friendship with Israel or the enemy (Jamshidi, 2015: 186-185).

3. Religious Fundamentalism in the Bush

It is drawn followers of other religions called fundamentalist. Today, "Islamic fundamentalism" are common terms, because any excess can be attributed to it and accept the uninformed audience. Instead, in the case of other species extremist Christian fundamentalism in America, for example, is less dialogue, which is also considered a threat to peaceful coexistence. Eliot Weinberger, loc, cit writes: "President Bush is the first person actively subverts America pillars of democracy: the separation of church and state. Now meeting daily Bible reading groups in each branch of government to be formed and pay religious organizations and educational and welfare programs that have always been the domain of the state in their hands. Bush is the first president of "Jesus Christ" more than "God" needs help and his followers of evangelical Christians that was one of their US Attorney General accumulated..." (Singh, 2005: 289).

Islamic fundamentalism usually use the traditional ways of expression, express, personal, and even "pre-capitalist" arises, whereas Christian and Jewish fundamentalism often wrapped in wrapping the modern face of pressure or less obvious or subtle ways raises the supply of goods on the market. For example, subtle approach, cooked, and the organization puts a lid on the fact and strong fundamentalist forces that influence the government's policy of George Bush in America and Ariel Sharon in Israel the effect of fundamentalist forces on the politics of the Muslim countries is no less. In recent years, religious fundamentalism, both in America and in Israel has been growing strongly. In fact, George Bush owes his presidency largely Christian fundamentalist voters, a coalition of evangelical Christian sect, cult Christians Mania, fundamentalist and fanatic fans' promised land in Palestine "that the Bible promises, and relatively religious voters than rural areas and the southern states

of the country.

George Bush does not hide the fact faithfully adhere to their religious ideals and instill moral values in society. According to Eric Margolis, in Toronto Sun newspaper: "The groups in hate Europe, UN, Pope, Muslims in general and Arabs in particular, intellectuals, and all common international and believe they are chosen people of God". Some Christians who know their dead in the hands of Jesus Christ, George Bush as a kind of messiah to consider... 41% of those living preachers to them of Christ's Vale and Randy George Bush. This is also the story of the separation of church and state spheres." Christian denominations Mania is a fast growth believe that greater Israel come into existence and all Jews become Christians, while the world will be destroyed and non-believers burned alive, all members of this group will be a trip to paradise. Gospel informal forms of the cult series of books entitled "stayed behind" released, nearly 60 million copies sold is very broad. These are the die-hard supporters of George Bush (Hosseinzadeh, 2010: 163-162).

If you are looking for in their religious traditions in the form of classic American customs accordingly, you should head over to evangelical Protestantism, or Christian "born again". If you are a Christian "born again", you will appreciate the tradition for more originality. What is important is to have a genuine faith in Jesus evangelist [PBUH]. In fact, "Born Again Christians", is non-traditional term that you can imagine. Traditional in the sense of rebirth is not a traditional means have the same religious rituals rather than get to your parents and their parents were brought instead. George Bush abandoned his father and grandfather's religion - Church Christian Episcopal New England. Texas boy began his life again and changed his religion (Judis, 2015).

George Bush occasionally referred to as "regular relationship with God," and the message of God's divine mission to promote and carry out His will to take over. The interior is meant to restore prayer to public schools, amend the constitution to accommodate the religious definition of marriage, signage Ten Commandments in public schools, and a ban on research on stem cells or stem that Bush calls them "blasphemy" is considered. In addition, abroad the means to expand freedom by any means necessary, including the so-called "regime change" with military force (Hosseinzadeh, 2010: 163).

Michael Ortiz Hill, author of the sleep end of the world, in his article on January 4, 2003 in Counter Punch, described Bush as, " illusion... and the illusion him specifically in apocalyptic belief and purpose." For Hill apocalypse means that all organizations and principles is Christ could come and collapsed to Bush, apparently, the role is to bring this result (Cook, 2015).

4. Support for Israel is God's Will

The Bush government relations between Israel and the United States drove a new level. Certainly, the incident in September eleven was the level of relations. Bush has no desire to communicate with Yasser Arafat Palestinian leader, so stated he has to leave power stage. On the other hand, have very extensive relations with the government of Ariel Sharon. The transformation of the relationship between the United States and Israel by many analysts described as the Nixon era.

September eleven events in 2001 was the emergence of a new doctrine in the United States, which was rejected any compromise and tolerance against Islamic fundamentalism. Therefore, according to this radical new policy of the Bush administration, relations between Israel and the United States reached their highest level. The policy of the United States due to attack Afghanistan and Iraq, pressures Sharon government against the Palestinian Muslims. In such a way, the United States has no interest in lobbying Israel in order to reduce violence against Palestinians and the domination of Jude (Amiri, 2014).

When George W. Bush on May 2004 at the annual conference of AIPAC politics talked, to help justify the United States, Israel resorted to a series of ethical issues. The president began his speech by praising the efforts of AIPAC "to strengthen the relationship that binds our nations - shared values and a steadfast commitment to freedom", he stressed that Israel and the United States" have much in common. We are both... born of effort and dedication. We have established both immigrants from religious persecution in other lands have survived. We are both great democracies based on the rule of law and free market economy we have built. In addition, we are both countries founded on the fundamental beliefs: God governs the actions of human beings, and for every living creature values. This reality makes us natural allies, and these ties will never be broken". Bush also pointed to a major controversy after, the result of an ethical extracted. While America in the past because of its geographical situation has relatively spared, "Israel as a small country in the region facing a harsh situation is different.

Israel has enemies and terrorists at the border near their territory. Israel has repeatedly defended with skill and courage. In addition, Israel, because of the courage of its people has earned respect of the people of America." Bush insisted that America's support for Israel's allusions to what extent based on moral claims, and not strategic

fields, justified. "Moral logic" to support America, is based on several arguments, and supporters of Israel often "special relationship" means one or several of the claims are connected. In particular, it is said that Israel deserves the generous support and unconditionally by the US because it is weak and surrounded by enemies have dedicated to its destruction. Democracy is a morally superior form of government, the Jewish people have suffered from past crimes; Israel's conduct has been morally superior to its adversaries, especially in comparison with the Palestinians; Palestinians at Camp David rejected a generous peace offer in 2000 and instead adopted violence; and the creation of Israel in the Bible is clear that God's will (Meyer Shaimr, Walt, 2008: 100-99).

5. Neocons in the Bush Government

The current administration's foreign policy [George Bush] America cannot be confined only to a few factors. The foreign policy on the one hand the continuation of years of America's foreign policy, even is before the Cold War and the other hand the foreign policy of America, especially compared to the period before 11 September 2002 was different. Meanwhile, the role of a certain number of research institutes in the process Bush's think about foreign policy should be considered. Specifically, a group of them called neoconservatives should be remembered, that the designers thought some of Bush's foreign policy and its enforcement. This small group -but deeply ideological - certain and specific assessment of the situation in America - not based on scientific research, but also - based on their initial orientation that is deeply tied to the interests of specific interest groups. The group has access to not only power, their ideas about what America should do pose, but also they are seeking practical realization. Many of today's ideas and implement them, previously published in the literature and writings produced by them and with the emergence of new circumstances, the research field realized (Sajjadpour, 2002: 987-986).

Beliefs and principles of the neo-conservatives had drawn their attention to the Middle East. If the principles of neo-conservatism in their name declared: 1. Conviction to the hegemony of America, 2. Universalism and institutionalize American values, 3. Power is necessary to impose democracy, 4. Disregard of the principles, institutions and international agreements, 5. Intertwining of ideas and neo-conservatives with Zionist goals, 6. Emphasize the external enemy, 7. Deep links religious with political power. Therefore, the neo-conservatism by definition external enemy in the form of terrorist networks and the governments that support it gave to its foreign policy direction and terrorism seeks to asymmetric threats and challenging. In addition, even international institutions and agreements to prevent their own actions, ignored since the institute and its mission to promote these values have understood their value to the roots of terrorism on the one hand and on the other hand to achieve a democratic peace to become a hegemonic power. Because of the interweaving of ideas and goals of the Zionists committed themselves to maintaining the security of the Zionist regime as well as the belief in religious affiliation and political power. In addition to the factors they use in solving social problems, in justification of its foreign policy and domestic resource mobilization in support of the foreign policy benefit (Sarioghalam, 2002).

A number of commentators have emphasized the neoconservatives Jewish roots, although many of the beliefs of the liberal movement that still has supremacy is contrary to the American Jewish community. Murray Friedman in "The Neoconservative Revolution: Jewish elites, and shaping public policy." draws compassionate picture of the issue and so far is that neo-conservatism as a "conservative American Jews" are described.

However, it noted that not all conservatives are Jewish and the Israel lobby group rather than ethnicity or religion, but a political agenda defined. A number of non-Jews are more prominent, if not all of the original neoconservative ideas including strong support of Israel and advocate more radical elements have accepted it. These officials include Robert Bartley, editor of the Wall Street Journal, William Bennett, the former culture minister, America's former ambassador to the United Nations John Bolton and former CIA director James. Although non-Jews have an important role in advancing the agenda of neoconservative however, the Jews core of the neoconservative movement in the vacuole. In this sense, it is a small version of the larger neoconservative and pro-Israel. Jewish Americans are based on the neoconservative movement, just as they constitute the majority of the Israeli lobby, but non-Jews are active in both groups (Meyer Shaimr, Walt, 2008: 165-164).

6. New Middle East and Israel, after the Invasion of Iraq

According to some analysts, position of Israel in the aftermath of September 11, many challenges are partly attributable to the function of the United States in other units, such as Afghanistan, Iraq and some other countries. Accordingly, some believe that the overthrow of the Saddam regime, not only objective, but valves in order to create a historic opportunity to change the map of the Middle East and the Near East at a level that is comparable with the fall of the Ottoman Empire. If these views are exaggerated, but there can be changes systematically, it is time to change the political structure in the region as one of the strategic priorities cited Washington Tel Aviv.

Such a plan should seek to achieve at least four strategic objectives following the axis (America-Israel):

- Control over the flow of oil and increase pressure on regional and trans-regional competitors Tel Aviv, Washington;
- Maintaining Israel's military superiority in the region;
- Overthrow the regime or opposition challenger and consequently advance the Middle East peace process;
- Sterile and outdated of all terrorist activities and threats associated with it, such as the nuclear capability of some countries.

From the perspective of Tel Aviv, America invaded Iraq a step further and yet more fundamental to the realization of a new Middle East; Middle East in which Israel will form an economic regionalism in orbit and gradually, the State of Israel will be recognized by other governments in the Middle East (Stari, 2003: 171-170).

The Greater Middle East Initiative, Israel has been a major regional winner in the political, economic and security. Accepting and rejecting the Greater Middle East Initiative led by the Islamic countries of the region will be split between them. Thus, the division between Muslim countries, especially Arabic countries is an issue that Israelis wish it dreamed and consider it more important than the atomic bomb. This desire for peace in the Middle East, Greater Middle East Initiative and the attack on America in Iraq is partly fulfilled (Jafari, 2010: 150).

7. Conclusion

In general, it can be said that America and Israel stand special relationship between them, at root, ideological and apocalyptic America to Israel. Of course, these relationships and support have a variety of reasons, including political, economical and geographical reasons, etc. However, perhaps the foundation expressed in religious thinking. On the one hand, Christian and Jewish Zionists, and the other neo-conservatives, supporters of Israel are committed to protect Israel, the idea of the Greater Middle East with Israel's centrality and follow. Christian Zionists and religious thinking as ideological factor and neoconservatives as executive power under George Bush had an effective role in this relationship. The basic belief of the Christian Zionists vigorous defense of Israel as a divine command, and complete the formation of the state of Israel is God's promise to Abraham. Christian Zionists see themselves, as defenders of the Jewish people in particular know the state of Israel. Ideological affinity with Israel Factor George W. Bush administration has expanded support for America from Israel and greater coherence between the two states cause. This will strengthen the political-security relations between America and Israel, and it has a high level.

References

- Amiri, M. (2014, October). *America's support of Israel is eternal?* Retrieved from <http://www.rajanews.com/news/214642>
- Bergern, J. (2005). Special Bulletin (American pro-Israel sources: Republicans, Southern whites and Christian Zionism), Translator: Kardan, Abbas, Tehran, Cultural Institute of Contemporary Abrar International Studies and Research, Tehran, pp. 88-49.
- Cook, W. (2015, October). *Armageddon Anxiety, Evil on the Way*. Retrieved from <http://www.counterpunch.org/2003/02/22/armageddon-anxiety/>
- Hosseinzadeh, I. (2010). *The political economy of militarism America*, Translator: Hope, Smith, First Edition, Tehran, Reed Publishing.
- Jafari, A. A. (2010). The role of values, common history and culture in America-Israel alliance. *Journal of Regional Studies: Israel-America Geological Survey*, XI(4), 94-73.
- Jamshidi, M. (2015). *Christian right movement and the foreign policy of America* (1st ed.). Tehran, Institute for Strategic Studies.
- Judis, J. B. (2015) (October). *The Chosen Nation: The Influence of Religion on U.S. Foreign Policy*. Retrieved from <http://carnegieendowment.org/files/PB37.judis.FINAL.pdf>
- Meyer Shaimer, J. J., & Walt, S. M. (2008). *Israeli lobby and the foreign policy of America* (2nd ed.). Translator: Kamshad, R., Tehran, Farzan day.
- Sajjadpour, S. M. K. (2002). Forensic research institutes America: neoconservative, ideology and research in foreign policy. *Foreign Policy Journal*, XVI(4), 1004-979.
- Sarioghalam, M. (2002). The theoretical foundations of the foreign policy of the Bush administration. *Journal of Regional Studies: Israel-America Geological Survey*, XIII, 22-1.

- Singh, P. (2005). *The world from the perspective of Washington* (1st ed.). Translator: Mahdavi, A (H), Tehran, Paykan.
- Stari, S. (2003). *The Book of America 3*. Tehran, Cultural Institute of Contemporary Abrar Tehran International Studies and Research, pp. 179-135.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).