The Stress-Strain State of the Drill String at the Section of the Borehole with a Cavern

Ruslan Rachkevych¹ & Iryna Rachkevych²

¹ Ivano-Frankivsk National technical university of oil and gas, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

² Ivano-Frankivsk National medical university, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

Correspondence: Ruslan Rachkevych, Ivano-Frankivsk National technical university of oil and gas, Ivano-Frankivsk, 15 Karpatska Street, 76019, Ukraine. Tel: 38-0342-727-147. E-mail: ruslanvr@gmail.com

Received: January 29, 2016	Accepted: February 15, 2016	Online Published: March 31, 2016
doi:10.5539/jmsr.v5n2p128	URL: http://dx.doi.org	/10.5539/jmsr.v5n2p128

Abstract

This study analyses the stress-strain state of a drill string at the section of the borehole with a cavern/chute. The study was conducted to obtain analytical dependencies to determine normal bending stress in the cross section of the drill string and its downforces to the walls of the well. This will allow to compare these values with the critical ones, and draw conclusions about the possibility and duration of the drill string operation under these conditions.

The study is based on modelling the drill string as a beam, which indicates longitudinal and transverse load and deforms in-plane.

The formulas obtained to determine stresses and pressing forces apply to the following cases of the relative position of the drill string in a straight borehole and a curved borehole with a cavern/chute: a - the drill string touches only the bottom of the borehole; b - the drill string touches only the bottom of the borehole and the bottom of the borehole and the bottom of the drill string touches the top and the bottom of the borehole; d - the drill string touches the top and the bottom of the borehole and the cavern/chute.

The calculations based on the dependencies obtained lead to the following conclusions: a - the cavern/chute in the inclined straight borehole causes bending stress value in the cross section of drill pipes proportional to the fatigue margin of the material; <math>b - the cavern/chute in the curved borehole may increase normal bending stress in the cross section of the borehole up to five times.

Keywords: drill string, stress-strain state, cavern, borehole

1. Introduction

In practice, the shape of a borehole significantly differs from cylindrical. As a result of the drill string pressing to the walls of the well, and ravelling and erosion of softer rocks with the drilling fluid, chutes and caverns are formed. The process of formation of the latter is described in detail in the studies (Zhestovskiy, 1972; Peysikov, 1992; Frolov, Koshelev, & Alishanyan, 1970) therefore this article will not dwell upon it.

On the other hand, chutes/caverns significantly complicate the working conditions of the drill string, which under their influence may incur additional transverse strain both in a straight and a curved borehole. This, in turn, may cause destruction of the drill pipes.

Indeed, in "Ukrburhas" Drilling Department, for the period of 2007 to 2009, there were 73 complications and 14 accidents (Gryciv, 2012). 39 of them were due to the formation of chutes and violation of the integrity of the borehole walls, which is 45% of the total amount of complications and accidents.

For example, on 4 April 2007, at the well No.300 of "Vesele" area, after lifting the drill string, it turned out that the assembly of the bottom of the string and drill pipes with a diameter of 127 mm and length of 38 m were left in the hole. The reason of disconnection of the drill string was it getting into the chute.

On 27 November 2007, the reaming of the borehole No.4 of "Chkalove" area was conducted. When lifting the drill string, with the bit at a depth of 1340 m, there was friction due to it getting into the chute.

A major accident occurred when drilling the third borehole of No.1 of "Komyshnia" oil and gas condensate field. The cause of the destruction of pipes was significant alternating stress in the cavernous section of the hole. After the directional survey of the well in the range of 4182–4205, caverns with a diameter of 500 mm were detected.

Therefore, analytical methods that would allow to quantify the external load, strain, and stress arising in the drill string operated directly at the site of the chute/cavern are of practical interest.

Indeed, Semenyuk (2002) suggests an analytical method of determining the orientation in space of chutes. Given the condition of equality of values of zenith and azimuth angles of the drill string axis and the curved section axis, the author obtained a formula to determine the direction and module of the vector of the downforce of pipes to the borehole walls.

$$F = \sqrt{F_p^2 + F_q^2 + 2F_p^2 F_q^2 \cos\gamma},$$
 (1)

$$\sin \gamma = \frac{\sin \frac{\Delta \beta}{2} \sin \alpha_1}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \frac{\Delta \alpha}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\Delta \beta}{2} \sin^2 \alpha_1}},$$
(2)

where γ is the angle between the vector of downforce *F* and the vertical;

 $\Delta \alpha$, $\Delta \beta$ respectively is the change of zenith and azimuth angles of the beginning and end of the examined interval;

 α_1 is a zenith angle at the beginning of the examined interval;

 F_P is a downforce generated by axial force;

 F_q is a downforce generated by the force of own weight.

As we can see, according to formulas (1) and (2), the module and direction of the downforce of the drill string to the wall of well at the point of the chute can be determined. However, this method does not allow to assess the impact of the size of the defect of the borehole wall on the stress-strain state of the drill string since it is considered that the drill string axis coincides with the well axis. It is also worth noting that the use of the formulas (1) and (2) is subject to the curved section of the well.

Given the above limitations, Ivasiv, Rachkevych, Javors'kyj, and Kozlov (2004) and Rachkevych (2006) propose a method based on modelling the drill string at the point of the chute/cavern using a sizeable beam loaded only with transverse external force. The calculation is designed for both straight and curved sections of the well. As a basic hypothesis, the authors accepted the reasoning that, depending on the mechanical and geometrical properties of drill pipes, directional indicators, and sizes of the drill string and the chute/cavern, the drill string will take of the following positions: a - touch the bottom of the wall of the well; b - touch the bottom of the wall of well and the bottom of the chute/cavern.

The calculation results performed by the Ivasiv, Rachkevych, Javors'kyj, and Kozlov (2004) and Rachkevych (2006) using the designed method are presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

Table 1. Bending stress in dril	l pipes operated	in a straight borehole	with a chute/cavern
---------------------------------	------------------	------------------------	---------------------

	Length of the chute / cavern, m				
Size of the drill pipes	0	5	10	15	
	Max	kimum b	ending str	ess, MPa	
Weighted drill pipes with an outer diameter of 203 mm	0	4.7	18.0	42.0	
Weighted drill pipes with an outer diameter of 178 mm	0	5.4	22.0	49.0	
Weighted drill pipes with an outer diameter of 146 mm	0	6.5	26.0	58.0	
Drill pipes with an outer diameter of 127 mm	0	6.2	25.0	56.0	
Drill pipes with an outer diameter of 114 mm	0	7.0	28.0	63.0	

As it can be seen from Table 1, increasing the length of the chute/cavern, also increases consequent bending stress. For example, for weighted drill pipes with an outer diameter of 146 mm and the length of defect of the well wall of 15 m, the level of bending stress reaches the value of the fatigue margin of the threaded joint of the above pipe size.

Length of the chute / cavern, m	Tension (MPa) with a 1	radius of the curvature c well, degr	of the well, m / intensity ees / 10 m	of the curvature of the
	500/1.15	1000/0.58	1500/0.38	2000/0.29
Weighted	l drill pipes with an oute	er diameter of 203 mm (weight per metre of pip	e 1920 N)
0.	45.5*	22,8*	15.2*	11.4*
5	49.0	26.4	18.8	15.0
10	60.0	37.3	29.6	25.8
15	78.0	55.4	47.7	43.8
Weighted	l drill pipes with an oute	er diameter of 178 mm (weight per metre of pip	e 1560 N)
0	39.4*	19.7*	13.1*	9.9*
5	43.6	24.0	17.4	14.1
10	56.3	36.6	30.0	26.7
15	77.3	57.6	51.0	47.7
Weighte	d drill pipes with an out	er diameter of 146 mm	(weight per metre of pi	pe 970 N)
0	33.0*	16.5*	11.0*	8.2*
5	37.9	21.5	15.8	13.3
10	52.5	36.6	30.5	28.2
15	76.9	61.6	54.9	53.1
Weighte	d drill pipes with an out	er diameter of 127 mm	(weight per metre of pi	pe 234 N)
0.	33.4*	16.7*	11.1*	8.4*
5	38.1	21.5	15.9	13.1
10	52.4	35.8	30.2	27.4
15	76.1	59.6	53.9	51.3
Dri	ll pipes with an outer dia	ameter of 114 mm (weig	ght per metre of pipe 20	99 N)
0	29.8*	14.9*	10.0*	7.4*
5	35.2	20.3	15.3	12.9
10	51.1	36.4	31.2	28.3
15	77.7	63.2	57.9	56.7

T-11- 2 D-11					1 1	-1
Table 7 Bending stress	in the drill	nines on	erated in a l	curved bore	enole with a	chille/cavern
Tuole 2. Benaing stress	III the think	pipes op	oracea m a	001 100 0010		enace, ea , enn

Note. * - values obtained by classic dependencies.

The analysis of data from Table 2 indicates that the presence of caverns and chutes significantly increases the level of bending stress in the drill string. For example, the calculations done for the drill pipes presented in Table 2 showed that the presence of defect of the borehole can increase the level of stress from 2 to 5 times.

Let us note the shortcomings in papers [6, 7]. Firstly, the calculated model ignores the axial force that usually operates in any section of the drill string except neutral. Secondly, the case when the drill string contacts not only the bottom of the borehole wall but also the top should not be ruled out.

Therefore, the aim of this paper is to develop an analytical method of studying the stress-strain state of a drill string located both in a straight and a curved borehole with a chute/cavern. As a computational model, a sizeable beam loaded with axial forces should be used. In the calculation, the possibility of contact of the drill string both with the bottom and the top of the borehole wall should be considered.

2. Method

2.1 Basic Analytical Dependencies

For mathematical modelling of the drill strings in a borehole whose axis lies in the same plane, and the effect of reactive torque is neglected, it is convenient to use the linear beam bending theory. Thus, let us select the following simplified 4th order differential equations of the elastic axis of a single-span beam as basic [8]

$$EI\frac{d^{4}y(z)}{dz^{4}} + P\frac{d^{2}y(z)}{dz^{2}} = -q_{r},$$
(3)

$$EI\frac{d^{4}y(z)}{dz^{4}} - P\frac{d^{2}y(z)}{dz^{2}} = -q_{r},$$
(4)

where EI is beam bending stiffness;

y(z) is a function of the elastic axis;

P is axial force;

 q_r is a radial component of weight per unit length.

Formula (3) is used in the case of compression of the beam, and formula (4) in the case of tension. Solutions of the differential equations (3) and (4) will be as follows

$$y(z) = -C_2 \frac{EI}{P} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}}z\right) - C_1 \frac{EI}{P} \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}}z\right) - \frac{q_z^2}{2S} + C_3 z + C_4,$$
(5)

$$y(z) = C_2 \frac{EI}{P} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}}z\right) + C_1 \frac{EI}{P} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{P}{EI}}z\right) + \frac{q_r z^2}{2S} + C_3 z + C_4,$$
(6)

where C_l , C_2 , C_3 , C_4 are integration variables defined as solutions of the system of boundary conditions.

In turn, the number and level of the equations of the system of boundary conditions depends on the number of beam spans and the type of its idlers. For example, during the modelling of the drill string in the straight section of the well, all bearings are taken as hinged. In the case of modelling the drill string in a curved borehole, the ends of the beam are fixed.

Let us examine a sizeable beam on *n* hinged bearings exposed to axial force (Figure 1). Depending on the direction of the force *P*, the elastic axis of the beam for each deflection will be described with equations (5) or (6). Also, if necessary, values *EI* and q_r may be different for each deflection. In general, the system of boundary conditions should be recorded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1}(0) &= \delta_{0}, \\ y_{1}'(0) &= 0, \\ y_{1}(l_{1}) &= \delta_{1}, \\ y_{2}(l_{1}) &= \delta_{1}, \\ y_{1}'(l_{1}) &= y_{2}'(l_{1}), \\ y_{1}'(l_{1}) &= y_{2}''(l_{1}), \\ y_{2}(l_{1}+l_{2}) &= \delta_{2}, \\ y_{3}(l_{1}+l_{2}) &= \delta_{2}, \\ y_{2}'(l_{1}+l_{2}) &= y_{3}'(l_{1}+l_{2}), \\ y_{3}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}) &= \delta_{3}, \\ y_{4}(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}) &= \delta_{3}, \\ y_{3}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}) &= y_{4}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}), \\ y_{3}''(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}) &= y_{4}''(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}), \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ y_{n-1}(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}) &= \delta_{n-1}, \\ y_{n}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}) &= y_{n}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}), \\ y_{n-1}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}) &= y_{n}''(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}), \\ y_{n-1}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}) &= y_{n}''(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n-1}), \\ y_{n}'(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n}) &= \delta_{n}, \\ y_{n}''(l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+\dots+l_{n}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, ..., \delta_n$ are beam deflection in the bearings "0", "1", "2", ..., "n" respectively.



Figure 1. Sizeable beam on *n* hinged bearings

To model a drill string in a curved borehole, a beam similar to the one presented in Figure 1 should be used, although outside hinged bearings (" θ " and "n") should be replaced with fixed bearings. The system of boundary conditions will also be similar to (7) but with the following changes

$$y_1''(0) = 0$$
 to be replaced with $y_1'(0) = \vartheta_0$, (8)

$$y_n''(l_1+l_2+l_3+...+l_n) = 0 \quad \text{to be replaced with} \quad y_n'(l_1+l_2+l_3+...+l_n) = \vartheta_n, \tag{9}$$

where θ_0 , θ_n are the angles of rotation of the cross section of the hole in the bearings "0" and "n" respectively.

2.1 The Impact of Chutes/Caverns on the Stress-Strain State of the Drill String in a Straight Borehole

Let us consider how the length and depth of the chute/cavern influence the stress-strain state of the drill string in a straight borehole.

Let us assume that the drill string directly above a chute or a cavern will take the following positions in relation to the walls of the straight section of the well (see Figure 2).

Which of the four positions the drill string will take can be defined as follows.

Let us take the beam presented in Figure 1 as a computational model. Let the number of bearings equal 4. Let us consider the case of tension. Thus, for each beam run, the differential equation of the elastic axis and its solution are described with formulas (4) and (6) respectively. Also, based on (7), the system of boundary conditions will be as follows

$$\begin{cases} y_1(0) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_1''(0) = 0, \\ y_1(l) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_2(l) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_1'(l) = y_2'(l), \\ y_1''(l) = y_2''(l), \\ y_2(l+l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_3(l+l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_2'(l+l_m) = y_3'(l+l_m) \\ y_2''(l+l_m) = y_3''(l+l_m) \\ y_3'(2l+l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_3''(2l+l_m) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where l is the distance from the end of the chute/cavern to the nearest contact point of the drill string with the wall of the well (sections 0–1 and 2–3 on Figure 2a);

 l_m is the length of the chute/cavern along the axis of the well (section 1–2 on Figure 2a).



a) the drill string touches the borehole at 4 points



b) the drill string touches the borehole at 5 points



c) the drill string touches the borehole at 6 points



d) the drill string touches the borehole at 7 points Figure 2. The drill string in a straight borehole with a cavern/chute

Variable r_{adm} is called deflection, and is defined by the following formula

$$r_{adm} = R_{BH} - r_c,$$

where R_{BH} is the radius of the borehole for the bit;

 r_c is the outer radius of the drill string.

Value *l* is this case is unknown, and can be defined by the numerical method, using an additional equality

$$y_1'(0) = 0.$$

Thus, the radial component of weight per unit length of the drill string equals

$$q_r = q \sin(\alpha_{zen})$$

where q is the reduced weight per unit length of the drill string in the drilling fluid;

 a_{zen} is a zenith angle of the well.

Then, the following conditions should be checked

$$y_{1\max} < r_{adm}, \tag{10}$$

$$v_{2\min} < r_{cav}, \tag{11}$$

where $y_{l max}$ is the maximum value of the function $y_{l}(z_{l})$ if the argument is changed from θ to l;

 $y_{2 \min}$ is the minimum value of the function $y_2(z_2)$ if the argument is changed from $l \not\equiv 0 l_m$;

 r_{cav} is the deflection of the drill string above a chute or a cavern;

$$r_{cav} = R_{cav} - r_c,$$

where R_{cav} is the radius of the borehole at the point of a chute/cavern.

Meeting the conditions (10) and (11) proves that the drill string touches neither the top of the wall of the well nor the bottom of the chute/cavern. In this case, normal bending stress can be defined by the following formulas

$$\sigma_{1} = \frac{1}{2} E \, d_{c} \, y_{1}'', \tag{12}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{1}{2} E \, d_c \, y_2'', \tag{13}$$

 d_c is the outer diameter of the drill string.

If the condition (10) is met, and (11) is not, the drill string touches the bottom of the chute/cavern. To analyse the stress-strain state, in this case, the response of the bottom of the chute/cavern to the drill string should be considered. Thus, for modelling, the beam from Figure 1 should be used but let us take the number of bearings as 5 (according to Figure 2b). As mentioned above, for each beam run, the differential equation of the elastic axis and its solution will take the form of (4) and (6) respectively. The system of boundary conditions, based on (7), will be as follows

$$\begin{cases} y_{1}(0) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{1}''(0) = 0, \\ y_{1}(l) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{2}(l) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{1}'(l) = y_{2}'(l), \\ y_{1}''(l) = y_{2}''(l), \\ y_{2}(l+0.5l_{m}) = -r_{cav}, \\ y_{3}(l+0.5l_{m}) = -r_{cav}, \\ y_{2}(l+0.5l_{m}) = y_{3}'(l+0.5l_{m}), \\ y_{2}''(l+0.5l_{m}) = y_{3}''(l+0.5l_{m}), \\ y_{3}(l+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{4}(l+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{3}'(l+l_{m}) = y_{4}'(l+l_{m}), \\ y_{3}''(l+l_{m}) = y_{4}''(l+l_{m}), \\ y_{4}'(2l+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{4}'(2l+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{4}''(2l+l_{m}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(14)$$

Dependencies to define normal bending stress will have the form of (12) and (13) but the variables of integration they include should be found using the system (14).

Additionally, it is possible to determine the reaction of the wall of the chute/cavern to the drill string by the formula

$$R_{cav} = EI \ y_3^{m} (l+0.5l_m). \tag{15}$$

As it can be seen from Figure 2c, the case of strain of the drill string when there is contact with the top of the wall of the well but no contact with the bottom of a chute or a cavern is possible. This will be indicated by not meeting

the condition (10) or meeting the condition (11). In this case, to model the drill string, a sizeable beam on 6 bearings is chosen (see Figure 2c). The general form of differential equations and their solutions remains unchanged ((4) and (6) respectively). However, to define the variables of integration, the following system of boundary conditions should be used

$$\begin{cases} y_{1}(0) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{1}'(0) = 0, \\ y_{1}(l) = r_{adm}, \\ y_{2}(l) = r_{adm}, \\ y_{1}'(l) = y_{2}'(l), \\ y_{1}''(l) = y_{2}''(l), \\ y_{2}(l+l_{1}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{3}(l+l_{1}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{3}(l+l_{1}) = y_{3}'(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{2}''(l+l_{1}) = y_{3}''(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{2}''(l+l_{1}) = y_{3}''(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{3}(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{4}(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{4}(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{4}'(l+l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{3}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{4}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{3}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{4}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{4}(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{5}(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{5}'(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{4}''(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{5}''(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{4}''(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{5}''(l+2l_{1}+l_{m}), \\ y_{5}'(2l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_{5}'(2l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(16)$$

In the system (16), l is the distance between the contact points of the drill string with the bottom and top of the borehole walls along the axis of the well (sections 0–1 and 4–5, Figure 2c), l_l is the distance from the end of a chute or a cavern to the contact point of the drill string with the top of the well wall (sections 1–2 and 3–4, Figure 2c).

Given (16), normal bending stress is defined by the formulas (12) and (13), and the equality

$$\sigma_{3} = \frac{1}{2} E \, d_{c} \, y_{3}''. \tag{17}$$

Let us define the reaction of the top of the wall of the well by the formula

$$R_{\rm vs} = EI \ y_2^{\prime\prime\prime}(l). \tag{18}$$

Finally, there is an option when neither of the conditions (10) or (11) is met. This means that the drill string will touch both the top of the borehole and the bottom of the chute/cavern (Figure 2d). As we can see, to model the drill string, a sizeable beam on 7 bearings should be used. As in previous cases, the general form of differential equations and their solutions remains unchanged ((4) and (6) respectively). To calculate the value of the variables of integration, the following system of boundary conditions should be used

$$\begin{cases} y_1(0) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_1'(0) = 0, \\ y_1(l) = r_{adm}, \\ y_2(l) = r_{adm}, \\ y_2(l) = r_{adm}, \\ y_1(l) = y_2'(l), \\ y_1'(l) = y_2'(l), \\ y_2(l+l_1) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_3(l+l_1) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_2'(l+l_1) = y_3'(l+l_1), \\ y_1''(l+l_1) = y_3''(l+l_1), \\ y_1''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = -r_{cav}, \\ y_4(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = -r_{cav}, \\ y_4(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = y_4'(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m), \\ y_3''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = y_4''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m), \\ y_4(l+l_1 + l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_5(l+l_1 + l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_5(l+l_1 + l_m) = y_5'(l+l_1 + l_m), \\ y_4''(l+l_1 + l_m) = y_5'(l+l_1 + l_m), \\ y_5(l+2l_1 + l_m) = r_{adm}, \\ y_6(l+2l_1 + l_m) = r_{adm}, \\ y_5(l+2l_1 + l_m) = y_6'(l+2l_1 + l_m), \\ y_5'(l+2l_1 + l_m) = y_6''(l+2l_1 + l_m), \\ y_6'(2l+2l_1 + l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_6'(2l+2l_1 + l_m) = -r_{adm}, \\ y_6'(2l+2l_1 + l_m) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The stress state of the drill string in this case is described by the functions (12), (13), and (17). The response of the top of the well wall can be defined by the formula (18), and the reaction of the bottom of the chute/cavern by the formula

$$R_{cav} = EI \ y_4^{m} (l+l_1+0.5l_m). \tag{19}$$

2.2 The Impact of Chutes/Caverns on the Stress-Strain State of the Drill String in a Curved Borehole

It is clear that violating the integrity of the walls of wells in the form of chutes and caverns can be obvious at any point of the borehole, including in the curved section.

Let us consider the case when only a zenith angle is increasing or decreasing, i.e. the curved axis lies in the same plane.

Let us assume, similarly to the previous subsection, that in each case, four positions of the drill string in relation to a chute or a cavern are possible. Thus, on each run, the elastic axis of the hole will also be described by the differential equation (4) with the solution (6), and its states should also be modelled using a sizeable beam loaded with axial force, and relying on 4, 5, 6, and 7 bearings (see Figure 1). However, hinged bearings "0" and "n" should be replaced with fixed bearings. The structure of the systems to calculate the variables of integration also remain unchanged, except the need to consider the formulas (8) and (9). However, directional indicators of the curved borehole will affect the deflection value of beam bearings. Let us consider how.

To begin with, let us analyse the case when the zenith angle is increasing (see Figure 3).



Figure 3. The computational system to define deflection of the beam bearings when modelling the drill string above a chute or a cavern and increasing the zenith angle

Let us assume that the direction of the beam axis matches the direction of the x-axis X_{CS} of the orthogonal coordinate system, and deflections of the bearings are parallel to the axis Y_{CS} . The length of the beam equal 2AB (see Figure 3).

The start of the coordinate system $[X_{CS} : Y_{CS}]$ point *A* lies on the axis of the well marked with a dash-dot line. The arc *AE* corresponds to the section of the curved borehole without violating the integrity of the walls. The arc *EJ* of the axis of the well lies directly above the chute/cavern. The length of the section of the well when violating the integrity of its walls amounts to *2EJ*.

The walls of the well are schematically marked with a thick solid line, and conditional boundaries of a chute or a cavern with a thick dashed line. Since we believe that the strain of the beam is symmetrical to the chute/cavern, the deflection of its bearings will equal the length of the following line segments (see Table 3).

Table 3. Designation of the line segments whose length equals the deflection of beam bearings according to Figure 2.

Number of position	Bearing designation						
according to Figure 2.	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
a	AC	IG	IG	AC	-	-	
b	AC	IG	ВК	IG	AC	-	-
с	AC	NP	IG	IG	NP	AC	-
d	AC	NP	IG	ВК	IG	NP	AC

(20)

At the initial stage of the calculation, we assume that the drill string contacts the walls of the well at 4 points. To define the values of the variables of integration within the functions of the elastic axis of the drill string on each run, let us use the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_1(0) = -AC, \\ y_1'(0) = -\tan(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2), \\ y_1(l) = -IG, \\ y_2(l) = -IG, \\ y_1'(l) = y_2'(l), \\ y_1''(l) = y_2''(l), \\ y_1''(l) = y_2''(l), \\ y_2(l+l_m) = -IG, \\ y_3(l+l_m) = -IG, \\ y_2'(l+l_m) = y_3'(l+l_m), \\ y_2''(l+l_m) = y_3''(l+l_m), \\ y_3'(2l+l_m) = -AC, \\ y_3'(2l+l_m) = \tan(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2). \end{cases}$$

According to Figure 3, let us define the length of sections AC and IG. We will get the following result

$$AB = R_{H} \sin(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}),$$

$$OB = R_{H} \cos(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}),$$

$$OD = \sqrt{(R_{H} + r_{adm})^{2} - AB^{2}},$$

$$AC = OD - OB,$$

$$EF = R_{H} \sin(\varphi_{2}),$$

$$OF = R_{H} \cos(\varphi_{2}),$$

$$OH = \sqrt{(R_{H} + r_{adm})^{2} - EF},$$

(21)

$$H = \sqrt{(R_H + r_{adm})^2 - EF},$$
(21)

$$IG = OH - OB, \tag{22}$$

where R_H is the radius of the curvature of the axis of the well curved section. The angles φ_1 and φ_2 are calculated as follows

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{\overrightarrow{AE}}{R_H}, \quad \varphi_2 = \frac{\overrightarrow{EJ}}{R_H}.$$
 (23)

The length of the arc AE is defined by the numerical method, based on the following conditions

$$(OB - y_1(z_1))^2 + (z_1 - AB)^2 \le (R_H + r_{adm})^2, \quad 0 \le z_1 \le l,$$
(24)

$$\frac{1}{R_H} = y_1''(0). \tag{25}$$

Values l and l_m respectively equal

$$l = AB - EF, (26)$$
$$l_m = 2EF.$$

If the drill string does not contact the top of the wall of the well and the bottom of the chute/cavern, additionally the following conditions will be met

$$(OB - y_1(z_1))^2 + (z_1 - AB)^2 > (R_H - r_{adm})^2, \quad 0 \le z_1 \le l,$$
(27)

$$(OB - y_2(z_2))^2 + (z_2 - AB)^2 < (R_H + r_{cav})^2, \quad l \le z_2 \le l + l_m.$$
⁽²⁸⁾

In this case, the value of normal bending stress can be defined by the formulas (12) and (13).

If inequality (27) is met, and (28) is not, it means that the drill string will touch the bottom of the chute/cavern. In this case, to model it, a beam on 5 bearings should be used, and the system to define the values of the variables of integration will take the following form

10

(

$$y_{1}(0) = -AC,$$

$$y_{1}'(0) = -\tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}),$$

$$y_{1}(l) = -IG,$$

$$y_{2}(l) = -IG,$$

$$y_{1}'(l) = y_{2}'(l),$$

$$y_{1}'(l) = y_{2}''(l),$$

$$y_{2}(l + 0.5l_{m}) = -BK,$$

$$y_{2}(l + 0.5l_{m}) = y_{3}'(l + 0.5l_{m}),$$

$$y_{2}''(l + 0.5l_{m}) = y_{3}''(l + 0.5l_{m}),$$

$$y_{3}'(l + l_{m}) = -IG,$$

$$y_{4}(l + l_{m}) = -IG,$$

$$y_{3}'(l + l_{m}) = y_{4}'(l + l_{m}),$$

$$y_{3}''(l + l_{m}) = y_{4}''(l + l_{m}),$$

$$y_{4}'(2l + l_{m}) = -AC,$$

$$y_{4}''(2l + l_{m}) = \tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}),$$

where

$$BK = (R_H + r_{cav}) - OB.$$

As noted earlier, it is possible that the drill string will touch the top of the wall of the well at two points. There will be no contact with the bottom of the chute/cavern. Such position of the string will indicate the failure to satisfy inequality (27) and satisfying (28). In this case, to model the stress-strain state of the drill string, a beam on 6 bearings should be used. In this case, the values of the variables of integration are obtained as solutions to the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_{1}(0) = -AC, \\ y'_{1}(0) = -\tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}), \\ y_{1}(l) = -NP, \\ y_{2}(l) = -NP, \\ y'_{2}(l) = y''_{2}(l), \\ y''_{1}(l) = y''_{2}(l), \\ y''_{2}(l + l_{1}) = -IG, \\ y'_{2}(l + l_{1}) = y''_{3}(l + l_{1}), \\ y''_{2}(l + l_{1}) = y''_{3}(l + l_{1}), \\ y''_{2}(l + l_{1} + l_{m}) = -IG, \\ y'_{3}(l + l_{1} + l_{m}) = -IG, \\ y'_{3}(l + l_{1} + l_{m}) = y''_{4}(l + l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y''_{3}(l + l_{1} + l_{m}) = -NP, \\ y''_{3}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}) = -NP, \\ y'_{4}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}) = y''_{5}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y''_{4}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}) = y''_{5}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y''_{4}(l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}) = -AC, \\ y'_{5}(2l + 2l_{1} + l_{m}) = \tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}); \end{cases}$$

(30)

(29)

The length of the line section NP is defined by the formulas (see Figure 3)

$$LM = R_{H} \sin(\varphi_{3}),$$

$$OM = R_{H} \cos(\varphi_{3}),$$

$$OQ = \sqrt{(R_{H} - r_{adm})^{2} - LM},$$
(31)

$$NP = OQ - OB. \tag{32}$$

The lengths l and l_1 and the angles φ_1 , φ_2 , φ_3 in the solution of the system (30) equal

$$l = AB - LM, \tag{33}$$

$$l_1 = LM - EF, \tag{34}$$

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{\overrightarrow{AL + LE}}{R_{_H}}, \quad \varphi_2 = \frac{\overrightarrow{EJ}}{R_{_H}}, \quad \varphi_3 = \frac{\overrightarrow{LE + EJ}}{R_{_H}}. \tag{35}$$

The length of the arcs AL and LE are defined by the numerical method. Also, the conditions (24) and (25) and the following equation should be fulfilled

$y_1'(l) = \tan(\varphi_3).$

During the calculations, there is an option when neither of the conditions (27) or (28) is met. This means that the drill string will touch both the top of the wall of the well and the bottom of the chute/cavern. To model the state of the drill string in this case, a beam on 7 bearings should be used. The values of the variables of integration should be defined from the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y_1(0) = -AC, \\ y_1'(0) = -\tan(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2), \\ y_1(l) = -NP, \\ y_2(l) = -NP, \\ y_1'(l) = y_2'(l), \\ y_1''(l) = y_2''(l), \\ y_2(l+l_1) = -IG, \\ y_2(l+l_1) = -IG, \\ y_2'(l+l_1) = y_3''(l+l_1), \\ y_2''(l+l_1) = y_3''(l+l_1), \\ y_1''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = -BK, \\ y_4(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = -BK, \\ y_4(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = y_4'(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m), \\ y_3''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m) = y_4''(l+l_1 + 0,5l_m), \\ y_4(l+l_1 + l_m) = -IG, \\ y_5(l+l_1 + l_m) = -IG, \\ y_4(l+l_1 + l_m) = y_5'(l+l_1 + l_m), \\ y_5'(l+2l_1 + l_m) = -NP, \\ y_5(l+2l_1 + l_m) = -NP, \\ y_5(l+2l_1 + l_m) = y_6'(l+2l_1 + l_m), \\ y_5'(l+2l_1 + l_m) = y_6'(l+2l_1 + l_m), \\ y_5'(l+2l_1 + l_m) = -AC, \\ y_6'(2l+2l_1 + l_m) = \tan(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2). \end{cases}$$

(36)

The lengths *l* and *l*₁ and the angles φ_1 , φ_2 , φ_3 are defined by the formulas (33), (34), and (35).

Normal bending stress in any section of the drill string as well as the value of the response of the bottom of the chute/cavern and the top of the wall of the well can be defined by the formulas provided in Table 4.

Table 4. Models of the drill string and corresponding numbers of the formulas to determine stress and reactions in a curved borehole

Drill string model	Numbers of the formulas to determine stress	Numbers of the formulas to determine the reaction of the bottom of the chute / cavern	Numbers of the formulas to determine the reaction of the top of the wall of the well
Beam on 4 bearings	(12), (13)	-	-
Beam on 5 bearings	(12), (13)	(15)	-
Beam on 6 bearings	(12), (13), (17)	-	(18)
Beam on 7 bearings	(12), (13), (17)	(19)	(18)

In the case when the calculation is done for a curved section of the well, the radial component of the unit length of the drill string will equal

$$q_r = q \sin(\alpha_{zen}^*),$$

where α_{zen}^* is a zenith angle in the middle of the chute/cavern. Let us analyse the case when a zenith angle is decreasing (see Figure 4).



Figure 4. The computational system to define the deflection of beam bearings when modelling the drill string above a chute or a cavern and reducing the zenith angle

All the statements concerning Figure 3 will be true also for Figure 4. Tables 3 and 4 can be also used for the case of a decreasing zenith angle. Additional inequalities which can help find the position of the drill string at the point of a chute or a cavern will be as follows

$$(OB + y_1(z_1))^2 + (z_1 - AB)^2 < (R_H + r_{adm})^2, \quad 0 \le z_1 \le l,$$
(37)

$$(OB + y_2(z_2))^2 + (z_2 - AB)^2 > (R_H - r_{cav})^2, \quad l \le z_2 \le l + l_m.$$
(38)

The general form of the system of boundary conditions (20), (29), (30) and (36) will, of course, remain unchanged. However, given Figure 4, there will be some differences:

- there is no contact either with the bottom of a chute or a cavern or with the top of the wall of the well (inequalities (37) and (38) are satisfied)

$$y_{1}(0) = -AC,$$

$$y_{1}'(0) = \tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}),$$

$$y_{1}(l) = IG,$$

$$y_{2}(l) = IG,$$

$$y_{1}'(l) = y_{2}'(l),$$

$$y_{1}''(l) = y_{2}''(l),$$

$$y_{2}(l + l_{m}) = IG,$$

$$y_{3}(l + l_{m}) = IG,$$

$$y_{2}'(l + l_{m}) = y_{3}'(l + l_{m}),$$

$$y_{2}''(l + l_{m}) = -AC,$$

$$y_{3}'(2l + l_{m}) = -\tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2});$$

- there is contact with the bottom of a chute or a cavern but there is no contact with the top of the wall of the well (inequalities (37) and (38) are satisfied)

$$\begin{cases} y_{1}(0) = -AC, \\ y'_{1}(0) = \tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}), \\ y_{1}(l) = IG, \\ y_{2}(l) = IG, \\ y'_{2}(l) = y''_{2}(l), \\ y''_{1}(l) = y''_{2}(l), \\ y''_{1}(l) = y''_{2}(l), \\ y_{2}(l + 0,5l_{m}) = BK, \\ y'_{3}(l + 0,5l_{m}) = BK, \\ y''_{2}(l + 0,5l_{m}) = y''_{3}(l + 0,5l_{m}), \\ y''_{2}(l + 0,5l_{m}) = y''_{3}(l + 0,5l_{m}), \\ y''_{3}(l + l_{m}) = IG, \\ y'_{4}(l + l_{m}) = IG, \\ y'_{3}(l + l_{m}) = y''_{4}(l + l_{m}), \\ y''_{3}(l + l_{m}) = y''_{4}(l + l_{m}), \\ y''_{4}(2l + l_{m}) = -AC, \\ y'_{4}(2l + l_{m}) = -\tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}); \end{cases}$$

- there is contact with the bottom of a chute or a cavern but there is no contact with the top of the wall of the well (inequality (37) is not satisfied, (38) is satisfied)

 $\int y_1(0) = -AC,$ $y_1'(0) = \tan(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2),$ $y_1(l) = NP$, $y_2(l) = NP$, $y_1'(l) = y_2'(l),$ $y_1''(l) = y_2''(l),$ $y_2(l+l_1) = IG,$ $y_3(l+l_1) = IG,$ $y'_{2}(l+l_{1}) = y'_{3}(l+l_{1}),$ $y_{2}''(l+l_{1}) = y_{3}''(l+l_{1}),$ $y_3(l+l_1+l_m) = IG,$ $y_4(l+l_1+l_m) = IG,$ $y'_{3}(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = y'_{4}(l+l_{1}+l_{m}),$ $y_{3}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}) = y_{4}''(l+l_{1}+l_{m}),$ $y_4(l+2l_1+l_m) = NP,$ $y_5(l+2l_1+l_m) = NP,$ $y'_4(l+2l_1+l_m) = y'_5(l+2l_1+l_m),$ $y_4''(l+2l_1+l_m) = y_5''(l+2l_1+l_m),$ $y_5(2l+2l_1+l_m) = -AC,$ $y'_{5}(2l+2l_{1}+l_{m}) = -\tan(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2});$

- there is contact both with the bottom of a chute or a cavern and the top of the wall of the well (none of the inequalities (37) or (38) is satisfied)

$$\begin{split} y_{1}(0) &= -AC, \\ y_{1}'(0) &= \tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}), \\ y_{1}(l) &= NP, \\ y_{2}(l) &= NP, \\ y_{2}(l) &= NP, \\ y_{1}'(l) &= y_{2}'(l), \\ y_{1}''(l) &= y_{2}''(l), \\ y_{2}(l+l_{1}) &= IG, \\ y_{2}(l+l_{1}) &= y_{3}'(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{2}''(l+l_{1}) &= y_{3}''(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{2}''(l+l_{1}) &= y_{3}''(l+l_{1}), \\ y_{1}''(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}) &= BK, \\ y_{4}(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}) &= BK, \\ y_{4}(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}) &= y_{4}''(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}), \\ y_{3}''(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}) &= y_{4}''(l+l_{1} + 0.5l_{m}), \\ y_{4}(l+l_{1} + l_{m}) &= IG, \\ y_{5}(l+l_{1} + l_{m}) &= IG, \\ y_{4}'(l+l_{1} + l_{m}) &= y_{5}'(l+l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y_{4}''(l+l_{1} + l_{m}) &= y_{5}''(l+l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y_{5}(l+2l_{1} + l_{m}) &= NP, \\ y_{6}(l+2l_{1} + l_{m}) &= y_{6}'(l+2l_{1} + l_{m}), \\ y_{5}''(l+2l_{1} + l_{m}) &= -AC, \\ y_{6}'(2l+2l_{1} + l_{m}) &= -\tan(\varphi_{1} + \varphi_{2}). \end{split}$$

In case of a decreasing zenith angle (please see Figure 4), the length of the line sections AC and BK are defined by the following equations

$$AC = OB - OD,$$

$$BK = (R_H - r_{cav}) - OB.$$

Values *IG*, *NP*, *l*, l_1 , φ_1 , φ_2 , φ_3 can be calculated by the formulas (22), (32), and (26), or (33), (34), (23), and (35). However, in the calculation algorithms, equality (21) should be replaced with

$$OH = \sqrt{(R_H - r_{adm})^2 - EF},$$

and equality (31) with

$$OQ = \sqrt{(R_H + r_{adm})^2 - LM}.$$

3. Results

As the result of the analytical research conducted, the formulas for determining normal bending stress (12), (13), (17) and responses of the walls of the well (15), (19), (18) were obtained, depending on the functions of the elastic axis of the drill string, which is subject to strain both in a straight and a curved borehole with a chute/cavern.

Using the results obtained, the stress-strain state of the string of drill pipes with an outer diameter of 127 mm and wall thickness of 9 mm, which is located above the chute/cavern in the straight borehole (see Table 5) with a diameter of 219.5 mm. For the calculation, the following values of cavernosity ratio of the borehole were selected $-K_{\kappa}=3$ and $K_{\kappa}=4$ [9].

Table 5. Maximum normal	bending stress	(MPa) in	1 the cross sect	ion of the drill	l string
	67	· /			

Zenith angle,	Cavernosity	Tension		Length of th	e chute / cavern	, m
degrees	ratio	force, kN	5	10	15	20
30	2	0	2	10	22	29 (240)
60	2	0	4	17	39	37 (736)
90	2	0	5	20	45	39 (920)
30	2	75	2	9	17	26
60	2	75	4	15	29	37 (339)
90	2	75	5	17	34	39 (522)
30	2	150	2	8	14	21
60	2	150	4	13	25	36
90	2	150	5	15	28	39 (132)
30	3	0	2	10	22	40
60	3	0	4	17	39	52 (398)
90	3	0	5	20	45	54 (577)
30	3	75	2	9	17	26
60	3	75	4	15	29	45
90	3	75	5	17	34	52
30	3	150	2	8	14	21
60	3	150	4	13	25	36
90	3	150	5	15	28	42
30	4	0	2	10	22	40
60	4	0	4	17	39	63 (124)
90	4	0	5	20	45	66 (300)
30	4	75	2	9	17	26
60	4	75	4	15	29	45
90	4	75	5	17	34	52
30	4	150	2	8	14	21
60	4	150	4	13	25	36
90	4	150	5	15	28	42

Note. The designation (const) means that the value of stress is obtained given the contact of the drill string with the bottom of the chute/cavern. The magnitude of the response (N) is indicated in parentheses.

As expected, the value of normal bending stress increases along with the length of the chute/cavern. An increasing zenith angle of the well in other constant conditions also causes an increase in the value of normal bending stress. Instead, an increase in the axial tension force with a constant length of the chute/cavern and the value of the zenith angle reduces the level of normal bending stress. Let us also note that increasing the value of the cavernosity ratio increases normal bending stress.

As we can see, in the most unfavourable conditions (zenith angle of the well 90°, length of the chute/cavern 20 m, no axial tension force, cavernosity ratio 4), the value of normal bending stress (66 MPa) reaches 73% from the fatigue limit with zero-level cycle for the above pipe size ($\sigma_0 = 90$ MPa).

Using the dependencies presented in subsection 2.2, let us analyse the stress-strain state of the drill string with an outer diameter of 127 mm with wall thickness of 9 mm at the point with the chute/cavern in the curved borehole with a diameter of 219.5 mm. The radius of the curvature of the axis of the curved section is 319 m. The zenith angle in the middle of the chute/cavern is 46°. There is no axial tension force. The calculation results are presented in Table 6.

Length of the chute / cavern, m	Cavernosity ratio	Maximum normal bending stress, MPa	Maximum normal bending stress without a chute/cavern, MPa
2.	2, 3, 4	42	
4	2, 3, 4	44	
6	2, 3, 4	46	
8	2, 3, 4	49	
10	2, 3, 4	53	
12	2, 3, 4	58	
14	2, 3, 4	63	
16	2, 3, 4	70	
18	2	63 (277)	
20	2	60 (535)	
22	2	58 (744)	
			42
18	3, 4	77	
20.	3.	74 (202)	
22.	3.	67 (486)	
24	3	65 (719)	
26	3	64 (916)	
20	4	86	
22	4	77 (277)	
24	4	71 (553)	
26	4	68 (782)	
28	4	68 (980)	

Table 6. Maximum normal bending stress (MPa) in the cross section of the drill string

Note. The designation (const) means that the value of stress is obtained given the contact of the drill string with the bottom of the chute/cavern. The magnitude of the response (N) is indicated in parentheses.

As we can see from Table 6, increasing the chute/cavern length causes an increase in normal bending stress in the case when the drill string has no contact with its bottom. This result is expected. However, when the drill string touches the bottom of the chute/cavern, a reverse effect is observed. Apparently, it can be explained by the fact that increasing the chute/cavern length at a constant depth leads to the fact that the radius of the circle increases, which is the locus of the start points, the end of the chute/cavern, and the mid point on its bottom. As a result, if the drill string rests on the bottom of the chute/cavern, increasing its length causes a decrease in the value of normal bending stress (this can be seen from Table 6).

The change in the value of the downforce to the bottom of the chute/cavern is clear-cut. Increasing the length of the chute/cavern and zenith angle of the well increases the magnitude of the reaction between the drill string and the bottom of the chute, and vice versa.

Let us slightly change the initial data. Let the nominal diameter of the well equal 190.5 mm. Geometrical parameters of the well at the point of the chute are as follows – diameter 2000 mm; length 32 m; zenith angle 70°. According to inequalities (27) and (28), the drill string will touch the top of the wall of the well. The maximum bending stress that will occur equals 186 MPa. As we can see, this value is approximately twice as high as the fatigue limit of the drill pipes. The downforce of the drill string to the top of the wall of the well equals 2445 N.

If the diameter of the well at the point of the chute/cavern equalled 1800 mm, the drill string would additionally contact the bottom of the chute/cavern. In this case, the maximum value of normal bending stress will be 176 MPa. The downforce of the drill string to the bottom of the chute/cavern will be 112 N, and the reaction between the string and the top of the wall of the well will be 2205 N.

4. Conclusion

Summarising the results of the calculations from Tables 5 and 6, it should be noted that the proposed calculated dependencies allow to consider the increase of the level of normal bending stress in the drill string at the point of formation of a chute/cavern both in a straight and a curved borehole. This method allows to evaluate the stress-strain state of drill strings by the results of the profile metrics of the borehole. For example, such information may serve as initial data to predict fatigue durability of the drill string.

As noted, in contradistinction to previous studies, proposed method allows to consider features of the position and interaction of the drill string with the walls of the borehole and cavern/chute. Also, axial and radial forces which operate on the drill string take into account.

Proposed method may be important for the petroleum engineers during drilling in complicated geological conditions.

It is also worth noting that the above theoretical research does not cover the case when the drill string "falls" at the bottom of the chute / cavern. As the results in Tables 5 and 6 show, the probability of such situation when drilling real wells is rather low although it should not be neglected. Developing a similar method but for the curved sections of wells with adjusting both zenith and azimuth angles is interesting.

References

- Feodos'ev, V. I. (2000). *Strength of materials: handbook for univ. and inst* (10th ed.). Moscow: publ. of Bauman MSTU. (in Russian)
- Frolov, E. P., Koshelev, N. N., & Alishanyan, R. R. (1970). Mechanism of caving and some basic factors that define its development, *RNTS, VNIIOENG, ser. Burenie,* 7, 3-5.
- Gryciv, V. V. (2012). *Improved methods of predicting resource elements of the drill string* (Abstract of Ph.D. dissertation). Ivano-Frankivsk National technical university of oil and gas, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine.
- Ivasiv, V. M., Rachkevych, R. V., Javors'kyj, M. M., & Kozlov, A. V. (2004). Intense-deformed state of a drill string in a well bore with caverns. *Rozvidka ta rozrobka naftovykh i hazovykh rodovyshch, 4*(13), 113-116.
- Novikov, V. S. (2000). Stability of argillaceous rocks during drilling. Moscow: Nedra. (in Russian)
- Peysikov, Y. V. (1992). Caving in drilling wells. Geologiya nefti i gaza, 6, 6-11.
- Rachkevych, R. V. (2006). *Prediction of drill string fatigue life in abnormal conditions of wells drilling* (Abstract of Ph.D. dissertation). Ivano-Frankivsk National technical university of oil and gas, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine.
- Semenyuk, D. M. (2002). Determination of pressing forces that load drill string which is operated at hole sections with various curvature and torsion and with caverns. *Stroitel'stvo neftyanykh i gazovykh skvazhin na sushe i na more, 2*, 21-23.
- Zhestovskiy, A. D. (1972). Determination of three-dimensional orientation of caverns in borehole by the analytical method. *Neftyanoe khozyaystvo*, 2, 13-17.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).