

# Hamiltonian Vector Fields on Weil Bundles

Norbert Mahoungou Moukala<sup>1</sup>, Basile Guy Richard Bossoto<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Marien NGOUABI University, Faculty of Science and Technology, Brazzaville, Congo

<sup>2</sup> Institut de Recherche en Sciences Naturelles et Exactes, Brazzaville, Congo

Correspondence: Basile Guy Richard BOSSOTO, Marien NGOUABI University, Faculty of Science and Technology; Institut de Recherche en Sciences Naturelles et Exactes. BP : 69, Brazzaville, Congo. E-mail: bossotob@yahoo.fr

Received: May 30, 2015 Accepted: June 18, 2015 Online Published: July 17, 2015

doi:10.5539/jmr.v7n3p141 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v7n3p141>

## Abstract

Let  $M$  be a paracompact smooth manifold,  $A$  a Weil algebra and  $M^A$  the associated Weil bundle. In this paper, we give a characterization of hamiltonian field on  $M^A$  in the case of Poisson manifold and of Symplectic manifold.

**Keywords:** Weil algebra, Weil bundle, Poisson manifold, hamiltonian vector fields

## 1. Introduction

In what follows, we denote by  $M$ , a paracompact smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ ,  $C^\infty(M)$  the algebra of smooth functions on  $M$  and  $A$  a Weil algebra i.e a real commutative algebra of finite dimension, with unit, and with an unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of codimension 1 over  $\mathbb{R}$  (Weil, 1953). In this case, there exists an integer  $h$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^{h+1} = (0)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}^h \neq (0)$ . The integer  $h$  is the height of  $A$ . Also we have  $A = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ .

We recall that a near point of  $x \in M$  of kind  $A$  (Weil, 1953) is a morphism of algebras

$$\xi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow A$$

such that

$$\xi(f) - f(x) \in \mathfrak{m}$$

for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . We denote  $M_x^A$  the set of near points of  $x \in M$  of kind  $A$  and  $M^A = \bigcup_{x \in M} M_x^A$  the manifold of infinitely near points of  $M$  of kind  $A$  and

$$\pi_M : M^A \longrightarrow M$$

the projection which assigns every infinitely near point of  $x \in M$  to its origin  $x$ . The triplet  $(M^A, \pi_M, M)$  defines a bundle called bundle of infinitely near points or simply Weil bundle (Kolár, Michor, Slovak, 1993).

When  $M$  and  $N$  are smooth manifolds and when  $h : M \longrightarrow N$  is a differentiable map of class  $C^\infty$ , then the map

$$h^A : M^A \longrightarrow N^A, \xi \longmapsto h^A(\xi)$$

such that for all  $g$  in  $C^\infty(N)$ ,

$$[h^A(\xi)](g) = \xi(g \circ h)$$

is differentiable (Morimoto, 1976). Thus, for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , the map

$$f^A : M^A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^A = A, \xi \longmapsto [f^A(\xi)](id_{\mathbb{R}}) = \xi(id_{\mathbb{R}} \circ f) = \xi(f)$$

is differentiable of class  $C^\infty$ . The set,  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  of smooth functions on  $M^A$  with values in  $A$ , is a commutative algebra over  $A$  with unit and the map

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto f^A$$

is an injective morphism of algebras. Then, we have (Bossoto & Okassa, 2008):

$$(f + g)^A = f^A + g^A; (\lambda \cdot f)^A = \lambda \cdot f^A; (f \cdot g)^A = f^A \cdot g^A$$

for  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### 1.1 Vector Fields on Weil Bundles

In (Bossoto & Okassa, 2008) and (Nkou, Bossoto & Okassa, 2015), we showed that the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1) A vector field on  $M^A$  is a differentiable section of the tangent bundle  $(TM^A, \pi_{M^A}, M^A)$ .
- 2) A vector field on  $M^A$  is a derivation of  $C^\infty(M^A)$ .
- 3) A vector field on  $M^A$  is a derivation of  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  which is  $A$ -linear.
- 4) A vector field on  $M^A$  is a linear map  $X : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that

$$X(f \cdot g) = X(f) \cdot g^A + f^A \cdot X(g), \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

In all that follows, we denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$  the set of vector fields on  $M^A$  and  $Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)]$  the set of  $A$ -linear maps

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

such that

$$X(\varphi \cdot \psi) = X(\varphi) \cdot \psi + \varphi \cdot X(\psi), \quad \text{for any } \varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

Then (Nkou, Bossoto & Okassa, 2015),

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) = Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)].$$

The map

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \times \mathfrak{X}(M^A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M^A), (X, Y) \mapsto [X, Y] = X \circ Y - Y \circ X$$

is skew-symmetric  $A$ -bilinear and defines a structure of an  $A$ -Lie algebra over  $\mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ .

If

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

is a vector field on  $M$ , then there exists one and only one  $A$ -linear derivation,

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

called prolongation of the vector field  $\theta$ , such that

$$\theta^A(f^A) = [\theta(f)]^A, \quad \text{for any } f \in C^\infty(M).$$

If  $\theta, \theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are vector fields on  $M$  and if  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , then we have:

$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^A = \theta_1^A + \theta_2^A; (f \cdot \theta)^A = f^A \cdot \theta^A; [\theta_1, \theta_2]^A = [\theta_1^A, \theta_2^A].$$

The map

$$\mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \theta \mapsto \theta^A$$

is an injective morphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -Lie algebras.

### 1.2 Structure of $A$ -Poisson Manifold on $M^A$ When $M$ is a Poisson Manifold

We recall that a Poisson structure on a smooth manifold  $M$  is due to the existence of a bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $C^\infty(M)$  such that the pair  $(C^\infty(M), \{, \})$  is a real Lie algebra such that, for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  the map

$$ad(f) : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M), g \mapsto \{f, g\}$$

is a derivation of commutative algebra i.e

$$\{f, g \cdot h\} = \{f, g\} \cdot h + g \cdot \{f, h\}$$

for  $f, g, h \in C^\infty(M)$ . In this case we say that  $M$  is a Poisson manifold and  $C^\infty(M)$  is a Poisson algebra (Vaisman, 1994, 1995).

We denote by

$$C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}[C^\infty(M)], f \longmapsto ad(f),$$

the adjoint representation and  $d_{ad}$  the operator of cohomology associated to this representation. For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\Lambda_{Pois}^p(M) = \mathcal{L}_{sk_s}^p[C^\infty(M), C^\infty(M)]$$

denotes the  $C^\infty(M)$ -module of skew-symmetric multilinear forms of degree  $p$  from  $C^\infty(M)$  into  $C^\infty(M)$ . We have

$$\Lambda_{Pois}^0(M) = C^\infty(M).$$

When  $M$  is a smooth manifold,  $A$  a weil algebra and  $M^A$  the associated Weil bundle, the  $A$ -algebra  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  is a Poisson algebra over  $A$  if there exists a bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that the pair  $(C^\infty(M^A, A), \{, \})$  is a Lie algebra over  $A$  satisfying

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \varphi_3\} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_3\} \cdot \varphi_2 + \varphi_1 \cdot \{\varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$$

for any  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  (Bossoto & Okassa, 2012).

When  $M$  is a Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}$ , for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , let

$$[ad(f)]^A : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), g \longmapsto \{f, g\}^A,$$

be the prolongation of the vector field  $ad(f)$  and let

$$[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

be the unique  $A$ -linear derivation such that

$$[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(g^A) = [ad(f)]^A(g) = \{f, g\}^A$$

for any  $g \in C^\infty(M)$ .

For  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , the application

$$\tau_\varphi : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), f \longmapsto -[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(\varphi)$$

is a vector field on  $M^A$  considered as derivation of  $C^\infty(M)$  into  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  and

$$\widetilde{\tau}_\varphi : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

the unique  $A$ -linear derivation (vector field) such that

$$\widetilde{\tau}_\varphi(f^A) = \tau_\varphi(f) = -[\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A(\varphi)$$

for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . We have for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{f^A} = [\widetilde{ad(f)}]^A,$$

and for  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  and for  $a \in A$ ,

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{\varphi+\psi} = \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi + \widetilde{\tau}_\psi; \widetilde{\tau}_{a \cdot \varphi} = a \cdot \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi; \widetilde{\tau}_{\varphi \cdot \psi} = \varphi \cdot \widetilde{\tau}_\psi + \psi \cdot \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi.$$

For any  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we let

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_A = \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi(\psi).$$

In (Bossoto & Okassa, 2012), we showed that this bracket defines a structure of  $A$ -Poisson algebra on  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ .

Thus when  $M$  is a Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}$ , then  $\{, \}_A$  is the prolongation on  $M^A$  of the structure of Poisson on  $M$  defined by  $\{, \}$ .

The map

$$C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \varphi \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi,$$

is a representation from  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  into  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ . We denote  $\widetilde{d}_A$  the cohomology operator associated to this adjoint representation (Nkou & Bossoto, 2014).

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Lambda_{Pois}^p(M^A, \sim_A) = \mathcal{L}_{sk_s}^p[C^\infty(M^A, A), C^\infty(M^A, A)]$  denotes the  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ -module of skew-symmetric multilinear forms of degree  $p$  from  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  into  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ . We have

$$\Lambda_{Pois}^0(M^A, \sim_A) = C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

We denote

$$\Lambda_{Pois}(M^A, \sim_A) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^n \Lambda_{Pois}^p(M^A, \sim_A).$$

For  $\Omega \in \Lambda_{Pois}^p(M^A, \sim_A)$  and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{p+1} \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d}_A \Omega(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{p+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i-1} \widetilde{\tau}_{\varphi_i}[\Omega(\varphi_1, \dots, \widehat{\varphi}_i, \dots, \varphi_{p+1})] \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p+1} (-1)^{i+j} \Omega(\{\varphi_i, \varphi_j\}_A, \varphi_1, \dots, \widehat{\varphi}_i, \dots, \widehat{\varphi}_j, \dots, \varphi_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{\varphi}_i$  means that the term  $\varphi_i$  is omitted.

**Proposition 1.1** (Nkou & Bossoto, 2014) *For any  $\eta \in \Lambda_{Pois}^p(M)$ , we have*

$$\widetilde{d}_A(\eta^A) = (d_{ad}\eta)^A.$$

## 2. Hamiltonian Vector Fields on Weil Bundles

When  $M$  is a Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}$ , we recall that a vector field

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is locally hamiltonian if  $\theta$  is closed for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

$$ad : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow Der_{\mathbb{R}}[C^\infty(M)]$$

i.e.  $d_{ad}\theta = 0$  and  $\theta$  is globally hamiltonian if  $\theta$  is exact for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

$$ad : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow Der_{\mathbb{R}}[C^\infty(M)]$$

i.e. there exists  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$ .

Thus a vector field on  $M^A$

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is locally hamiltonian if  $X$  is closed for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

$$C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \varphi \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi$$

i.e.  $\widetilde{d}_A X = 0$  and  $X$  is globally hamiltonian if  $X$  is exact for the cohomology associated with the adjoint representation

$$C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow Der_A[C^\infty(M^A, A)], \varphi \longmapsto \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi$$

i.e. there exists  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that  $X = \widetilde{d}_A(\varphi)$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *When  $M$  is a Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}$ , then a vector field*

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is locally hamiltonian if and only if the vector field

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A).$$

is locally hamiltonian.

*Proof* Indeed, for any  $\eta \in \Lambda_{Pois}^p(M)$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}_A(\eta^A) = (d_{ad}\eta)^A.$$

In particular, for  $p = 1$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}_A(\theta^A) = (d_{ad}\theta)^A.$$

Thus,  $d_{ad}\theta = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{d}_A(\theta^A) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2** When  $M^A$  is a  $A$ -Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}_A$ , then, a vector field

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

locally hamiltonian is a derivation of the Poisson  $A$ -algebra  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ .

*Proof* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_A X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \times C^\infty(M^A, A) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A) \\ (\varphi, \psi) &\longmapsto (\tilde{d}_A X)(\varphi, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

and if  $\tilde{d}_A X = 0$ , then for any  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\tilde{d}_A X)(\varphi, \psi) \\ &= \tilde{\tau}_\varphi[X(\psi)] - \tilde{\tau}_\psi[X(\varphi)] - X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) \\ &= \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_A - \{\psi, X(\varphi)\}_A - X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) \end{aligned}$$

i.e

$$X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_A) = \{X(\varphi), \psi\}_A + \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_A.$$

That ends the proof.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $M$  be a Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}$ . If a vector field

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is globally hamiltonian then the vector field

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian.

*Proof* Based on the assumptions, there exists  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^A &= [ad(f)]^A \\ &= \tilde{d}_A(f^A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\theta = d_{ad}(f)$  then  $\theta^A = \tilde{d}_A(f^A)$  is globally hamiltonian.

**Proposition 2.4** When  $M^A$  is a  $A$ -Poisson manifold with bracket  $\{, \}_A$ , then a vector field

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

globally hamiltonian is the derivation interior of the Poisson  $A$ -algebra  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ .

*Proof* If the vector field

$$X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian, there exists  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that  $X = \widetilde{d}_A \varphi$ . For any  $\psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} X(\psi) &= (\widetilde{d}_A \varphi)(\psi) \\ &= \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi(\psi) \\ &= \{\varphi, \psi\}_A \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $X = ad(\varphi)$ . where

$$ad(\varphi) : C^\infty(M^A, A) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A), \psi \longmapsto \{\varphi, \psi\}_A$$

Thus,  $X$  is globally hamiltonian if there exists  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that  $X = \widetilde{\tau}_\varphi = ad(\varphi)$  i.e.  $X$  is the interior derivation of the Poisson  $A$ -algebra  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ .

### 3. Hamiltonian Vector Fields on $M^A$ When $M$ is a Symplectic Manifold

When  $(M, \Omega)$  is a symplectic manifold, then  $(M^A, \Omega^A)$  is a symplectic  $A$ -manifold (Bossoto & Okassa, 2012).

For any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we denote  $X_f$  the unique vector field on  $M$  such that

$$i_{X_f} \Omega = df$$

where

$$d : \Lambda(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda(M)$$

is the operator of de Rham cohomology. We denote

$$d^A : \Lambda(M^A, A) \longrightarrow \Lambda(M^A, A)$$

the operator of cohomology associated with the representation

$$\mathfrak{X}(M^A) \longrightarrow Der_A [C^\infty(M^A, A)], X \longmapsto X.$$

For  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we denote by  $X_\varphi$  the unique vector field on  $M^A$ , considered as a derivation from  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$  into  $C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , such that

$$i_{X_\varphi} \Omega^A = d^A(\varphi).$$

The bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A} &= -\Omega^A(X_\varphi, X_\psi) \\ &= X_\varphi(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

defines a structure of  $A$ -Poisson manifold on  $M^A$  and for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $X_{f^A} = (X_f)^A$  and

$$i_{(X_f)^A} \Omega^A = i_{X_{f^A}} \Omega^A.$$

We deduce that (Bossoto & Okassa, 2012):

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $(M, \Omega)$  is a symplectic manifold, the structure of  $A$ -Poisson manifold on  $M^A$  defined by  $\Omega^A$  coincide with the prolongation on  $M^A$  of the Poisson structure on  $M$  defined by the symplectic form  $\Omega$  i.e for any  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ ,  $\widetilde{\tau}_\varphi = X_\varphi$ .*

Therefore, for any  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we have

$$\{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A} = \{\varphi, \psi\}_A.$$

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $\omega$  is a differential form on  $M$  and if  $\theta$  is a vector field on  $M$ , then*

$$(i_\theta \omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A).$$

*Proof* If the degree of  $\omega$  is  $p$ , according (Bossoto & Okassa, 2012, Proposition 9),  $(i_\theta \omega)^A$  is the unique differential  $A$ -form of degree  $p - 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i_\theta \omega)^A(\theta_1^A, \dots, \theta_{p-1}^A) &= \left[ (i_\theta \omega)(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{p-1}) \right]^A \\ &= \left[ \omega(\theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{p-1}) \right]^A \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . As  $i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$  is of degree  $p - 1$  and is such that

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A) [\theta_1^A, \dots, \theta_{p-1}^A] &= \omega^A(\theta^A, \theta_1^A, \dots, \theta_{p-1}^A) \\ &= [\omega(\theta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{p-1})]^A \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{p-1} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we conclude that  $(i_{\theta}\omega)^A = i_{\theta^A}(\omega^A)$ .

When  $(M, \Omega)$  is a symplectic manifold, we recall that a vector field  $\theta$  on  $M$  is locally hamiltonian if the form  $i_{\theta}\Omega$  is closed for the de Rham cohomology and  $\theta$  is globally hamiltonian if there exists  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $i_{\theta}\Omega = d(f)$ , i.e. the form  $i_{\theta}\Omega$  is  $d$ -exact.

Thus a vector field  $X$  on  $M^A$  is locally hamiltonian if the form  $i_X\Omega^A$  is  $d^A$ -closed and  $X$  is globally hamiltonian if there exists  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$  such that  $i_X\Omega^A = d^A(\varphi)$ , i.e. the form  $i_X\Omega^A$  is  $d^A$ -exact.

**Proposition 3.3** A vector field  $\theta : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  on a symplectic manifold  $M$  is locally hamiltonian, if and only if  $\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$  is a locally hamiltonian vector field.

*Proof* For any  $\theta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^A(i_{\theta^A}\Omega^A) &= d^A[(i_{\theta}\Omega)^A] \\ &= [d(i_{\theta}\Omega)]^A. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\theta$  is locally hamiltonian, i.e.  $d(i_{\theta}\Omega) = 0$  if and only if,  $d^A(i_{\theta^A}\Omega^A) = 0$  i.e.  $\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$  is a locally hamiltonian vector field.

**Theorem 3.4** A vector field  $X : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$  on  $M^A$  locally hamiltonian is a derivation of the  $A$ -Lie algebra induced by the  $A$ -structure of Poisson defined by the symplectic  $A$ -manifold  $(M^A, \Omega^A)$ .

*Proof* Let  $(M^A, \Omega^A)$  be a symplectic manifold. For any  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A} &= -\Omega^A(X_\varphi, X_\psi) \\ &= X_\varphi(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  is locally hamiltonian vector field, we have  $d^A(i_X\Omega^A) = 0$  i.e. for any  $Y$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M^A)$ ,

$$d^A(i_X\Omega^A)(Y, Z) = 0.$$

In particular, for any  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(M^A, A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (d^A(i_X\Omega^A))(X_\varphi, X_\psi) \\ &= X_\varphi[i_X\Omega^A(X_\psi)] - X_\psi[i_X\Omega^A(X_\varphi)] - i_X\Omega^A([X_\varphi, X_\psi]) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$i_X\Omega^A([X_\varphi, X_\psi]) = X_\varphi[i_X\Omega^A(X_\psi)] - X_\psi[i_X\Omega^A(X_\varphi)]$$

i.e

$$\Omega^A(X, [X_\varphi, X_\psi]) = X_\varphi[\Omega^A(X, X_\psi)] - X_\psi[\Omega^A(X, X_\varphi)]$$

Hence

$$X(\{\varphi, \psi\}_{\Omega^A}) = \{X(\varphi), \psi\}_{\Omega^A} + \{\varphi, X(\psi)\}_{\Omega^A}.$$

That ends the proof.

**Proposition 3.5** Let  $(M, \Omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. If a vector field

$$\theta : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

is globally hamiltonian then the vector field

$$\theta^A : C^\infty(M^A, A) \rightarrow C^\infty(M^A, A)$$

is globally hamiltonian.

*Proof* If  $\theta$  is globally hamiltonian, then there exists  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $i_\theta\Omega = d(f)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(i_\theta\Omega)^A &= [d(f)]^A \\ &= d^A(f^A)\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$i_{\theta^A}\Omega^A = d^A(f^A).$$

i.e  $\theta^A$  is globally hamiltonian.

### References

- Bossoto, B. G. R., & Okassa, E. (2012). A-Poisson structures on Weil bundles. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 7(16), 785-803.
- Bossoto, B. G. R., & Okassa, E. (2008). *Champs de vecteurs et formes différentielles sur une variété des points proches*. *Arch. math. (BRNO)*, Tomus, 44, 159-171.
- Helgason, S. (1962). *Differential Geometry and symmetric spaces*, New York; Academic Press.
- Kolár, I, Michor, P. W., & Slovák, J. (1993). *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-02950-3>
- Koszul, J. L., & Ramanan, S. (1960). *Lectures On Fibre Bundles and Differential Geometry*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.
- Lichnerowicz, A. (1977). Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 12, 253–300.
- Morimoto, A. (1976). Prolongation of connections to bundles of infinitely near points. *J. Diff. Geom*, 11, 479-498.
- Nkou, V. B., & Bossoto, B. G. R., Okassa, E. (2015). New characterization of vector field on Weil bundles. *Theoretical Mathematics & Applications*, 5(2), 1-17.
- Nkou, V. B., & Bossoto, B. G. R. (2014). Cohomology associated to a Poisson structure on Weil bundles. *Int. Math. Forum*, 9(7), 305-316.
- Okassa, E. (1986-1987). *Prolongement des champs de vecteurs à des variétés des points proches*. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. VIII* (3), 346-366.
- Pham-Mau-Quan, F. (1969). *Introduction à la géométrie des variétés différentiables*, Dunod Paris.
- Vaisman, I. (1995). Second order Hamiltonian vector fields on tangent bundles. *Differential Geom.*, 5, 153–170. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245\(95\)00012-S](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0926-2245(95)00012-S)
- Vaisman, I. (1994). *Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds*, in: Progress in Math., 118, Basel Birkhäuser. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8495-2>
- Weil, A. (1953). *Théorie des points proches sur les variétés différentiables*, Colloq. Géom. Diff. Strasbourg, 111-117.

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).