

Bounds on Normal Approximation on a Half Plane in Multidimension

D. Thongtha

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

E-mail: dthongtha@gmail.com

K. Neammanee (Corresponding author)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

E-mail: kritsana.n@chula.ac.th

Received: October 8, 2011 Accepted: October 21, 2011 Published: February 1, 2012

doi:10.5539/jmr.v4n1p9 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v4n1p9>

The research is financed by the Office of the Higher Education Commission, Thailand.

Abstract

We give bounds on multidimensional Berry-Esseen theorem on a set $A_k(x) = \{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \leq x\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by using the Berry-Esseen theorem in $\mathbb{R}$. The rates of convergence are $O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. In addition, we give known constants in the bounds of the approximation.

Keywords: Berry-Esseen inequality, Central limit theorem, Uniform and non-uniform bounds

1. Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let X_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ be independent and identically distributed random variables with zero means and $\sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 = 1$.

Define

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

and Φ_1 the standard normal distribution in $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $E|X_i|^3 < \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The uniform and non-uniform versions of the Berry-Esseen inequality are

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq C_0 \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^3$$

and

$$|P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq \frac{C_1}{1 + |x|^3} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^3$$

respectively, where C_0 and C_1 are positive constants. The uniform version was independently discovered by (Berry, 1941, p. 122-136) and (Esseen, 1945, p. 1-125) and the non-uniform version was discovered by (Nagaev, 1965, p. 214-235). Without assuming the identically of X_i , the best constant C_0 and C_1 were given by (Shevtsova, 2010, p. 862-864) and (Paditz, 1989, p. 453-464), respectively. The results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1 (Shevtsova, 2010, p. 862-864) *Let X_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be independent random variables such that $EX_i = 0$ and $E|X_i|^3 < \infty$. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq 0.5600 \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^3.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Paditz, 1989, p. 453-464) *Under the assumptions of theorem 1.1, we have*

$$|P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq \frac{31.935}{1 + |x|^3} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^3$$

for all real numbers x .

(Chen, 2001, p. 236-254) relaxed the condition to the finiteness of the second moments and gave uniform and non-uniform versions of the inequality. The constant of the non-uniform version was given by (Neammanee, 2007, p. 1-10). Here are the results.

Theorem 1.3 (Chen, 2001, p. 236-254) *Let $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be independent random variables such that $EX_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 = 1$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq 4.1 \sum_{i=1}^n \{E|X_i|^2 I(|X_i| > 1) + E|X_i|^3 I(|X_i| \leq 1)\}$$

and for all real numbers x ,

$$|P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{E|X_i|^2 I(|X_i| > 1 + |x|)}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{E|X_i|^3 I(|X_i| \leq 1 + |x|)}{1 + |x|^3} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.4 (Neammanee, 2007, p. 1-10) *Under the assumptions of theorem 1.3, we have*

$$|P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{E|X_i|^2 I(|X_i| > 1 + |x|)}{1 + |x|^2} + \frac{E|X_i|^3 I(|X_i| \leq 1 + |x|)}{1 + |x|^3} \right\}$$

where

$$C = \begin{cases} 13.11 & \text{if } 0 \leq |x| < 1.3, \\ 28.54 & \text{if } 1.3 \leq |x| < 2, \\ 46.32 & \text{if } 2 \leq |x| < 3, \\ 61.40 & \text{if } 3 \leq |x| < 7.98, \\ 40.12 & \text{if } 7.98 \leq |x| < 14, \\ 39.39 & \text{if } |x| \geq 14. \end{cases}$$

The reduction they make is truncation. This method make the random variables become bounded random variables. In the case that each X_i is bounded, the uniform and non-uniform versions were given in (Chen, 2005, p. 1-59) and (Chaidee, 2005), respectively.

Theorem 1.5 (Chen, 2005, p. 1-59) *Let $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be independent random variables such that $EX_i = 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n EX_i^2 = 1$ and $|X_i| \leq \delta_0$, then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq 3.3\delta_0.$$

Theorem 1.6 (Chaidee, 2005) *Under the assumptions of theorem 1.5, there exists a constant C which does not depend on δ_0 such that for every real numbers x ,*

$$|P(S_n \leq x) - \Phi_1(x)| \leq \frac{C\delta_0}{1 + |x|^3}.$$

For multidimensional case, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Y_i = (Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{ik})$ be independent and identically distributed random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with zero means and covariance identity matrices I_k . Define

$$W_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i.$$

Let F_n be the distribution function of W_n and Φ_k the standard Gaussian distribution in $\mathbb{R}^k$. (Bergström, 1945, p. 106-127) guaranteed that F_n converges weakly to Φ_k for large n . The uniform and non-uniform bounds of this convergence have been repeatedly refined over subsequent decades by many researchers such as (Esseen, 1945, p. 1-125), (Rao, 1961, p. 359-361), (Bahr, 1967, p. 61-69), (Bahr, 1967, p. 71-88) and (Bhattacharya, 1970, p. 68-86), etc. For the assumption that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{1j}|^4 < \infty,$$

(Esseen, 1945, p. 1-125) gave a uniform bound on this convergence which is of the form

$$|F_n(B_r) - \Phi_k(B_r)| \leq \frac{C}{n^{\frac{k}{k+1}}}$$

where $B_r = \{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid w_1^2 + w_2^2 + \dots + w_k^2 \leq r^2\}$ for $r > 0$ and C is an absolute constant depending only on the moment. (Rao, 1961, p. 359-361) generalized Esseen's result to any measurable convex subset A of $\mathbb{R}^k$. His result is

$$|F_n(A) - \Phi_k(A)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} (\log n)^{\frac{k-1}{2(k+1)}}. \quad (1)$$

(Bahr, 1967, p. 71-88) assumed

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{1j}^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} < \infty,$$

for an integer $s > k > 1$ and improved the rate of convergence in (1) by the inequality

$$|F_n(B) - \Phi_k(B)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (2)$$

In the case that each Y_i may not be identically distributed random vectors, (Bhattacharya, 1970, p. 68-86) assumed

$$\sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^{3+\delta} < \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{where } \delta > 0,$$

and gave a bound of the approximation as in (2) on any Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

For a non-uniform version, (Bahr, 1967, p. 61-69) is the first one who investigated this version. He assumed the identically assumption on each Y_i and gave the rate of convergence on $B_k(r)$. Under the finiteness assumption of the s^{th} moments,

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{1j}^2\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} < \infty,$$

for integer $s \geq 3$, the result is

$$|F_n(B_k(r)) - \Phi_k(B_k(r))| \leq \frac{C \cdot d(n)}{r^s n^{\frac{s-2}{2}}} \quad \text{for } r \geq \left(\frac{5}{4}m(s-2) \log n\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where m is the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of Y_i , $d(n)$ is bounded by one and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(n) = 0$.

The aim of this paper is to find bounds on normal approximation to the distribution of W_n over the set

$$A_k(x) = \{(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \leq x\}.$$

In this work, assume only that $\frac{1}{nk} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij}\right) = 1$ and give our results on various assumptions, the random variables Y_{ij} are bounded, $E|Y_{ij}|^3 < \infty$ and $E|Y_{ij}|^p < \infty$ for some $2 < p < 3$. Our results are as follows:

Theorem 1.7 If $|Y_{ij}| \leq \delta_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{3.3 \sqrt{k} \delta_0}{\sqrt{n}}$$

and there exists a constant C which does not depend on δ_0 such that for every real numbers x ,

$$|P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{Ck^2 \delta_0}{\sqrt{n}[(\sqrt{k})^3 + |x|^3]}.$$

Theorem 1.8 If $E|Y_{ij}|^p < \infty$ for $2 < p < 3$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{75(4)^{p-1} k^p}{(nk)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^p$$

and there exists an absolute constant C such that for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{5^p C k^p}{n^{\frac{p}{2}} (\sqrt{k} + |x|)^p} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^p.$$

Theorem 1.9 If $E|Y_{ij}|^3 < \infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{0.5600 \sqrt{k}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^3$$

and for all real numbers x

$$|P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| \leq \frac{31.935 k^2}{n^{\frac{3}{2}} [(\sqrt{k})^3 + |x|^3]} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^3.$$

The proofs of our main theorems are given in the next section.

2. Proof of Main Theorems

In the proofs of main theorems, we use the Berry-Esseen theorems in $\mathbb{R}$ in which the limit distribution is Φ_1 . However, the limit distribution in our theorems is the standard Gaussian distribution Φ_k in $\mathbb{R}^k$. In the following proposition, we give a relation between Φ_1 and Φ_k .

Proposition 2.1 For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Phi_k(A_k(x)) = \Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right).$$

Proof: To prove the proposition, we let $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^k$ with $b_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) \in A_k(x)$. Set

$$t_1 = \langle b_1, w \rangle \text{ and } t_i = \langle b_i, w \rangle \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, k.$$

Then

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}, -\infty < t_i < \infty, \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, k, \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \langle b_i, w \rangle b_i = w = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle e_i, w \rangle e_i$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$ is a usual orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^k$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k w_i e_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \langle e_i, w \rangle e_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \langle b_i, w \rangle b_i \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k t_i b_i \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let J be the Jacobian matrix,

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial t_1} & \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial t_1} \\ \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial t_2} & \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial t_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial w_1}{\partial t_k} & \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t_k} & \cdots & \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial t_k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thun $|\det(J)| = 1$. Then by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k(A_k(x)) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \int \int \cdots \int_{A_k(x)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i^2} dw_1 dw_2 \cdots dw_k \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^2} |\det J| dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_k \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}} e^{-t^2} dt \\ &= \Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proposition is proved.

The proof of theorem 1.7 is completed by applying theorem 1.5-1.6. The proof of theorem 1.8, we use the proposition 2.1 and theorems in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028). Theorem 1.9 is proved by applying theorem 1.1-1.2, respectively.

Proof of theorem 1.7

Proof: For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, we define

$$W_{jn} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij}.$$

Thus $T_{1n}, T_{2n}, \dots, T_{mn}$ are independent,

$$E(T_{in}) = 0, \quad |T_{in}| \leq \frac{k\delta_0}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (4)$$

$$W_n = (W_{1n}, W_{2n}, \dots, W_{kn}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^k W_{jn} = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in}. \quad (5)$$

Since Y_i has zero mean and covariance matrix I_k ,

$$\text{Var}(Y_{ij}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq k.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in}\right) = \frac{1}{k} \text{Var} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} = \frac{1}{nk} \text{Var} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij} = 1. \quad (6)$$

By applying theorem 1.5, proposition 2.1 and (4)-(6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P\left(\sum_{j=1}^k W_{jn} \leq x\right) - \Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} \leq x\right) - \Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right) - \Phi_1\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}\right)| \\ &\leq \frac{3.3 \sqrt{k} \delta_0}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For the second part, we apply theorem 1.6. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| &= |P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}) - \Phi_1(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}})| \\ &\leq \frac{C \sqrt{k} \delta_0}{\sqrt{n}(1 + |\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}|^3)} \\ &= \frac{C k^2 \delta_0}{\sqrt{n}[(\sqrt{k})^3 + |x|^3]}. \end{aligned}$$

for all real numbers x .

In theorem 1.8, we give the bounds by applying theorem 2.4 and theorem 2.5 in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028). To prove this, we need the proposition 2.2. This proposition gives us that the random field $\{Y_{ij} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ satisfied $(LD4^*)$ in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028). This condition is proposed as follows:

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a finite index set of cardinality n , and let $\{X_i, i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ be a random field with zero means and finite variances. For $A \subset \mathcal{J}$, let X_A denote $\{X_i, i \in A\}$, $A^c = \{j \in \mathcal{J} : j \notin A\}$ and $|A|$ the cardinality of A . The random field $\{X_i, i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ satisfied $(LD4^*)$ if for each $i \in \mathcal{J}$ there exists $A_i \subset B_i \subset B_i^* \subset C_i^* \subset D_i^* \subset \mathcal{J}$ such that X_i is independent of $X_{A_i^c}$, X_{A_i} is independent of $X_{B_i^c}$ and then X_{A_i} is independent of $\{X_{A_j}, j \in B_i^{*c}\}$, $\{X_{A_l}, l \in B_i^*\}$ is independent of $\{X_{A_j}, j \in C_i^{*c}\}$ and $\{X_{A_l}, l \in C_i^*\}$ is independent of $\{X_{A_j}, j \in D_i^{*c}\}$.

Proposition 2.2 For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y_i = (Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}, \dots, Y_{ik}), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be independent random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^k$ with zero means. Then $\{Y_{ij} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ satisfies $(LD4^*)$.

Proof: This proposition is completed by setting $A_{ij} \subset B_{ij} \subset B_{ij}^* \subset C_{ij}^* \subset D_{ij}^*$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= \{il \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ B_{ij} &= \{il, (i+1)l \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } B_{nj} = B_{(n-1)j}, \\ B_{ij}^* &= C_{ij} = \{il, (i+1)l, (i+2)l \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2 \text{ and} \\ &\quad B_{(n-m)j}^* = C_{(n-m)j} = B_{(n-2)j} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \\ C_{ij}^* &= \{il, (i+1)l, \dots, (i+3)l \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3 \text{ and} \\ &\quad C_{(n-m)j}^* = C_{(n-3)j}^* \text{ for } m = 1, 2, 3, \\ D_{ij}^* &= \{il, (i+1)l, \dots, (i+4)l \mid l = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-4 \text{ and } D_{(n-m)j}^* = D_{(n-4)j}^* \text{ for } m = 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have the proposition.

From the sets defined in the above proposition, we can compute directly that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\max(|N(C_i)|, |\{j : i \in C_j\}|) \leq 4 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \max(|D_i^*|, |\{j : i \in D_j^*\}|) \leq 5 \quad (9)$$

where $N(C_i)$ is defined in theorem 2.3 in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028).

The condition $(LD4^*)$ implies the condition $(LD3)$ in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028). Thus $\{Y_{ij} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ satisfies $(LD3)$.

Proof of theorem 1.8

Proof: For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, define T_{in} as in the proof of theorem 1.7. By the inequality

$$|\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij}|^p \leq k^p \sum_{j=1}^k |Y_{ij}|^p, \quad (10)$$

we have

$$E|T_{in}|^p = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{p}{2}}} E|\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij}|^p \leq \frac{k^p}{n^{\frac{p}{2}}} \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^p < \infty.$$

So, by (4), (6), (8), (10), proposition 2.2 and theorem 2.4 in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} \leq \frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}) - \Phi_1(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}})| \\ &\leq 75(4)^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n E|\frac{T_i}{\sqrt{k}}|^p \\ &= \frac{75(4)^{p-1}}{(nk)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n E|\sum_{j=1}^k Y_{ij}|^p \\ &\leq \frac{75(4)^{p-1} k^p}{(nk)^{\frac{p}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying theorem 2.5 in (Chen, 2004, p. 1985-2028) and (9) to non-uniform case, we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |P(W_n \in A_k(x)) - \Phi_k(A_k(x))| &= |P(\sum_{i=1}^n T_{in} \leq x) - \Phi_1(\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}})| \\ &\leq \frac{5^p C}{(1 + |\frac{x}{\sqrt{k}}|)^p} \sum_{i=1}^n E|\frac{T_i}{\sqrt{k}}|^p \\ &\leq \frac{(5k)^p C}{n^{\frac{p}{2}} (\sqrt{k} + |x|)^p} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k E|Y_{ij}|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of theorem 1.9

Proof: By theorem 1.1, 1.2 and the same argument as in theorem 1.7, we have the theorem.

Remark The above theorems include the case that each Y_i has an indicator covariance matrix I_k .

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- Bahr, B. V. (1967). Multi-dimensional integral limit theorems. *Ark Mat.*, 7, 71–88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02591678>
- Bahr, B. V. (1967). On the central limit theorem in R_k . *Ark Mat.*, 7, 61–69. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02591677>
- Bergström, H. (1945). On the central limit theorem in $\mathbb{R}^k, k > 1$. *Skand. Akt.*, 28, 106–127.
- Berry, A. C. (1941). The accuracy of the Gaussian approximation to the sum of independent variables. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49, 122–136. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1941-0003498-3>
- Bhattacharya, R. N. (1970). Rates of weak convergence for the multidimensional central limit theorem. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 15, 68–86. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/1115005>
- Chaidee, N. (2004). Non-uniform Bounds in Normal Approximation for Matrix Correlation Statistics and Independent Bounded Random Variables, Ph. D. thesis, Chulalongkorn University
- Chen, L. H. Y., & Shao, Q. M. (2001). A non-uniform Berry-Esseen bound via Stein's method. *Probab. Theory Relat. Field*, 120, 236–254. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00008782>
- Chen, L. H. Y., & Shao, Q. M. (2004). Normal approximation under local dependence. *Ann. Probab.*, 32, 1985–2028. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/009117904000000450>
- Chen, L. H. Y., & Shao, Q. M. (2005). Stein's method for normal approximation. *An Introduction to Stein's Method*, 4, 1–59, Singapore University Press, Singapore.
- Esseen, C. G. (1945). Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A Mathematical Study of the Laplace Gaussian Law, *Acta Math.*, 77, 1–125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02392223>
- Nagaev, S. V. (1965). Some limit theorems for large deviations. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 10, 214–235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/1110027>

- Neammanee K., & Thongtha, P. (2007). Improvement of the non-uniform version of Berry-Esseen inequality via Paditz-Siganov theorems. *JIPAM*, 8(4), 1–10.
- Paditz, L. (1989). On the analytical structure of the constant in the nonuniform version of the Esseen inequality. *Statistics*, 20, 453–464. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02331888908802196>
- Rao, R. R. (1961). On the central limit theorem in R_k . *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67, 359–361. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1961-10615-0>
- Shevtsova, I. G. (2010). An Improvement of convergence rate estimates in the Lyapunov Theorem. *Dokl. Math.*, 82(3), 862–864. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1064562410060062>