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#### Abstract

A well documented characteristic of COVID-19 is that whereas certain infected individuals recover without ever showing symptoms, others regarded as vulnerable, usually age with comorbidities tend to succumb to more or less severe symptoms. To address pertinent issues, we formulate an $S E I_{A} I_{S} R S$ Transmission Dynamics model of COVID-19 where $I_{A}$ and $I_{S}$ respectively represent asymptomatic and symptomatic classes thus allowing the inclusion of parameters which are vulnerability sensitive. We define a vulnerability factor, $\phi$ and show that the model is globally asymptotically stable at the disease-free equilibrium when $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$ and $\phi$ is appropriately bounded above. We also show that the model is globally asymptotically stable at the endemic equilibrium when $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$ and $\phi$ is appropriately bounded below. Finally, we employ numerical analysis using Ghana data, to further illustrate the effect of vulnerability related parameter values on the trajectories of key variables of the model. We thereby demonstrated that if a dominantly young population is of sufficiently low vulnerability then $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$, and the Transmission Dynamics exhibits global asymptotic stability at the disease-free equilibrium.
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## 1. Introduction

A highly infectious disease known as Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) and caused apparently by a novel virus strain, originated in late November 2019, from Wuhan China. This virulent disease has spread rapidly and globally reaching virtually all countries and resulting in a pandemic (Gorbaleny, 2020).

Consequently, disease has, in many ways, adversely affected the world: it has extensively disrupted socioeconomic conditions, overwhelmed healthcare system capacities, and causing significant numbers of morbidities and deaths. The commonest symptoms of disease as presented in most of its variants are: fever, cough, fatigue, severe respiratory illness, just to mention a few (Huang, 2020). The virus is propagated directly via contact with respiratory droplets emanating from an infected individual or indirectly through the touching of surfaces contaminated with the virus (Riou and Althaus, 2020; Qun et al. 2020. Currently due to its novelty, there is no known cure for Covid-19. Clinical case management currently focuses on reducing disease symptoms to help support the immune system of the infected person in the fight against the virus.

Nevertheless, with the rapid and advancing development of vaccines and antivirals, treatment of the disease has been constantly improving. Several preventive and nonpharmaceutical measures have also been leveraged to help curtail the rapid spread of the disease such as social distancing requirements, the mandatory use of face masks, personal hygiene promotion through frequent washing of hands together with the use of hand sanitizers (Asamoah et al., 2020). These extraordinary control measures have been in place to combat all the variants of Covid-19 that have emerged on the course of time. In spite of strenuous efforts which have been made to combat the disease, Covid-19 remains endemic to date in many areas of the globe.

In past decades mathematical models (Yavuz and Haydar, 2022, Meyer and Lima, 2022, Olumuyiwa et al., 2021) have been employed to assess the rate and extent of spread and also prescribe effective control of infectious diseases involving
different pathogens. These models provide decision supports to clinicians and other professionals in fields such as public health policy making and emergency response planning. Others include health risk assessment and management, promotion and social marketing of health related issues and the controlling of related hazards (Al-Sheikh, 2013, Asamoah et al., 2021). Kermack and Mckendrick in 1927 successfully developed the Susceptible, Infected, Recovered (SIR) compartmental model to be used to mathematically model infectious epidemic diseases (Kermack and Mckendrick, 1927). They later introduced another compartmental class: Exposed (denoted E) to enhance the SIR modeling 1932 thereby obtaining the SEIR model. This was further elaborated by incorporating birth and death rates. Several studies can be cited which have used these mathematical models to investigate infectious diseases such as tuberculosis (Bowong and Kurths, 2010, Bowong and Jules, 2009), HIV/AIDS (Mukandavire et al., 2009), measles vaccination (Bauch et al., 2009; Widyaningsih et al., 2018), pertusis epidemiology (Pesco et al. 2014) and more recently COVID-19 (Iboi et al. 2020).
A distinct feature of the COVID-19 pandemic that has been observed all over the world is that persons with low immunity (Ega and Ngeleja, 2022) and/ or who are affected by Co-morbidities such as diabetes (Okyere and Ackora-Prah, 2022) and cardio-vascular diseases tend to be more vulnerable to severe infection than those without these conditions. The said conditions are strongly correlated with ageing: hence, it has been observed that severe Covid infection affects the aged (65+) far more than the young. Unfortunately, such vulnerability related issues have not been adequately dealt with in terms of transmission dynamics modeling and subsequent control implementation. The result has been the rather less than efficient public health interventions, both at the pharmaceutical (treatments and vaccines) and nonpharmaceutical (masks and quarantine) levels to combat the pandemic in many parts of the world.

In this paper, we attempt to address aspects of the foremetioned problems by developing a transmission dynamics model of Covid-19 which allows the incorporation of vulnerability dependent parameters with a view to investigating the effect of vulnerability on the long term stability or persistence of the disease. The proposed model is developed in the next section, the theoretical analysis is presented in Section 3. Numerical simulations performed in Section 4 to support the theoretical results and the conclusion is presented in Section 5.

## 2. Formulation of the Model

### 2.1 Model Description

Everywhere the propagation of covid-19 has been characterised as having high transitivity and that susceptible (S) individuals, once exposed (E) quickly get infected with the virus but then subsequently become infectious and asymptomatic before possibly attaining an infectious and symptomatic status. We may thus regard individuals who though infectious, never exhibit symptoms as nonvulnerable to the disease. We therefore categorize individuals as being vulnerable if they become infectious and symptomatic almost immediately after being exposed.

The model we propose is thus simply a modification of the standard SEIR model in which the compartment of infectious individuals is split into two: namely, the asymptomatic infectious ( $I_{A}$ ) and symptomatic infectious ( $I_{S}$ ). In such an arrangement we are clearly able to identify parameters which depend on vulnerability.
The model of the total population at any time ( $t$ ) is divided into five sub-population (compartments) with respect to disease status in the system.
The total population is represented by $N$ and divided into sub-populations of Susceptible individuals ( $S$ ), Exposed individuals $(E)$, Infected asymptomatic individuals $\left(I_{A}\right)$, Infected symptomatic individuals ( $I_{S}$ ), and Recovered individuals $(R)$. The total population at time t is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=S(t)+E(t)+I_{A}(t)+I_{S}(t)+R(t) . \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 shows the compartmental flow chart of the COVID-19 Model
Susceptible individuals, $S(t)$, include those that are at risk of being infected with COVID-19. Exposed individuals, $E(t)$, include those that are infected but not infectious (latent) and are within the environment of the disease (COVID-19). The infected asymptomatic compartment, $I_{A}(t)$, consists of individuals that have been infected and infectious but with no symptoms of the disease (COVID-19). The infected symptomatic compartment, $I_{S}(t)$, consists of those that have infection, are infectious and are showing symptoms of the disease (COVID-19). The recovered individuals, $R$, are those who have recovered from the COVID-19 disease with no permanent immunity.

The Susceptible group of individuals are recruited into the population at a rate $\Lambda$ and acquire COVID-19 through droplets or direct contact of infected surfaces at the rate $\beta$. This class is reduced whenever the individuals are initially infected with the disease or die naturally. Those who recover from the infection at the rate $\xi$ are with no permanent immunity and


Figure 1. Flow Chart of COVID-19 Model
join the susceptible class at $\xi R$. Thus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\Lambda+\xi R-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu S
$$

Contact with infectious surfaces and individuals, $\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right) S \beta$, make the individuals exposed and therefore are moved from the susceptible class to the exposed compartment with a natural death rate $\mu$. When the viral load increases the individuals become infectious, $\gamma_{A} E$, but show no symptoms and are therefore moved to the asymptomatic class. Thus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right) E
$$

The infectious asymptomatic individuals, $\gamma_{A} E$, become symptomatic infectious at a rate $\eta$ with natural death rate $\mu$. This class of individuals can overcome the disease and recover at the rate $\pi_{A}$. Thus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} I_{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\gamma_{A} E-\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right) I_{A}
$$

The infectious symptomatic individuals are recruited from the asymptomatic infectious class who become symptomatic infectious $\eta I_{A}$ and die due to the infection at a rate $\delta$ with natural death rate as $\mu$. The class of individuals can also overcome the disease and recover at the rate $\pi_{S}$. Thus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} I_{S}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\eta I_{A}-\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right) I_{S}
$$

The recovered class recruits from the asymptomatic infectious and symptomatic infectious classes at the rate $\pi_{A}$ and $\pi_{S}$ respectively as $\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}$ with natural death rate $\mu$ and they join the susceptible class at $\xi$. Thus

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}-(\xi+\mu) R
$$

The following system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations are therefore obtained as the Model equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\Lambda+\xi R-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu S \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right) E \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} I_{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\gamma_{A} E-\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right) I_{A}  \tag{2.1.2}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} I_{S}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\eta I_{A}-\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right) I_{S} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}-(\xi+\mu) R
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Where

$a_{1}=\gamma_{A}+\mu, a_{2}=\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu, a_{3}=\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu, a_{4}=\xi+\mu$,
then the model is transformed into;

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d S}{d t}=\Lambda+\xi R-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu S \\
\frac{d E}{d t}=\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-a_{1} E \\
\frac{d I_{A}}{d t}=\gamma_{A} E-a_{2} I_{A}  \tag{2.1.3}\\
\frac{d I_{S}}{d t}=\eta I_{A}-a_{3} I_{S} \\
\frac{d R}{d t}=\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}-a_{4} R
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Table 1. Definition of variables and parameters of the $S E I_{A} I_{S} R S$ model

| Variable/Parameter | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S$ | Susceptible individuals |
| $E$ | Exposed individuals |
| $I_{A}$ | Infected asymptomatic individuals |
| $I_{S}$ | Infected symptomatic individuals |
| $R$ | Recovered individuals |
| $\Lambda$ | Rate of recritment into the susceptible class |
| $\beta$ | Transmission probability |
| $\eta$ | Rate at which the asymptomatic become symptomatic |
| $\gamma_{A}$ | Rate at which exposed become asymptomatic |
| $\pi_{A}$ | Rate at which the asymptomatic recover |
| $\pi_{S}$ | Rate at which the symptomatic recover |
| $\delta$ | Disease - induced death rate |
| $\mu$ | Natural Death rate |
| $\xi$ | Rate at which recovered become susceptible due to loss of immunity |

with nonnegative initial conditions $S(0) \geq 0, E(0) \geq 0, I_{A}(0) \geq 0, I_{S}(0) \geq 0, R(0) \geq 0$ and $N>0$. It is assumed that all the parameters are nonnegative.
Clearly, the parameters $\gamma_{A}$ and $\eta$ are vulnerability dependent. So also are the parameters $\pi_{S}$ and $\delta$ albeit in an a posteriori sense.
Now, let $p_{S}$ be the probability that a vulnerable individual goes through the path $S-E-I_{A}-I_{S}-R$ as given in the flowchart of figure 1 . Then $p_{S}=\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\eta}{a_{3}}\right)$.
Similarly, let $p_{A}$ be the probability that a less vulnerable individual goes through the path $S-E-I_{A}-R$ as given in the flowchart of figure 1 . Then $p_{A}=\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)$.
Hence the total probability is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{S}+p_{A} & =\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\eta}{a_{3}}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)  \tag{2.1.4}\\
& =\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)\left(\left(\frac{\eta}{a_{3}}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\eta+a_{3}}{a_{3}}\right) \tag{2.1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From the viewpoint of risk theory which requires that risk or the proximity of a hazard is the product of exposure and vulnerability, it becomes natural here to define a vulnerability factor $\phi$ as $\phi=\left(\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\eta+a_{3}}{a_{3}}\right)$ An exposure factor, $\epsilon$, is now clearly defined as $\epsilon=\left(\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}\right)$.

Comparing the above equation 2.1.5 with equation 3.3.27, we notice $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is related to the exposure and vulnerability factors $\epsilon$ and $\phi$. Intact we have that $\mathcal{R}_{0}=S \epsilon \phi$

Thus expressed this way, $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ may be regarded as a measure of the risk posed by the epidemic.

## 3. Qualitative Properties of the Model

### 3.1 Positivity and Boundedness of Solutions

We want to find non-negative answers in this part. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the circumstances in which the studied system of differential equations has non-negative solutions. If all solutions have non-negative initial data and remain non-negative throughout, the COVID-19 model would be epidemically well posed.
Theorem 3.1: Given that at $t=0, S(0) \geq 0, E(0) \geq 0, I_{A}(0) \geq 0, I_{S}(0) \geq 0, R(0) \geq 0$ then $\Pi=\left\{\left(S(t), E(t), I_{A}(t), I_{S}(t), R(t)\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}\right\}$ for all $t>0$ (i.e. positively invarant) and is bounded.
Proof. The total population of the model at any time (t) is given by: $N(t)=S(t)+E(t)+I_{A}(t)+I_{S}(t)+R(t)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d} N}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} I_{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} I_{S}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} R}{\mathrm{~d} t} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} N}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left(-E-I_{A}-S-I_{S}-R\right) \mu+\Lambda-\delta I_{S} \\
\frac{d N}{d t}=\Lambda-\mu N-\delta I_{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

Absence of excess mortality gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N}{d t} \leq \Lambda-\mu N \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d N \leq \int \Lambda-\mu N d t \\
\int \frac{d N}{\Lambda-\mu N} \leq \int d t
\end{gathered}
$$

multiply both sides by $-\mu$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int \frac{-\mu d N}{\Lambda-\mu N} \geq \int-\mu d t \\
\ln (\Lambda-\mu N) \geq-\mu t+q \\
(\Lambda-\mu N) \geq e^{-\mu t+q} \\
(\Lambda-\mu N) \geq Q e^{-\mu t} \tag{3.1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying the initial condition

$$
N(0)=N_{0}
$$

We obtain the relation for

$$
\left(\Lambda-\mu N_{0}\right)=Q
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda-\mu N(t) \geq\left(\Lambda-\mu N_{0}\right) e^{-\mu t} \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $t \longrightarrow \infty, e^{-\mu t} \longrightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Lambda-\mu N(t) \geq 0 \\
-\Lambda+\mu N(t) \leq 0 \\
\mu N(t) \leq \Lambda \\
N(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \tag{3.1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since
$N(t)$
is monotonically increasing starting from the initial state
$N(0)$
it approaches the upperbound.
Thus
$N(t)>N(0)$
for
$t>0$
but
$N(0) \geq 0$.
Therefore
$N(t)$
is bounded below by
0 .

In conclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq N(t) \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \tag{3.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod=\left\{\left(S, E, I_{A}, I_{S}, R\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{5}^{5}: S+E+I_{A}+I_{S}+R \leq \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right\} \tag{3.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Pi$ is a positively invariant set and bounded within zero and $\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}$.
Consider:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\Lambda+\xi R-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu S \tag{3.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right) \leq \kappa$ since the population is bounded

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t} \geq \Lambda+\xi R-\kappa \beta S-\mu S
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=\left(N-S-E-I_{A}-I_{S}\right) \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t} \geq \Lambda+\xi\left(N-S-E-I_{A}-I_{S}\right)-\kappa \beta S-\mu S
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(S+E+I_{A}+I_{S}\right) \leq \kappa$ since the population is bounded and $N(t)$ is bounded below by 0 .

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t} \geq \Lambda-\xi \kappa-(\kappa \beta+\mu) S
$$

$\operatorname{Let}(\Lambda-\xi \kappa)=\Lambda^{\prime}$ and $(\kappa \beta+\mu)=\mu^{\prime}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} S}{\mathrm{~d} t} \geq \Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S
$$

integrating

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int d S \geq-\int\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S\right) d t \\
\int \frac{d S}{\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S\right)} \geq \int d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiply both sides by $-\mu^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{-\mu^{\prime} d S}{\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S\right)} \leq \int-\mu^{\prime} d t \\
\ln \left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S\right) \leq\left(-\mu^{\prime}\right) t+c \\
\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S\right) \leq C e^{\left(-\mu^{\prime}\right) t}
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
C=e^{c}
$$

At the initial time, $t=0$ and susbstituting into the inequality

$$
C=\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime}\right) S(0)
$$

Thus, the inequality becomes;

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime} S(t) \leq\left(\Lambda^{\prime}-\mu^{\prime}\right) S(0) e^{\left(-\mu^{\prime}\right) t}
$$

As $t \longrightarrow \infty, e^{\left(-\mu^{\prime}\right) t}=0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\mu^{\prime} S(t) \leq-\Lambda^{\prime} \\
\mu S(t) \geq \Lambda^{\prime} \\
S(t) \geq \frac{\Lambda^{\prime}}{\mu^{\prime}} \tag{3.1.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $S(t)$ is monotonically decreasing function it starts from its initial state $S(0)$ and decreasing towards its lower bound. Thus we have $S(t) \leq S(0)$. Hence $S(0)$ is an upper bound of $S(t)$ for all $t>0$. In conclusion we have $\frac{\Lambda^{\prime}}{\mu^{\prime}} \leq S(t) \leq S(0)$.
Similarly, positivity and boundedness can be shown for $E, I_{A}, I_{S}$ and $R$

### 3.2 Determination of Equilibrium Points of the Model

### 3.2.1 Disease-free Equilibrium Point

The disease-free equilibrium, $\mathcal{E}^{0}=\left(S, E, I_{A}, I_{S}, R\right)$, of the system of ordinary differential equations in 2.1.2 only exists when $E=I_{A}=I_{S}=R=0$ and all other controls held constant. This is computed by setting the system of differential equations in 2.1.2 and the state variables $E=I_{A}=I_{S}=R=0$. This is given as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d S}{d t}=\Lambda+\xi R-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu S=0 \\
S=\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}
\end{gathered}
$$

and all other state variables become zeros
Hence, the disease-free equilibrium is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{0}=\left(S^{0}, E^{0}, I_{A}^{0}, I_{S}^{0}, R^{0}\right)=\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, 0,0,0,0\right) \tag{3.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Endemic Equilibrium Point

The endemic equilibrium point $\mathcal{E}^{*}=\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ is obtained by solving the system of equations 2.1.3 at a stationary point.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Lambda+\xi R^{*}-\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-\mu S^{*}=0  \tag{3.2.15}\\
\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-a_{1} E^{*}=0  \tag{3.2.16}\\
\gamma_{A} E^{*}-a_{2} I_{A}^{*}=0  \tag{3.2.17}\\
\eta I_{A}^{*}-a_{3} I_{S}^{*}=0  \tag{3.2.18}\\
\pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+\pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}-a_{4} R^{*}=0 \tag{3.2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Making $E$ and $I_{S}$ the subject of equations 3.2.17 and 3.2.18 we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
E^{*}=\frac{a_{2} I_{A}^{*}}{\gamma_{A}}  \tag{3.2.20}\\
I_{S}^{*}=\frac{\eta I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}} \tag{3.2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting for $E$ and $I_{S}$ in equation 3.2 .16

$$
\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+\frac{\eta I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}}\right)-\frac{a_{1} a_{2} I_{A}^{*}}{\gamma_{A}}=0
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=>\beta S^{*}\left(1+\frac{\eta}{a_{3}}\right) I_{A}^{*}-\frac{a_{1} a_{2} I_{A}^{*}}{\gamma_{A}}=0 \\
=>\frac{\beta S^{*}}{a_{3}}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right) I_{A}^{*}=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} I_{A}^{*}}{\gamma_{A}} \\
=>S^{*}=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)} \tag{3.2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substitute for $I_{S}$ equation 3.2 .21 in equation 3.2 .19

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+\pi_{S}\left(\frac{\eta I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}}\right)-a_{4} R^{*}=0 \\
=>\left(\pi_{A}+\frac{\pi_{S} \eta}{a_{3}}\right) I_{A}^{*}=a_{4} R^{*} \\
=>\frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}}=a_{4} R^{*} \\
R^{*}=\frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3} a_{4}} \tag{3.2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Rearranging equation 3.2 .15

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu S^{*}-\Lambda=\xi R^{*}-\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) \\
=>\mu S^{*}-\Lambda=\xi R^{*}-\beta S^{*} \frac{\left(a_{3}+\eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using equations 3.2.22 and 3.2.23 above, we now substitute $S$ and $R$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu \frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}-\Lambda=\xi \frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3} a_{4}}-\beta \frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)} \frac{\left(a_{3}+\eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3}} \\
\mu \frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}-\Lambda=\xi \frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right) I_{A}^{*}}{a_{3} a_{4}}-\frac{a_{1} a_{2}}{\gamma_{A}} I_{A}^{*} \\
\mu \frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}-\Lambda=\left[\xi \frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)}{a_{3} a_{4}}-\frac{a_{1} a_{2}}{\gamma_{A}}\right] I_{A}^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finding the L.C.M

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mu a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\Lambda \beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}=\left[\frac{\xi \gamma_{A}\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}{a_{3} a_{4} \gamma_{A}}\right] I_{A}^{*} \\
=>I_{A}^{*}=\frac{\mu a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\Lambda \beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)} \times \frac{a_{3} a_{4} \gamma_{A}}{\xi \gamma_{A}\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}} \\
=>I_{A}^{*}=\frac{\left[\mu a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\Lambda \beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)\right] a_{3} a_{4}}{\left[\xi \gamma_{A}\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right] \beta\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)} \tag{3.2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let
$\Gamma=\left[\mu a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\Lambda \beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)\right] a_{3} a_{4}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\left[\xi \gamma_{A}\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right] \beta\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)$
Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{A}^{*}=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{1}} \tag{3.2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The endemic equilibrium point is given as $\mathcal{E}^{*}=\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ where;
$S^{*}=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}$,
$E^{*}=\frac{a_{2} \Gamma}{\gamma_{A} \Gamma_{1}}$,
$I_{A}^{*}=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{1}}$,
$I_{S}^{*}=\frac{\eta \Gamma}{a_{3} \Gamma_{1}}$, and
$R^{*}=\frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{s} \eta\right) \Gamma}{a_{3} a_{4} \Gamma_{1}}$.

### 3.3 Basic Reproduction Number of the Model

The concepts of Next Generation Matrix is applied here to establish the stability of the disease-free equilibrium ( $\mathcal{E}^{0}$ ). The basic reproduction number is computed.

Using the Next Generation Matrix (van den Driessche and Watmough, 2002), we consider only the infective classes in the system of differential equations as:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{d E}{d t} & =\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-a_{1} E \\
\frac{d I_{A}}{d t} & =\gamma_{A} E-a_{2} I_{A}  \tag{3.3.26}\\
\frac{d I_{S}}{d t} & =\eta I_{A}-a_{3} I_{S}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The corresponding Jacobian matrix at disease free equilibrium is given as:

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1} & \beta S & \beta S \\
\gamma_{A} & -a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \eta & -a_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Where $F$ and $V$ is represented by

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \beta S & \beta S \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
V=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{A} & -a_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \eta & -a_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
V^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{1} a_{2}} & -a_{2}^{-1} & 0 \\
-\frac{\gamma_{A} \eta}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} & -\frac{\eta}{a_{2} a_{3}} & -a_{3}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

such that:

$$
F V^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \beta S & \beta S \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{1} a_{2}} & -a_{2}^{-1} & 0 \\
-\frac{\gamma_{A} \eta}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} & -\frac{\eta}{a_{2} a_{3}} & -a_{3}^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}}{a_{1} a_{2}}+\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A} \eta}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} & \frac{\beta S}{a_{2}}+\frac{\beta S \eta}{a_{2} a_{3}} & \frac{\beta S}{a_{3}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The reproduction number is the largest spectral radius $(\rho)$ of $\left|F V^{-1}\right|$ and this is obtained as:

$$
\rho\left(F V^{-1}\right)=\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}
$$

Hence the Basic Reproduction $\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$ is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{0}=\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}} \tag{3.3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Sensitivity Analysis of the Model

The goal of sensitivity analysis is to measure the impact of parameter changes on the behaviour of the model. This is done to give more attention to parameters that are observed to play a significant role in the model behaviour. However these parameter values are sometimes unavailable or are not accurately measured. Sensitivity analysis plays a role by informing researchers to begin to pay attention to the model parameter values and measuring them more accurately.

The forward normalized sensitivity index would be used to perform the analysis.

Definition: It is defined as follows: Let $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ be a function that depends on $x_{i}$ and it is differentiable, then the normalized forward sensitivity index of $R_{0}$ relative to $x_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{R_{0}}^{x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial x_{i}} \times \frac{x_{i}}{\mathcal{R}_{0}} \tag{3.4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$
This index measures the relative change in $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ due to relative changes in $x_{i}$. It shows the significance of each parameter in determining the spread of the infection. For an example, the sensitivity index of $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ with respect to $\beta$ is given as
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\beta}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \beta} \times \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=1$ (positive)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\mu}=-\mu\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\gamma_{A}+\mu}+\frac{1}{\pi_{s}+\delta+\mu}\right)$ (negative)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\gamma_{A}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \gamma_{A}} \times \frac{\gamma_{A}}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=\frac{\gamma_{A}}{\gamma_{A}+\mu}$ (positive)
$\Pi_{R_{0}}^{\pi_{S}}=\frac{\partial R_{0}}{\partial \pi_{S}} \times \frac{\pi_{S}}{R_{0}}=-\frac{\pi_{S}}{\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu}$ (negative)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\delta}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \delta} \times \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=-\frac{\delta}{\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu}$ (negative)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\Lambda}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \Lambda} \times \frac{\Lambda}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=1$ (positive)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\pi_{A}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \pi_{A}} \times \frac{\pi_{A}}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=-\frac{\pi_{A}}{\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu}$ (negative)
$\Pi_{\mathcal{R}_{0}}^{\eta}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial \eta} \times \frac{\eta}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}=-\frac{\eta}{\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu}$ (negative)
These expressions are evaluated with the values of the parameter that constitute $\mathcal{R}_{0}$.
If the sensitivity index which is given by, $\Pi_{R_{0}}^{x_{i}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0}}{\partial x_{i}} \times \frac{x_{i}}{\mathcal{R}_{0}}$, of a parameter is negative then a decrease (increase) in the value of the parameter will cause a decrease (increase) in $\mathcal{R}_{0}$. However, if the sensitivity index is positive then an increase (decrease) in the value of the parameter will cause an increase (decrease) in $\mathcal{R}_{0}$. The reproduction number, $\mathcal{R}_{0}$, measures the average number of new infections caused by an infected individual in a population. Therefore an increase in the reproduction number will be detrimental to the survival of the population. Increases in $\beta, \gamma_{A}$, and $\Lambda$ will lead to an increase in $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ and hence an increase in the transmission of the virus and $\pi_{S}, \delta, \eta, \mu$, and $\pi_{A}$ have an inverse relation with $\mathcal{R}_{0}$.

### 3.5 Stability Analysis of the Model

### 3.5.1 Local Stability Analysis

The stability analysis can be performed by considering the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at a particular equilibrium point. Here we shall focus on the disease-free equilibrium point.

The relevant Jacobian matrix of model 2.1.3 is given by:

$$
J=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\beta\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\mu & 0 & -\beta S & -\beta S & \xi  \tag{3.5.29}\\
\beta\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right) & -a_{1} & \beta S & \beta S & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_{A} & -a_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta & -a_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta & \pi_{S} & -a_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The Jacobian matrix at the disease-free equilbrium point is written as:

$$
J_{(D F E)}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\mu & 0 & -\beta S & -\beta S & \xi  \tag{3.5.30}\\
0 & -a_{1} & \beta S & \beta S & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_{A} & -a_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta & -a_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta & \pi_{S} & -a_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The characteristic equation of 3.5 .30 is given by

$$
\left|J_{(D F E)}-\lambda I\right|=(-\mu-\lambda)\left(-a_{4}-\lambda\right)\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1}-\lambda & \beta S & \beta S  \tag{3.5.31}\\
\gamma_{A} & -a_{2}-\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \eta & -a_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Obviously $(-\mu)$ and $\left(-a_{4}\right)$ are negative terms and the stability of the model depends on

$$
\left|J_{(D F E)}^{\prime}-\lambda I\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-a_{1}-\lambda & \beta S & \beta S  \tag{3.5.32}\\
\gamma_{A} & -a_{2}-\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \eta & -a_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where $J_{(D F E)}^{\prime}$ is the reduced form of $J_{(D F E)}$.
Simplifying and collecting terms of the above 3.5.32 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{3} m_{n} \lambda^{n}=0 \tag{3.5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$m_{3}=1$,
$m_{2}=a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}$,
$m_{1}=\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2}-\beta S \gamma_{A}$,
$m_{0}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)$.
Theorem 3.2: (Routh-Hurwitz Criterion for third order polynomial) The polynomial $m_{3} \lambda^{3}+m_{2} \lambda^{2}+m_{1} \lambda+m_{0}$ has all roots in the open left half of the complex plane if and only if $m_{2}>0, m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}>0$ and $m_{0}\left(m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}\right)>0$ See Mahardika et al. (2019).

Theoremm 3.3: The disease-free equilibrium point of the model is locally asymptotically stable whenever $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$, otherwise it is unstable.

Proof. The expression $m_{2}=a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}$, is obviously a positive term.
The expression $m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2}-\beta S \gamma_{A}\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right) . m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=$ $\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\eta+a_{3}}\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} . m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}+\frac{1}{a_{3}}-\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0}}{\eta+a_{3}}\right)-$ $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ where
$\beta S=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}$
Since
$\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0}}{\eta+a_{3}}<\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0}}{a_{3}}$
we have now
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}>\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{3}}-\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0}}{a_{3}}\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1-\mathcal{R}_{0}}{a_{3}}\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+a_{1} a_{2}\left[\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)-a_{3}\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)\right]$
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+a_{1} a_{2}\left[\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)+a_{3}\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)-a_{3}\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)\right]$
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right)+a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}=\left(a_{3}+a_{2}+a_{1}\right) a_{3}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+a_{1} a_{2}\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$ Thus $m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}>0$ whenever $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$.
Again the expression $\left(m_{2} m_{1}-m_{0} m_{3}\right) m_{0}$. By the properties of real numbers, If
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{3} m_{0}>0$
and
$m_{0}>0$,
then
$\left(m_{2} m_{1}-m_{3} m_{0}\right) m_{0}>0$.
It has been shown above that
$m_{2} m_{1}-m_{3} m_{0}>0$ is positive whenever $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$.
Now it is thus to be establish that
$m_{0}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)$.
Substituting
$\beta S=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}$
into $m_{o}$ gives
$m_{0}=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$
$m_{0}=\left(1-\mathcal{R}_{0}\right) a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ is positive when $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$ Thus $\left(m_{2} m_{1}-m_{3} m_{0}\right) m_{0}$ is also positive whenever $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$ The system is locally asymptotically stable at the disease free equilibrium point whenever $\phi<\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\Lambda \beta}$. Proof. $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$ then $S \epsilon \phi<1$
$=>\phi<\frac{1}{S \epsilon}$
$S=\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, \epsilon=\frac{\beta}{a_{1}}$
$\phi<\frac{\mu a_{1}}{\Lambda \beta}$.
3.5.2 Global Stability of the Disease-free Equilibrium

In the case of Local stability there exist a neighbourhood of the equilibrium point within which the system is stable. This neighbourhood is called the basin of attraction. If the basin of attraction is the entire space on which the model is valid i.e. $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}$ then the system is said to be globally stable. This require that the condition of the LaSalle-Lyapunov must hold.

As a result, we can draw the following conclusion concerning the stability of the disease-free equilibrium globally.
Theorem 3.4: The DFE is globally asymptotically stable in $\Pi$, the nonnegative orthant containing $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}$, where $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$.
Proof. Considering the candidate LaSalle-Lyapunov function we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{D F E}(t)=A E+B I_{A}+C I_{S}+D R \tag{3.5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the variables $A, B, C$, and $D$ are all nonnegative constants. It fulfills using its time derivative along the trajectories 2.1 .2

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{L}_{D F E}(t)=A \dot{E}+B \dot{I}_{A}+C \dot{I}_{S}+D \dot{R} \\
=A\left(\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right) E\right), \\
+B\left(\gamma_{A} E-\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right) I_{A}\right), \\
+C\left(\eta I_{A}-\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right) I_{S}\right), \\
+D\left(\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}-(\xi+\mu) R\right) \\
=\left(-A\left(\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)+B \gamma_{A}\right) E\right. \\
+\left(A \beta S-B\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)+C \eta+D \pi_{A}\right) I_{A} \\
+\left(A \beta S-C\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)+D \pi_{S}\right) I_{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
-(D(\xi+\mu)) R
$$

The coefficients of $E, I_{A}, I_{S}$, and $R$ are set to zero using the constants $A, B, C$ and $D$. This is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(-A\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)+B \gamma_{A}\right) E \\
-A\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)+B \gamma_{A}=0 \\
=>B=\frac{A\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}} \tag{3.5.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now substitute $B$ into the coefficient of $I_{A}$

$$
=>\left(A \beta S+A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)\right) I_{A}
$$

The equation coeffients of C are equal

$$
\begin{gather*}
=>A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)+C \eta=-C\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right) \\
=>A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)+C \eta+C\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)=0 \\
=>A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)+C\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)=0 \\
\quad=>C=\frac{A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)}{\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)} \tag{3.5.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Coeffients of $I_{S}$ is same as $I_{A}$

$$
=>\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)\left(A \beta S^{*}+\left(\frac{A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)}{\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)}\right)\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)\right)
$$

But

$$
\beta S^{*}=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{L}_{D F E}=\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)\left(A\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}\right)-\left(\frac{A\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)}{\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)}\right)\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)\right) \tag{3.5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
Q=\left(\frac{\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}}\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)}{\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)}\right)\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)
$$

And

$$
K=\left(\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}\right)
$$

Where

$$
a_{1}=\gamma_{A}+\mu, a_{2}=\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu, a_{3}=\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu
$$

So

$$
K=\left(\frac{\left(\gamma_{A}+\mu\right)\left(\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu\right)\left(\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)}{\gamma_{A}\left(\eta+\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu\right)}\right)
$$

Comparing we observe that

$$
K=Q
$$

Thus we can write

$$
K \mathcal{R}_{0}-Q
$$

Then we have

$$
Q \mathcal{R}_{0}-Q
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{L}_{D F E}=\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)\left(Q\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}-1\right)\right) \tag{3.5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow>$ when $\mathcal{R}_{0}=1$ then $\dot{L}_{D F E}=0$ and when $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$ then $\dot{L}_{D F E}<0$. Therefore, globally asymtotically stable when $\mathcal{R}_{0} \leq 1$.

### 3.5.3 Existence and Uniqueness of the Endemic Equilibrium

We here present the existence and uniqueness of the endemic equilibrium for the model 2.1.2. We shall make use of the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_{0}$.
Let $\mathcal{E}^{*}=\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ be the positive endemic equilibrium of model. Then, the positive endemic equilibrium can be obtained by setting the right hand side of equations in the model 2.1.2 equal to zero, giving

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda+\xi R^{*}-\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-\mu S^{*}=0  \tag{3.5.39}\\
\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-a_{1} E^{*}=0 \\
\gamma_{A} E^{*}-a_{2} I_{A}^{*}=0 \\
\eta I_{A}^{*}-a_{3} I_{S}^{*}=0 \\
\pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+\pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}-a_{4} R^{*}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
$a_{1}=\gamma_{A}+\mu, a_{2}=\eta+\pi_{A}+\mu, a_{3}=\pi_{S}+\delta+\mu, a_{4}=\xi+\mu$.
Using the first, second, fourth and fifth equations of equation 3.5.39, one has
$S^{*}=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}, E^{*}=\frac{a_{2} \Gamma}{\gamma_{A} \Gamma_{1}}, I_{A}^{*}=\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{1}}, \quad I_{S}^{*}=\frac{\eta \Gamma}{a_{3} \Gamma_{1}} R^{*}=\frac{\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right) \Gamma}{a_{3} a_{4} \Gamma_{1}}$.
We now substitute the above expressions of $S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{S}^{*}$, and $R^{*}$ into $\mathcal{R}_{0}$, one obtains the following endemic equilibrium equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}  \tag{3.5.40}\\
E^{*}=\frac{\beta S\left(\eta+a_{3}\right) \Gamma}{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{3} \Gamma_{1}}, \\
I_{S}^{*}=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \Gamma}{\beta S \gamma_{A} \Gamma_{1}}-1, \\
R^{*}=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(\pi_{A}+\pi_{S}\right) \Gamma}{\beta S \gamma_{A} a_{3} a_{4} \Gamma_{1}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with
$\Gamma=\left[\mu a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}-\Lambda \beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)\right] a_{3} a_{4}$,
$\Gamma_{1}=\left[\xi \gamma_{A}\left(\pi_{A} a_{3}+\pi_{S} \eta\right)-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right] \beta\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)$,
$\mathcal{R}_{0}=\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$,-
Lemma Provided $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$, there exist solution to the system of equation 2.1 .3 such that the model can attain endemic equilibrium.

Proof. Using the Next Generation method we have shown that
$\mathcal{R}_{0}=\frac{\beta S \gamma_{A}\left(\eta+a_{3}\right)}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$
$S=\frac{\mathcal{R}_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{\beta \gamma_{A}\left(a_{3}+\eta\right)}$.
(1) $S=S^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}>S^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}-S^{*}=S^{*}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}-1\right)$

Thus $S$ is positive (and therefore exists) only if $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{G}=\Gamma \gamma_{A}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\Gamma_{1} a_{1} a_{2}$ then
$I_{A}=\left(\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{G}_{1}}\right) S$
$I_{A}=\left(\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{G}_{1}}\right) S^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}=I_{A}^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}$
$I_{A}=I_{A}^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}>I_{A}^{*} \mathcal{R}_{0}-I_{A}^{*}=I_{A}^{*}\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}-1\right)$
Thus $I_{A}$ is positive (and therefore exists) only if $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$.
(3) Since $E, I_{S}$, and $R$ are each propotional to $I_{A}$, it follows similarly that $E, I_{S}$, and $R$ are each positive (and therefore exists) only if $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$.

The result follows since $\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ is the limit point of the considered neighbourhood. It is noted that a given initial value problem (IVP) has a unique solution.
3.5.4 Global Stability of the Endemic Equiilbrium

In this section, we offer a finding pertaining to the presence and distinctiveness of the global asymptotic stability in the nonnegative orthant.
Theorem 3.5: When $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$, the endemic equilibrium $\mathcal{E}^{*}=\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ is globally asymptotically stable in $\Pi$.
Proof. When considering the system $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$ there exists a unique endemic equilibrium $\left(S^{*}, E^{*}, I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*}, R^{*}\right)$ given as in 2.1.3. The following Lyapunov function candidate is considered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{E E}(t)=\left(S-S^{*} \ln S\right)+A_{1}\left(E-E^{*} \ln E\right)+A_{2}\left(I_{A}-I_{A}^{*} \ln I_{A}\right)+A_{3}\left(I_{S}-I_{S}^{*} \ln I_{S}\right)+A_{4}\left(R-R^{*} \ln R\right), \tag{3.5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is a constant that will later be established, followed by $A_{2}, A_{3}$, and $A_{4}$. With regard to time, this function can be differentiated to produce

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=\left(1-\frac{S^{*}}{S}\right) \dot{S}+A_{1}\left(1-\frac{E^{*}}{E}\right) \dot{E}+A_{2}\left(1-\frac{I_{A}^{*}}{I_{A}}\right) \dot{I}_{A}+A_{3}\left(1-\frac{I_{S}^{*}}{I_{S}}\right) \dot{I}_{S}+A_{4}\left(1-\frac{R^{*}}{R}\right) \dot{R} \\
=\left(1-\frac{S^{*}}{S}\right)\left[\Lambda-\mu S-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)+\xi R\right] \\
+A_{1}\left(1-\frac{E^{*}}{E}\right)\left[\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)-a_{1} E\right]  \tag{3.5.42}\\
+A_{2}\left(1-\frac{I_{A}^{*}}{I_{A}}\right)\left[\gamma_{A} E-a_{2} I_{A}\right]+A_{3}\left(1-\frac{I_{S}^{*}}{I_{S}}\right)\left[\eta I_{A}-a_{3} I_{S}\right] \\
+A_{4}\left(1-\frac{R^{*}}{R}\right)\left[\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}-a_{4} R\right]
\end{array}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, and $a_{4}$ are defined as in 2.1.3. By considering 2.1.3, one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Lambda=\mu S^{*}-\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)+\xi R^{*}  \tag{3.5.43}\\
a_{1} E^{*}=\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) \\
a_{2} I_{A}^{*}=\gamma_{A} E^{*} \\
a_{3} I_{S}^{*}=\eta I_{A}^{*} \\
a_{4} R^{*}=\pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+\pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with this in mind 3.5 .42 becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=\left(1-\frac{S^{*}}{S}\right)\left[\mu S^{*}+\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-\xi R^{*}-\mu S-\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)+\xi R\right] \\
+A_{1}\left(1-\frac{E^{*}}{E}\right)\left[\beta S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right)\right]-A_{1} a_{1} E+A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) \\
+A_{2}\left(1-\frac{I_{A}^{*}}{I_{A}}\right)\left[\gamma_{A} E\right]-A_{2} a_{2} I_{A}+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*} \\
+A_{3}\left(1-\frac{I_{S}^{*}}{I_{S}}\right)\left[\eta I_{A}\right]-A_{3} a_{3} I_{S}+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*} \\
+A_{4}\left(1-\frac{R^{*}}{R}\right)\left[\pi_{A} I_{A}+\pi_{S} I_{S}\right]-A_{4} a_{4} R+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}  \tag{3.5.44}\\
=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)\left(1-\frac{S^{*}}{S}\right)+\xi R^{*} \\
+\left[A_{3} \eta+A_{4} \pi_{A}-A_{2} a_{2}+\beta S^{*}\right] I_{A}+\left[-A_{3} a_{3}+A_{4} \pi_{S}+\beta S^{*}\right] I_{S} \\
E \\
+\left[-A_{1} a_{1}+A_{2} \gamma_{A}\right] E+\left[-A_{4} a_{4}\right] R+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}\left(1-\frac{E^{*}}{E^{*}}\right)+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}\left(1-\frac{I_{A}}{I_{A}^{*}}\right) \\
+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}\left(1-\frac{I_{A}}{I_{A}^{*}}\right)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}\left(1-\frac{I_{S}}{I_{S}^{*}}\right)-A_{1} \beta S^{*} \frac{E^{*}}{E} \frac{S}{S^{*}}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-\xi R^{*}\left(1-\frac{R}{R^{*}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Now, let $(x, y, z, v, w)=\left(\frac{S^{*}}{S}, \frac{E^{*}}{E}, \frac{I_{A}^{*}}{I_{A}}, \frac{I_{S}^{*}}{I_{S}}, \frac{R^{*}}{R}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{L}_{E E}(t)=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)(1-x)+\xi R^{*}+\left[-A_{1} a_{1}+A_{2} \gamma_{A}\right] E \\
&+\left[A_{3} \eta+A_{4} \pi_{A}-A_{2} a_{2}+\beta S^{*}\right] I_{A}+\left[-A_{3} a_{3}+A_{4} \pi_{S}+\beta S^{*}\right] I_{S}+\left[-A_{4} a_{4}\right] R  \tag{3.5.45}\\
&+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}(1-y)+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}(1-z) \\
&+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}(1-v)-A_{1} \beta S^{*} \frac{y}{x}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)-\xi R^{*}(1-w)
\end{align*}
$$

In order for the coefficients of $S\left(I_{A}+I_{S}\right), E, I_{A}, I_{S}$, and $R$ to be equal to zero, the nonnegative constants $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$ are selected

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-1+A_{1}=0  \tag{3.5.46}\\
-A_{1} a_{1}+A_{2} \gamma_{A}=0 \\
-A_{4} a_{4}+\xi=0 \\
A_{4} \pi_{A}+A_{3} \eta-A_{2} a_{2}+\beta S^{*}=0 \\
A_{4} \pi_{S}-A_{3} a_{3}+\beta S^{*}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

With the help of 3.5 .39 , we can easily state that the fifth equation of 3.5 .46 is satisfied when the first and fourth equations of 3.5 .46 are satisfied. As a result, in the following equations, we just take into account:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1}=1  \tag{3.5.47}\\
A_{1} a_{1}=A_{2} \gamma_{A} \\
-A_{4} a_{4}+\xi=0 \\
A_{4} \pi_{A}+A_{3} \eta-A_{2} a_{2}+\beta S^{*}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The equation above when solved gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=1, A_{2}=\frac{\gamma_{A}}{a_{1}}, A_{4}=\frac{\xi}{a_{4}}, A_{3}=\frac{A_{2} a_{2}-A_{4} \pi_{A}-\beta S^{*}}{\eta} \tag{3.5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the above expressions of $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, and $A_{4}$ in 3.5 .45 one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+\beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)(2-x)+\xi R^{*}(1-w) \\
-A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) \frac{y}{x}+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}(1-y)  \tag{3.5.49}\\
+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}(1-v)
\end{array}
$$

Now, using the fact that $A_{1}=1$, equation 3.5 .49 becomes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)(2-x)+\xi R^{*}(1-w) \\
-A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) \frac{y}{x}+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}(1-y) \\
+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}(1-v),  \tag{3.5.50}\\
=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)\left(2-x-\frac{y}{x}\right)+\xi R^{*}(1-w) \\
+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}(1-y)+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}(1-z)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}(1-v)
\end{array}
$$

The result of multiplying the second equation of 3.5 .43 by $A_{1}$ and the second equation of 3.5 .47 by $E^{*}$ is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} a_{1} E^{*}=A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}  \tag{3.5.51}\\
A_{1} a_{1} E^{*}=A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, it clearly appears that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}=0 \tag{3.5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, multiplying the preceding equation by $F_{1}(u)$ where $u=(x, y, z, v, w)^{T}$ and $F_{1}(u)$ a function to be calculated later, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right) F_{1}(u)+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*} F_{1}(u)=0 \tag{3.5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, if the fourth equation of 3.5 .43 is multiplied by $A_{4}$ and the third equation of 3.5 .47 is multiplied by $R^{*}$, the result is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{4} a_{4} R^{*}=\xi R^{*}  \tag{3.5.54}\\
A_{4} a_{4} R^{*}=A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, one can deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}-A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}+\xi R^{*}=0 \tag{3.5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, multiplying the previous equation by $F_{2}(u)$ where $u=(x, y, z, v, w)^{T}$ and $F_{2}(u)$ is a later-determined function, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*} F_{2}(u)-A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*} F_{2}(u)+\xi R^{*} F_{2}(u)=0 \tag{3.5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, after plugging equations 3.5.53 and 3.5.56 into equation 3.5.50, one obtains

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)\left(2-x-\frac{y}{x}-F_{1}(u)\right) \\
+\xi R^{*}\left(1-w+F_{2}(u)\right)+A_{2} \gamma_{A} E^{*}\left(1-y+F_{1}(u)\right)+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}\left(1-z-F_{2}(u)\right)  \tag{3.5.57}\\
+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}\left(1-z-F_{2}(u)\right)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}\left(1-v-F_{2}(u)\right)
\end{array}
$$

In order to ensure that the coefficients of $E^{*}$ and $R^{*}$ are equal to zero the functions $F_{1}(u)$ and $F_{2}(u)$ are selected. In this scenario, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(u)=\left(\frac{1}{y}-1\right) \text { and } F_{2}(u)=\left[\left(\frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{w},\right)-1\right] \text { for } I_{A}^{*}, I_{S}^{*} \text {, and } R^{*} \text { respectively } . \tag{3.5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we finally have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\dot{L}_{E E}(t)=-\mu \frac{\left(S-S^{*}\right)^{2}}{S}+A_{1} \beta S^{*}\left(I_{A}^{*}+I_{S}^{*}\right)\left(3-x-\frac{y}{x}-\frac{1}{y}\right) \\
+A_{3} \eta I_{A}^{*}\left(2-z-\frac{1}{z}\right)+A_{4} \pi_{A} I_{A}^{*}\left(2-z-\frac{1}{z}\right)+A_{4} \pi_{S} I_{S}^{*}\left(2-v-\frac{1}{v}\right) \tag{3.5.59}
\end{array}
$$

So, it can be seen from the arithmetic-geometric means inequality that $\dot{L}_{E E}(t) \leq 0$ is equal only if $S=S^{*}$ and $y=z=v=$ $w$. The endemic equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable in $\Pi$, according to the LaSalle's invariance principle. The fact that $\Pi$ is absorbing establishes the positive orthant's global asymptotic stability.

## 4. Numerical Analysis of the Model

Using Ghana data, we now perform numerical simulations of the model. Table 2 displays estate parameter values with relevant soures in the literature indicated.

The sensitivity indices of the model parameters influencing $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ are shown in Table 3 using the parameter values in Table 2 as a basis.

## Table 2. Parameters estimates of the $S E I_{A} I_{S} R S$ model

| Parameter | Value(per day) | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Lambda$ | $2.8452(365$ days) | Okyere and Ackora-Prah |
| $\beta$ | $0.83117941 \times 10^{-4}$ | Okyere and Ackora-Prah |
| $\eta$ | 0.1200 | Estimated |
| $\gamma_{A}$ | $0.2344 \times 10^{-4}$ | Estimated |
| $\pi_{A}$ | 0.080 | Estimated |
| $\pi_{S}$ | 0.563 | Estimated |
| $\delta$ | 0.00002442 | Estimated |
| $\mu$ | $0.4252912 \times 10^{-5}$ | Estimated |
| $\xi$ | 0.0167 | Akuka et al. (2022) |



Figure 2. Epidemiological Curve of confirmed COVID-19 cases in Ghana, March 12, 2020 C June 30, 2020

Table 3. Sensitivity indices of the $S E I_{A} I_{S} R S$ model parameters

| Parameter | Sensitivity index |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Lambda$ | +1.000 |
| $\beta$ | +1.000 |
| $\eta$ | -0.599 |
| $\gamma_{A}$ | +0.846 |
| $\pi_{A}$ | -0.399 |
| $\pi_{S}$ | -0.999 |
| $\delta$ | $-4.337 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mu$ | -1.000 |



Figure 3. Susceptible


Figure 5. Infectious Asymptomatic


Figure 7. Recovered


Figure 4. Exposed


Figure 6. Infectious Symptomatic


Figure 8. All variables

With positive sign of $\Lambda, \beta$ and $\gamma_{A}$ in Table 3 , there is a positive correlation between each of these parameters and $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ which indicates that increasing (decreasing) any of these parameters would lead to increase (decreasing) in $\mathcal{R}_{0}$, thus increasing (decreasing) the prevalence of COVID-19 disease. Also, with negative sign of $\eta, \pi_{A}, \pi_{S}, \delta$ and $\mu$, there is a negative correlation between any of these parameters and $\mathcal{R}_{0}$. This means that increasing (decreasing) and of these parameters would lead to decrease (increase) of $\mathcal{R}_{0}$, thus decreasing (increasing) the prevalence of COVID-19 disease.
Based on these parameter values, we compute $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ and the vulnerability factor as follows: $\mathcal{R}_{0}=0.78216$, and $\phi=1.42179 \times$
$10^{-4}$.
COVID-19 epidemic in Ghana therefore has a locally asymptotic stability at the disease free equilibrium point. Also, the result shows that the Ghana population has low vulnerability which is due to the relatively young population of that country. In fact, according to the 2021 Population and Housing census, the population of the country is 30.8 million and $3.14 \%$ of the population are 65 and above. Also $47.13 \%$ of the population are between the age $0-19$ years (Service, 2021).
Inserting the parameter values in the model equations we have, for the model, $S(0)=30,799,998, E(0)=0.2, I_{A}(0)=$ $02, I_{S}(0)=0, R(0)=0$. The equations were numerically solved using MATLAB ode 45 and plots of the trajectories of the variables $S, E, I_{A}, I_{S}$, and $R$ obtained.

Figure 3 shows that the susceptible population monotonically decreases with time for the first 250 days. Figure 4.5, and 6 indicate a peaking of infected populations and a tapering off by day 250. Considering Figure 4, 5, and 6,we obsevered that the number of exposed individuals turns to be greater than the number of infectious asymptomatic individuals which in turns is also greater than the number of infectious symptomatic individuals. From Figure 7 we see that the number of recovering individuals is higher than any of the other individuals. The above is summarized in Figure 8 .
Epidemiological Curve of COVID-19 Cases, March-June 2020, the distribution of cases showed a propagated outbreak with multiple peaks of about one-month interval. The highest peak of confirmed cases as at June 30, 2020 was observed at June 10, 2020, (Kenu et al., 2020). The index cases were confirmed on March 12, 2020. Among symptomatic patients, cases were distributed over the four months with multiple peaks with the highest peak on April 29, 2020 (Figure 2).

## 5. Conclusion

We have formulated an $S E I_{A} I_{S} R S$ Transmission Dynamics model of COVID-19 and proved that it is epidemiological well posed, is globally asymptotically stable at the disease free equilibrium and at the endemic equilibrium when $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0}>1$ respectively. We also show that a vulnerability factor define via vulnerability dependent parameters, when appropriately bounded leads to stability at the disease free equilibrium.
Finally employing secondary clinical COVID-19 data on symptomatic and asymptomatic cases together with age structure census data from Ghana, we are able to demonstrate that the relatively low impact of the pandemic could be largely due to the youthfulness of the Ghanaian population. This evidently is the cause of a sufficiently low vulnerability so that $\mathcal{R}_{0}<1$. Thus the transition dynamics is necessarily globally asymptotically stable at the disease free equilibrium. Thus for this population, the disease can be eradicated and tends not to persist.

In a sequel to this paper we shall further explore issues raised here in the context of an age structured extension of our basic model.
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