Combining Ability Analysis of Yield Component Parameters in Winter Rapeseed Genotypes (*Brassica napus* L.)

Shiva Azizinia (Corresponding author)
Department of Horticulture, College of Abouraihan, University of Tehran
PO box 33955/159, Pakdasht, Tehran, Iran
Tel: 98-292-304-1078 E-mail: sazizinia@ut.ac.ir

Received: October 9, 2011 Accepted: October 21, 2011 Online Published: February 2, 2012
doi:10.5539/jas.v4n4p87 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jas.v4n4p87

Abstract

Eight winter rapeseed genotypes GA096, Geronimo, Okapi, Orient, Sunday, Zarfam, SW0756, and Modena were used as parents of a complete diallel mating design. A set of 56 diallel F_1 hybrids (with reciprocals) including their parents were evaluated in a 8×8 simple lattice design with two replications during 2007/08. Several agronomic traits like Plant height, number of lateral branches per pod, number of pod per main branch, number of seed per pod, 1000 seed weight, seed yield and oil content were recorded. The main objective was to examine the combining abilities of selected canola (*Brassica napus* L.) lines in diallel crosses and to identify candidates for promising hybrid combinations. Significant variances were observed among genotypes for all of the traits (except for seed number per plant). Significant GCA and SCA were observed for 1000 seed weight, oil content and seed yield. Reciprocal effects were significant for oil content. There were significant positive effects for yield and yield components.

Keyword: Diallel analysis, Rapeseed, Combining ability, Yield components

1. Introduction

The Brassicaceae family consists of many important field crops and vegetables such as rapeseed. Rapeseed rank third in the world and most important vegetable oil source with an annual growth rate exceeding that of palm. Rapeseed is the world's second leading source of protein meals. The main rapeseed-producing regions of the world are China, Canada, India and Northern Europe. Worldwide production of rapeseed has increased six fold between 1975 and 2007 by the aim of conventional and modern plant breeding approaches. World production is expected to trend further upward over between 2005 and 2015 (UN Food & Agriculture Organization (FAO).

Heterosis has an important role in plant breeding programmes. It is well known that the utilization of heterosis is an effective way to increase crop yield and the major objective of oilseed breeding in recent years has been the development of hybrid varieties to use heterosis in term of seed and oil yield. The practical exploitation of heterosis in a large number of crops on millions of hectares across the world is indicative of success of the first category of pursuers. The extent of heterosis in rapeseed has been analyzed in a number of studies with widely varying results, depending on the materials used. In spring rapeseed hybrids an average high parent heterosis of 30% with a range of 20–50% was observed, while for winter rapeseed hybrids an average high parent heterosis of 50% was reported, ranging from 20 to 80% as reviewed by McVetty (1995). Brandle and McVetty (1989) reported a high-parent heterosis with 120% for seed yield in *B.napus*. The value amount of heterosis as well as the GCA and SCA effects is important consideration for hybrid breeding.

Knowledge about the type and amount of genetic effects is required for an efficient use of genetic variability of crops. The concept of good combining ability refers to the potential of a parental form of producing by its crossing with another parent superior offspring for the breeding process and it is widely used in the breeding of cross-pollinated plants. Information and exact study of combining ability can be useful in regard to selection of breeding methods and selection of lines for hybrid combination. Due to the numerous theoretical and practical advantages of this method, in recent years the choice of parental forms on the basis of combining ability has been extended. Advancement in the yield of brassica requires certain information regarding the nature of combining ability of parents available for use in the hybridization program. Seed yield is a complex trait that includes various

components and finally results in a highly plastic yield structure (Diepenbrock 2000). Yield per area is the product of population density, the number of pods per plant, the number of seeds per pod and the individual seed weight. While examining the genetic control of grain yield in oilseed rape both additive and non-additive gene effects have been found to be involved (Singh and Yadev 1980; Yadev et al. 2005).

Information regarding the inheritance of grain yield in oilseed rape is Limited. Variability of results indicated clearly that the inheritance patterns of plant traits imparting yield varies with the genetic material and the climatic vagaries that suggested exploring the genetic information about the present material before performing selection. The objectives of the present study were: I) examine the combining ability patterns of selected canola (*Brassica napus* L.) lines in a diallel cross, II) genetic analysis of some agronomic traits and oil content using a mixed model and III) identify candidates for promising hybrid combinations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Plant Material

The complete diallel design with reciprocals crosses were conducted in 2006/2007. A total of eight genetically diverse and geographically distinct canola quality *Brassica napus* L. lines (cultivars) including GA096, Geronimo, Okapi, Orient, Sunday, Zarfam, SW0756 and Modena were chosen as parents. Sixty four entries including eight parents and their 56 F_1 hybrids were evaluated during a consecutive growing seasons in an 8×8 simple lattice design with two replications. Seeds were sown in a 30-60 cm furrow system (one row in each furrow and 60 cm spacing between two rows) in the third week of October 2007 and 2008. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of urea (46 % N) was applied uniformly on all plots (50 kg N ha^{-1} at sowing, 50 kg N ha^{-1} top-dressed at the start of flowering and 50 kg N ha^{-1} top-dressed at the start of budding). Other fertilizers were applied prior to plowing at the recommended rates of 59 and 100 kg ha^{-1} for P_2O_5 and K_2O, respectively. A sample of five representative plants were taken from each plot for recording data on plant height, number of lateral branches and number of grains per pod. Furthermore, 1000 grain weight was measured using a sub-sample of the harvested seed from each plot. The oil content (%) was determined using a Foss NIRSystems 5000 near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy (Foss NIRSystems Inc.) according to WinISI III manual instructions for routine analysis (Foss-tecator Infrasoft International LLC).

2.2 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done among hybrid combinations with the GLM procedure using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (Zhang et al. 2005). All of the genetic effects in the model were considered as random effects. Analysis was carried out by the procedure suggested by Griffing method-II and model-I. Relative hybrid performance (in %) in comparison with the mean of both parents (mid-parent heterosis, MPH) were calculated as follows: MPH = 100 × ((F1 – MP)/ MP), where F_1 = hybrid performance, MP = mean performance of both parents.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Components of Genetic Variation

Data normality was tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The analysis of variance revealed considerable genetic diversity among the genotypes for all of the traits except for seed number per pod (data not shown). The variance due to general and specific combining ability were estimated for assessing the contribution of the additive and non additive types of gene action involved in the inheritance of different characters. GCA and SCA variances revealed highly significant differences for the most of the characters.

Variation in both GCA and SCA were highly significant ($P<0.05$) for 1000 seed weight, oil content, and seed yield (table 1) indicating importance of additive and dominance gene effects in the parental population for these traits. The involvement of non-additive genetic effects in the inheritance of grain yield and some of the yield contributing traits in rapeseed has been previously reported (Akbar et al. 2008; Cheema and Sadaqat 2004; Rameah et al. 2003; Rao 2001; Sheikh 1998; Sheoran et al. 2000; Singh et al. 2010; Yadav et al. 2005). Ghosh et al. (2002) were of the opinion that for most of the major traits including seed yield had both additive and non-additive gene action of prime importance in Indian mustard. However, other researchers have emphasized the importance of additive genetic effects for some traits such as number of branches and number of pod per plant in this crop (Cheema and Sadaqat 2004; Larik and Rajput 2000; Rameah et al. 2003).

The magnitude of SCA was higher than GCA for 1000 seed weight, oil content and yield suggesting the performance of dominant gene action. Although several studies have suggested the prevalence of additive genetic effects for oil content and 1000 seed weight in rapeseed (Wu et al. 2006b; Delourme et al. 2006 ; Sabaghnia et al. 2010; Shen et al. 2005; Singh et al. 2010; Qian et al. 2007), the results of this study showed the

importance of dominance effects in these traits. Downey and Rimer (1993); Sheikh (1998) and Sabaghnia et al. (2010) reported the importance of non-additive gene action in controlling the oil content. Non-additive gene action was also reported for 1000-seed weight and yield by Yadav (1996) and Thakur and Sagwal (1997). Both additive and non-additive effects were also found to influence oil content in *B. napus* (Rameah et al, 2003; Cheema and Sadaqat, 2004). Wang et al. 2010 indicated that oil content was mainly influenced by dominant and additive effects, with dominant effect the most important player. For height, Branch number and pod number only GCA was significant indicating the importance of additive gene action for these traits. The findings of the present investigation of different parameters are in conformity with the findings of following authors. Larik and Rajput (2000) and sheikh (1998) studied *B. napus* and *B. juncea* and reported the involvement of additive effects in the plant height. Larik and Rajput (2000) and Yadav et al. (2005) reported additive gene action in controlling number of primary branches which supported the results of present study. For number of pod per plant on main branch, Larik and Rajput, (2000); Rameah et al, (2003); Singh et al, 2010; Thakur and Sagwal, 1997 also reported similar GCA effects which is corroborated of the present study.

It can be concluded that for above mentioned traits, breeding programs based on selection will be efficient whereas for 1000 seed weight, oil content, and seed yield, with dominance gene action hybridization based methods and selection of the traits in late segregating generations in genotypes might be fruitful for the improvement and can be suggested. A perusal of general combining ability (GCA) effects of parents indicated that none of the parent was found to be good general combiner for the entire traits (table 1). The estimates showed that Zarfam followed by Sunday and GA096 were the best general combiners for seed yield. Okapi and Geronimo with the positive significant GCA effects were the best combiners for Oil%. Sunday, SW0756 and Modena with positive GCA effects for height and pod number were considered as good combiners for the traits, simultaneously. The parents Sunday and Okapi had significant positive GCA effects for 1000-seed weight, so they can be considered as good combiners for this trait. SW0756 also has the best positive GCA effects for branch umber per plant. Therefore, these cultivars can be used as proper genetic materials in rapeseed breeding programs.

The Orient×SW0756 cross had significant positive SCA effects and were found better crosses for number of pod per plant (Table 2). For number of seed per plant, the crosses GA096×Modena and Orient×Modena had high significant positive SCA effects. For plant height, the best combination with a positive SCA effect was Sunday×Modena. None of the crosses had significant positive SCA effects for plant height and number of branch per plant. The crosses SW0756×Modena, Orient×Modena and Sunday×Modena crosses with significant positive SCA effects were good specific combiners for 1000-seed weight. SW0756×Modena, Zarfam×Modena and Zarfam×Sunday were the top three combinations with significant positive SCA effects for seed yield, respectively. Among these crosses, at least one of their parents had significant positive GCA effects for seed yield expect for first cross. In other studies (Thakur and Sagwal, 1997; Rameah, 2003; Brandle and McVetty, 1989) similar results were reported. Therefore GCA effects can be considered as a good criterion for predicting SCA effects on seed yield. Aforementioned crosses could be regarded as promising genotypes to be utilized either as F_1 hybrids or as a source population for further selection in rapeseed. These results indicated that parental effects on F_1 hybrids were largely determined by the degree of combining abilities of the parents. The greater combining abilities the parents had, the greater effects on F_1 hybrids they imposed. Compared with the traits controlled by both additive and non-additive gene actions, the traits mainly controlled by additive gene actions were more closely related to the sum of GCAs of female and male parents, i.e. parental effects on their F_1s were greater. Therefore the sum of GCAs of female and male parents rather than the individual GCAs of parents should be considered in hybrid breeding, especially for traits that are not significantly controlled or affected by non-additive gene actions (shen et al, 2005).

3.2 Heterosis

The most complex trait, grain yield, showed the highest level of heterosis. Out of 56 crosses, 46 exhibited positive mid-parent heterosis for seed yield. Radoev et al. 2008 and Shen et al. 2005 reported same results. Significant heterosis for yield components and seed yield in *B. napus* and other Brassica species have been reported (Schuler et al, 1992; Downey and Rimer, 1993; Shen et al, 2005). Brandle and McVetty (1989) reported a high-parent heterosis with 120% for seed yield in *B.napus*. The results on the F_1 heterosis are presented in Tables 3. The top five combinations with significant positive mid parent heterosis on seed yield were SW0756×Modena, Sunday×SW0756, Zarfam×Sunday, GA096×SW0756, and Okapi×Sunday, respectively ranging from 115 to 64%.

For grain yield in spring rapeseed hybrids, an average high parent heterosis of 30% with a range of 20-50% was observed, while for winter rapeseed hybrids an average high parent heterosis of 50% was reported, ranging from

20 to 80% (Mc Vetty, 1995). As crosses with high SCA effects yielded more than those with high heterosis for seed yield, it can be concluded that the SCA effect is a more realistic criterion than mid parental heterosis for seed yield prediction. Many studies have proved that heterosis was caused mainly by non-additive effects, such as dominant, epistatic effects (shen et al, 2005). In this study, it has been demonstrated that yield traits were controlled by both non-additive and additive gene effects. This might be the reason why heterosis for yield was greater than other traits.

The crosses Sunday×SW0756, Sunday×Modena, Okapi×SW0756, Orient×SW0756, Okapi×Sunday exhibited significant positive mid parent heterosis for 1000seed (24-17%). For height: Okapi×Sunday, Geronimo×Modena, Geronimo×Okapi , Geronimo× SW0756 , Geronimo×Sunday (16-8%) were the best crosses. In this study, certain positive mid-parent heterosis in some of the crosses has been existed for Oil content. Tthe crosses Okapi×Modena, Geronimo×SW0756, Geronimo×Sunday, Geronimo×Modena, Geronimo×Zarfam (9-7%) had positive but not significant mid parent heterosis. A number of researchers have indicated that negative or absence of heterosis for oil content is a common phenomenon in some Brassica species (Brandle and McVetty, 1989; Schuler et al, 1992; Falk et al, 1994). Previous studies reported that F_1 hybrids produced from crosses between different varieties had high heterosis for yield traits and no significant heterosis for seed oil content in rapeseed (Brandle and McVetty, 1990).

Shen et al. (2005) reported that mid-parent heterosis for seed oil content was ranged from -1.55% to 7.44% only. For Pod number per plant Orient×SW0756, Orient×Sunday, GA096×Sunday, Geronimo×SW0756, Orient×Modena crosses showed positive heterosis (27-16%) and top five crosses with positive mid parent heterosis for Branch number were belong to Geronimo×Okapi, Okapi×Orient, Okapi×SW0756, Okapi×Sunday, Geronimo×Orient, (32-19%) and for Seed number, the crosses GA096×Geronimo, GA096×Okapi, Geronimo×Zarfam, Orient×Modena, Geronimo× SW0756 (13-12%) and have the best mid parent heterosis.

In most of the traits significant differences of the mid-parent heterosis between some crosses and their reciprocals was observed. These differences should be due to the significant cytoplasmic effects of their parents. Campbell and Kondra (1978) observed significant cytoplasmic effects for yield and yield components. Significant maternal effects were also observed for oil content, branch number and pod number. This suggests that the expected performance of recombinant lines depends on the direction of the cross and therefore, the direction of crosses is important for these traits and it is suggested that genetic improvements could be effective by selection based on the performance of oil content in maternal plant. Significant maternal effects have been reported for oil content (Variath et al, 2009; Wu et al, 2006b), branch number and pod number in *B. napus* (Campbell and Kondra, 1978) and *B.rapa (*Singh and Yadev, 1980). Wang et al, 2010 pointed out that the maternal effects played a major role on oil content of F_1 hybrid seeds and the oil content of F_1 hybrid seeds in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) is mainly controlled by maternal accompanied with a minor xenia effect. Therefore, it can be concluded that cytoplasmic effects played an important role on oil content in rapeseed.

4. Conclusion

Although combining ability studies in oilseed *Brassica* spp. are inadequate, most of these studies emphasized the predominance effect of GCA on yield and most of the yield components indicating the importance of additive gene action (Brandle and McVetty, 1989; Cheema & Sadaqat, 2004; Rameah et al, 2003). On the other hand, the presence of significant SCA effects for yield and yield components has been reviewed (Rao, 2001; Cheema & Sadaqat, 2004; Yadav, 1996). Akbar et al. 2008 reported that variation for both GCA and SCA were responsible for seed yield and other quantitative traits in *B. napus*. In *Brassica juncea*, predominance of general combining ability effects were observed in seed yield, its components, days to flowering and maturity. Earlier breeders concluded that the different environment one has to suggest different selection criteria for the improvement in the yield. For those traits that are controlled by additive gene action, simple selection in early generation is suggested, whereas for those traits controlled by non-additive gene action selection in later generation would be more effective (Cheema & Sadaqat, 2004). Yadav et al. (1996) found that simple selection under both normal and late-sown conditions would improve 1000-seed weight, while selection for yield and number of secondary branches would be more effective under normal sowing conditions only. It has been reported that environment and crosses in *Brassica juncea* considerably influenced the gene effects (Akbar et al, 2008). For breeding a new rapeseed variety with better quality traits, breeders are more concerned with the overall performance of multiple traits in the selection processes. Genetic correlation components could reveal the genetic relationships among different quality traits of rapeseed. Based on the analysis of genetic correlation components from different genetic systems, the mechanism of relationship could be clarified for nutrient traits of rapeseed (Variath et al, 2009). Qian et al. 2007 found a high positive correlation of hybrid performance with the total of parental GCA effects. Hence it was possible to predict hybrid performance from GCA effects.

Usually it is difficult to obtain high oil content F_1 hybrid when a combination was made between a high oil content parent and a medium or low oil content parent since the heterosis effect was significantly negative in such an occasion (Wang et al, 2010). To get a high oil content hybrid, it is essential to have two parents with high oil content. In addition, cytoplasmic effect is another thing that should be considered seriously in the breeding of high oil content of *B. napus* (Wang et al, 2010). In this study additive effects played the most important roles on seed oil content in *B. napus*. The results of Zhao et al. 2005 depicted that additive effects were main factors contributing to the variation in oil content. Results of this investigation showed that there were significant maternal effects on seed oil content in *B. napus*, which is in agreement with Wu et al. 2006b and Wang et al. 2010. In conclusion, seed oil content in rapeseed (*B. napus*) was mainly controlled by the maternal genotype. Wang et al. 2010 suggested that the inheritance of oil content was fitted with an additive-dominant-epistasis model, with dominant and additive effects being the main components.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to extend her appreciation to the SAS institute for providing SAS diallel analysis software used for this research. The author sincerely appreciates Dr. B. Alizadeh and Dr. A. H. Omidi for their helpful comments.

References

Akbar, M., Tahira, B. M. A., & Hussain, M. (2008). Combining ability studies in *Brassica napus* L. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biology,* 10, 205–258. http://www.fspublishers.org/ijab/index_ijab.jsp

Brandle JE, & McVetty PBE. (1989). Heterosis and combining ability in hybrids derived from oilseed rape cultivars and inbred lines. *Crop Science,* 29, 1191-1195. http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1989.0011183X002900050020x

Brandle, J. E., & McVetty, P. B. E. (1990). Geographical diversity, parental selection and heterosis in oilseed rape. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science,* 70, 935-940. http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/cjps90-115

Campbell, D. C., & Kondra, Z. P. (1978). A Genetic Study of Growth Characters and Yield Characters of Oilseed Rape. *Euphytica,* 27, 177-183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00039133

Cheema, K. L., & Sadaqat, H. A. (2004). Potential and Genetic Basis of Drought Tolerance in Canola (Brassica napus): I. Generation Mean Analysis for Some Phenological and Yield Components. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biology,* 6, 74–81. http://www.fspublishers.org/ijab/index_ijab.jsp

Delourme, R., Falentin, C., Huteau, V., Clouet, V., Horvais, R., Gandon, B., Specel, S., Hanneton, L., Dheu, J. E., Deschamps, M., Margale, E., Vincourt, P., & Renard, M. (2006). Genetic control of oil content in oilseed rape (Brassica napus L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 113, 1331–1345. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-006-0386-z

Diepenbrock, W. (2000). Yield analysis of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.): A review. *Filed Crops Research,* 67, 35-49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(00)00082-4

Downey, R. K., & Rimer, S. R. (1993). Agronomic improvement in oilseed *Brassicas*. *Advances in Agronomy,* 50, 1-150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60831-7

Falk KC, Rakow GFW, Downey RK, & Spurr DT. (1994). Performance of inter-cultivar summer turnip rape hybrids in Saskatchewan. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science,* 74,441–445. http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/cjps94-082

Ghosh, S. K., Gulati, S. C., & Rajani, R. (2002). Combining ability and heterosis for seed yield and its components in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Coss). *The Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding,* 62, 29–33. http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ijgpb&type=home

Larik, A. S., & Rajput, L. S. (2000). Estimation of selection indices in *Brassica juncea* L. and *Brassica napus* L. *Pakistan Journal of Botany,* 329, 323–330. http://www.pakbs.org/

Mc Vetty, & P. B. E. (1995). Review of performance and seed production of hybrid brassicas. *Proceedings of the 9th International Rapeseed Congress,* 4-7 July, Cambridge, England, 98-103

Qian, W., Sass, O., Meng, J., Li, M., Frauen, M., & Jung, C. (2007). Heterotic patterns in rapeseed (Brassica napus L.): I. Crosses between spring and Chinese semi-winter lines. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics,* 115, 27–34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-007-0537-x

Radoev M., Becker, H. C., & Ecke, W. (2008). Genetic Analysis of Heterosis for Yield and Yield Components in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus L.*) by Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping. *The Genetics Society of America*, 179, 1547-1558. http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.089680

Rameah, V., Rezai, A., & Saeidi, G. (2003). Estimation of genetic parameters for yield, yield component and glucosinolate in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Journal of agriculture science technology*, 5, 143-151. http://www.jast.ir/

Rao, N.V. & S.C. Gulati. (2001). Comparison of gene action in F1 and F2 diallels of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss). *Crop Research,* 21, 72–6

Sabaghnia, N., Dehghani, H., Alizadeh, B., & Mohghaddam, M. (2010). Heterosis and combining ability analysis for oil yield and its components in rapeseed. *Australian Journal of Crop Science,* 4, 390-397. http://www.cropj.com/index.html

Schuler, T. J., Hutcheson, D. S., & Downey, R. K. (1992). Heterosis in inter-varietal hybrids of summer turnip rape in Western Canada. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science,* 72, 127-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/cjps92-013

Sheikh, I. A., & Singh, J. N. (1998). Combining ability analysis of seed yield and oil content in *Brassica juncea* (L.) Coss & Czern. *The Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding,* 58, 507–511. http://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ijgpb&type=home

Shen, J. X., Fu, T. D., Yang, G. S., Ma, C. Z., & Tu, J. X. (2005). Genetic analysis of rapeseed self-incompatibility lines reveals significant heterosis of different patterns for yield and oil content traits. *Plant Breed*ing, 124, 111–116. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2004.01069.x

Sheoran, R. K., Yadav, I. S., Singh, A., & Singh, R. (2000). Combining ability analysis for various characters in brown sarson (*B. campestris* L.). *Cereal Research Communications,* 28, 81–86.

Singh, M., Singh, L., & Srivastava, S. B. L. (2010). Combining ability analysis in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss). *Journal of Oilseed Brassica,* 1, 23-27. http://srmr.org.in

Singh, H., & Yadev, C. K. (1980). Gene action and combining ability for grain yield, flowering and maturity in rapeseed. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 50, 655-658.

Thakur, H.L. & J.C. Sagwal, (1997). Heterosis and combining ability in rapeseed (B. napus L.). *Indian Journal of Genetics*, 57, 163–7.

Variath, M. T., Wu, J. G., Li, Y. X. Chen, G. L., & Shi, C. H. (2009). Genetic analysis for oil and protein contents of rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) at different developmental times. *Euphytica*, 166, 145–153. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s10681-008-9851-x

Wang, X., Hua, W., Liu, G., Liu, J., Yang, Q., & Wang, H. (2010). Genetic analysis on oil content in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *Euphytica,* 173, 17–24. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s10681-009-0062-x

Wu, J. G., Shi, C. H., & Zhang, H. Z. (2006b). Partitioning genetic effects due to embryo, cytoplasm and maternal parent for oil content in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Genetics and Molecular Biology,* 29, 533–538. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572006000300023

Yadav, I.S. & T.P. Yadava. (1996). Genetic analysis and combining ability for seed yield and yield components in toria (B. campestris L.). *Journal of Oilseed Research*, 13, 84–7.

Yadav, Y. P., Prakash, R., Singh, R., Singh, R. K., & Yadav, J. S. (2005). Genetics of yield and its component characters in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czern and Coss.) under rainfed conditions. *Journal of Oilseed Research*, 22, 255-258.

Zhang, Y., Kang, M. S., & Lamkey, K. R. (2005). DIALLEL-SAS05-A comprehensive program for Griffing's and Gardner-Eberhart analysis. Ag*ronomy Journal,* 97, 1097-1106. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj2004.0260

Zhao, J. Y., Becker, H. C., Zhang, D. Q., Zhang, Y. F., & Ecke, W. (2005). Oil content in a European 9 Chinese rapeseed popula- tion: QTL with additive and epistatic effects and their genotype-environment interactions. *Crop Science*, 45, 51–59. http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2005.0051

Table 1. Estimates of GCA effects for yield and yield components in eight parents of *B. napus*

Parents	Yield	Oil	height	Pod no	Branch number	Seed number	1000 seed weight
GA096	0.039	-0.05	-4.77*	-2.44	0.06	-0.75**	-0.08
Geronimo	-0.60*	0.30**	2.48	0.28	0.17	-0.21	0.02
Okapi	0.03	1.34**	-03.20	-5.19**	-0.04	0.39	0.06
Orient	-0.6*	-0.54*	-3.60	-1.67	-0.27*	0.50	0.01
Zarfam	0.6*	-0.29	0.40	-1.16	-0.24*	0.55*	0.04
Sunday	0.04	-0.23	3.18	4.14**	-0.12	-0.14	0.09
SW0756	-.051	-0.41	2.93	3.76**	0.35**	-0.16	-0.09
Modena	0.002	-0.70**	2.57	2.29	0.10	-0.20	-0.05

*, ** Significant at p<0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table 2. Estimates of SCA effects for yields and yield components in diallel crosses of eight parents of *B. napus*

Crosses	1000 seed	Yield	Oil	height	Pod number	Seed number	Branch number
GA096×Geronimo	0.0730	-0.007	-3.199**	5.234	2.200	0.557	0.248
GA096×Okapi	-0.032	-0.077	-0.180	-0.584	0.662	1.065	-0.539
GA096×Orient	-0.175	0.043	0.438	-2.184	-2.107	0.398	-0.064
GA096×Zarfam	0.136	0.141	0.547	-6.484	-6.713	-0.128	-0.245
GA096×Sunday	0.081	-0.016	0.649	-1.816	3.083	-0.202	-0.008
GA096×SW0756	-0.248*	0.149	-0.148	1.241	-3.881	0.300	-0.177
GA096×Modena	0.126	0.093	0.469	-3.888	-1.781	3.314**	-0.838
Geronimo×Okapi	0.059	0.043	-0.473	3.760	0.494	0.163	0.598
Geronimo×Orient	0.087	0.022	0.597	3.810	-3.825	0.147	0.073
Geronimo×Zarfam	0.129	0.003	0.811	-4.591	-6.832	1.121	-0.058
Geronimo×Sunday	-0.004	-0.113	1.866**	1.328	-0.536	-0.343	-0.470
Geronimo×SW0756	0.068	-0.197**	1.282	-5.866	5.100	0.679	-0.189
Geronimo×Modena	0.215	-0.263	1.325	17.469	6.138	1.385	0.225
Okapi×Orient	0.011	0.014	-0.596	-1.009	-0.913	-0.676	0.536
Okapi×Zarfam	0.096	-0.082	-0.265	-2.659	-4.819	-0.052	-0.045
Okapi×Sunday	0.236	0.131	0.710	7.459	3.726	-0.156	-0.108
Okapi×SW0756	0.186	-0.006	1.661**	2.316	-0.237	0.897	0.173
Okapi×Modena	0.350	0.164	1.433	6.938	-3.625	1.038	-0.438
Orient×Zarfam	0.021	0.011	-1.033	6.041	-0.538	0.082	-0.320
Orient×Sunday	0.004	-0.085	-1.240	1.359	2.258	1.328	0.067
Orient×SW0756	0.151	0.059	-0.262	-1.285	11.894**	-0.760	0.098
Orient×Modena	0.589**	0.157	-0.132	1.288	0.994	2.864**	0.488
Zarfam×Sunday	0.160	0.189**	-0.766	5.959	4.651	-0.438	-0.164
Zarfam×SW0756	-0.079	-0.011	-1.003	3.066	5.738	0.184	0.067
Zarfam×Modena	0.050	0.313**	-0.813	-0.513	-9.300	2.600	-1.031
Sunday×SW0756	0.098	0.082	0.721	1.534	-3.630	-0.047	0.155
Sunday×Modena	0.578**	0.063	-1.406	19.919	10.255	-1.676	0.181
SW0756×Modena	0.80**	0.365**	-1.652	4.5125	13.858	-0.358	-0.600

*, ** Significant at p<0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively.

Table 3. Estimates (%) of mid parent heterosis for yield and yield components in the diallel crosses of eight parents of *B. napus*

Crosses	Yield	1000 seed	oil	height	Pod number	Seed number	Branch number
GA096×Geronimo	-0.006	0.001	-0.020	-0.007	0.021	0.132	0.100
GA096×Okapi	-0.030	0.112	0.001	-0.039	-0.197	0.132	-0.162
GA096×Orient	0.262	-0.003	0.006	-0.088	0.009	0.056	-0.064
GA096×Zarfam	0.174	0.132	0.008	-0.047	-0.221	0.106	-0.171
GA096 ×Sunday	0.089	0.005	0.039	0.050	0.203	0.059	-0.153
GA096×SW0756	0.652	0.040	0.001	0.037	0.066	0.103	-0.016
GA096×Modena	0.354	0.055	0.064	-0.016	0.068	0.110	-0.024
Geronimo×Okapi	-0.078	0.127	0.056	0.103	-0.007	0.087	0.321
Geronimo×Orient	-0.023	0.107	0.052	0.067	0.100	0.039	0.188
Geronimo×Zarfam	-0.030	0.108	0.069	-0.014	-0.026	0.120	-0.044
Geronimo×Sunday	-0.106	0.125	0.077	0.083	0.030	0.030	-0.091
Geronimo× SW0756	-0.246	0.073	0.085	0.088	0.189	0.118	0.117
Geronimo×Modena	0.026	0.080	0.073	0.114	0.096	0.016	-0.126
Okapi×Orient	0.551	0. 060	0.033	0.067	0.101	0.061	0.306
Okapi×Zarfam	0.124	0.122	0.058	0.043	-0.034	0.052	0.036
Okapi×Sunday	0.633	0.167	0.050	0.164	0.148	0.021	0.215
Okapi× SW0756	0.057	0.217	0.063	0.029	-0.106	0.088	0.299
Okapi×Modena	0.343	0.085	0.091	0.036	-0.107	0.017	-0.207
Orient×Zarfam	0.522	0.145	-0.037	0.0079	-0.147	0.042	0.0000
Orient×Sunday	-0.007	0.106	-0.04	0.035	0.247	0.100	0.091
Orient×SW0756	0.492	0.187	-0.019	-0.025	0.270	0.006	0.174
Orient×Modena	0.309	0.076	0.046	0.006	0.165	0.119	0.130
Zarfam×Sunday	0.720	0.152	-0.034	0.045	-0.105	0.029	-0.027
Zarfam×SW0756	0.576	0.044	-0.018	-0.0451	-0.085	0.081	-0.041
Zarfam×Modena	0.624	0.092	0.022	-0.007	-0.226	0.112	-0.198
Sunday×SW0756	0.819	0.240	-0.026	0.018	-0.043	0.005	0.068
Sunday×Modena	0.063	0.233	0.018	0.0366	0.056	-0.089	0.009
SW0756×Modena	1.149	0.072	-0.014	-0.0593	-0.266	0.042	-0.165