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#### Abstract

In this work, an attempt is made to estimate time varying parameters in a linear stochastic differential equation. By defining $m_{k}$ as the local admissible sample/data observation size at time $t_{k}$, parameters and state at time $t_{k}$ are estimated using past data on interval $\left[t_{k-m_{k}+1}, t_{k}\right]$. We show that the parameter estimates at each time $t_{k}$ converge in probability to the true value of the parameters being estimated. A numerical simulation is presented by applying the local lagged adapted generalized method of moments (LLGMM) method to the stochastic differential models governing prices of energy commodities and stock price processes.
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## 1. Introduction

In this work, we estimate the time varying parameters in a linear stochastic differential equation (SDE) in a systemic and unified way. We studied two special linear stochastic differential equations, namely the geometric brownian motion and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck time varying stochastic differential equation. By drawing/recording real world time varying data at discrete-time on a time interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, a stochastic numerical approximation scheme (Kloeden \& Platen, 1992) is constructed to study the data. The future states of a continuous time dynamic process is assumed to be influenced by the history of past state. We also assume that response/reaction time delay process influence the present states (Ladde, 1979; Otunuga \& Ladde, 2017). Utilizing Monte-Carlo method and the Euler-type (Kloeden \& Platen, 1992) stochastic discretization scheme, we construct systems of local moments/observation equations based on the number of parameters present. In addition, using the method of moments (Casella \& Berger, 2002) in the context of lagged adaptive expectation process (Paothong \& Ladde, 2013), we describe theoretical parametric estimation procedure for the SDE.
The paper is organized as follows.
In Section 2, we describe the general form of linear stochastic differential equation used and give its closed form solution. In Section 3, we describe the theoretical parametric estimation procedure for a geometric stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters. We later show that the estimated parameters converge in probability to the true value of the parameter being estimated. In Section 4, we describe the theoretical parametric estimation procedure for a linear mean reverting stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters and also show the convergence in probability of the estimated parameters. In Section 5, numerical simulation is presented by applying the LLGMM method (Otunuga \& Ladde, 2017) to stochastic model governing the stock prices for APPLE Inc., International Businesses Machines (IBM), JPMorgan Chase and Co. for the same period 01/03/2000 - 01/27/2017, (Apple; JPMorgan; IBM) and the energy commodities: Henry Hub natural gas price (dollars/million Btu), crude oil price (dollars/barrel), coal price for the period 01/04/2000-01/09/2017, 01/04/2000-01/09/2017 and 01/03/2000-01/11/2016, respectively, (Coal; Crude; Natural gas). We give a summary of the paper in Section 6.

## 2. Model Derivation

In this section, we describe the general linear SDE with constant and time-varying parameters. We consider the general linear SDE with time varying parameters of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=(f(t) x+p(t)) d t+(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) x+q(t)) d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is a state variable; $f, p, \sigma, q$ are continuous functions defined on a given interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$, and $W(t)$ is a standard Wiener process defined on a filtered probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$; the filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous. We will assume for the rest of this work that the solution process $x(t)$ is adapted and non-anticiating with respect to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$.

Under these conditions, the closed form solution (interested readers are advised to see Ladde et.al (Ladde \& Ladde, 2013)
for details on solution of stochastic differential equations) of (2.1) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t)\left(x_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(s)[p(s)-\boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) q(s)] d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(s) q(s) d W(s)\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
\text { where } \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t) & =\exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(s)-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s)\right) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) d W(s)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

The general linear stochastic differential equation with constant parameters equivalent to (2.1) is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=(\mu x+\gamma) d t+(\sigma x+\beta) d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu, \gamma, \sigma$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The general solution of (2.3) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) & =\boldsymbol{\Phi}(t)\left(x_{0}+[\gamma-\sigma \beta] \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(s) d s+\beta \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{-1}(s) d W(s)\right),  \tag{2.4}\\
\text { where } \boldsymbol{\Phi}(t) & =\exp \left[\left(\mu-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\sigma\left(W(t)-W\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We study two special cases of (2.1). For the first case, we set $f(t)=\boldsymbol{a}(t), p(t)=0$ and $q(t)=0$. For the second case, we set $f(t)=-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t), p(t)=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t), \sigma(t)=0$ and $q(t)=\sigma(t)$. We note here that the first case is the generalization of the geometric stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters. The second case is the generalization of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters. From (2.2), it follows that $x$ is normally distributed if $\sigma(t)=0$ and lognormally distributed if $p(t)=q(t)=0$.

## 3. Theoretical Parametric Estimation Procedure: Case 1

In this section, we study the case of (2.1) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=\boldsymbol{a}(t) x d t+\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) x d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameters $\boldsymbol{a}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ are unknown for each time $t$, and are not assumed constant over $t$. We further assume that for a fixed time $T$, the functions $\boldsymbol{a}:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are continuous and bounded, $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is the set of positive real numbers. As noted earlier, (3.1) is the generalization of the geometric stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters. It's equivalent version with constant parameters is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=a x d t+\sigma x d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma>0$.
For any given time $\mathcal{T} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right]$, let $t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$ be a non-random partition $P$ of the interval $\left[t_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right]$ such that $t_{i}=t_{0}+i \Delta t, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, and $\Delta t=\frac{\mathcal{T}-t_{0}}{n}$.
By the classical existence theorem, the solutions $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $x(t)$ (see (2.2) and (2.4) for the solutions) satisfying (3.1) and (3.2), respectively, exist and are continuous.

### 3.1 LLGMM Parameter Estimation Procedure for (3.1)

In the following, we outline the procedure for estimating the time varying parameters $\boldsymbol{a}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ in (3.1).

### 3.1.1 Transformation of Stochastic Differential Equations

Due to the fact that the drift coefficient of (3.1) has only one parameter $\boldsymbol{a}(t)$, we consider the process $V(t, x)=\ln x$. The Ito-Doob stochastic differential for $V$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\ln x)=\left(\boldsymbol{a}(t)-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(t)}{2}\right) d t+\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) d W(t), \quad \ln x\left(t_{0}\right)=\ln x_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (3.3) in the interval $\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]=[\mathcal{T}-\Delta t, \mathcal{T}]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]=\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{a}(s)-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2}\right) d s+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) d W(s) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.2 Generalized Moment Equations

Define $\mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ as the filtration process up to time $t_{n-1}$. Applying conditional expectations to $\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right)$ in (3.4) with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{a}(s)-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2}\right) d s,  \tag{3.5}\\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left(\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]= & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s) d s
\end{array}
$$

### 3.1.3 Basis for Lagged Adaptive Discrete-time Expectation Process

From (3.5), (3.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\ln \left(\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right) \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) d W(s) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.6) provides the basis for the development of lagged adaptive expectation process (Otunuga \& Ladde, 2017; Paothong \& Ladde, 2013) with respect to the stochastic dynamic systems (3.3).
3.1.4 Parameter Estimates at Time $t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$

Using the fact that $\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(\boldsymbol{a}(s)-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s)}{2}\right) d s=\boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})}{2}$ and $\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s) d s=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{a}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left(\left.\left[\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)\right]\right), \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left(\left.\left[\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\ln \left[\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)}{x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}\right] \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right)\right]^{2} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

To get a discretize estimate for $\boldsymbol{a}\left(t_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)$ in (3.7), we use Monte-Carlo method and Euler scheme to discretize (3.3) on the interval $\left[t_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left[\frac{x_{i, j}}{x_{i-1, j}}\right]=\left(\boldsymbol{a}\left(t_{i-1}\right)-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(t_{i-1}\right)}{2}\right) \Delta t+\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(t_{i-1}\right) \Delta W_{i, j}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ denotes sequence of independent copies of $x_{i} \equiv x\left(t_{i}\right)$ at time $t_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, \Delta W_{i, j} \sim \mathrm{~N}(0, \Delta t)$. Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}$ be the estimates of $\boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$, respectively, at time $t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$. We derive $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}$ from (3.7) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N}=\frac{1}{N \Delta t}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{x_{n, j}}{x_{n-1, j}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\ln \left(\frac{x_{n, j}}{x_{n-1, j}}\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{x_{n, j}}{x_{n-1, j}}\right)\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{3.9}\\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}=\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\ln \left(\frac{x_{n, j}}{x_{n-1, j}}\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ln \left(\frac{x_{n, j}}{x_{n-1, j}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.2 Consistency

We shall show that $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{N}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 1. The random variable $\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j} \xrightarrow{p} 0$, and $\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. For any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}\right| \geq \epsilon\right]<\frac{1}{N^{2} \Delta t^{2} \epsilon^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{N \Delta t \epsilon^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}^{2}-1\right| \geq \epsilon\right]<\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{2}{N \epsilon^{2}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $N \Delta t \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 2. Assume that $\boldsymbol{a}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ are continuous and bounded functions. Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. From (3.8), (3.9) and Lemma 1, it follows directly that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N} & \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T})-\frac{\sigma^{2}(\mathcal{T})}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}(\mathcal{T})}{2}=\boldsymbol{a}(\mathcal{T}),  \tag{3.10}\\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2} & \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T}),
\end{array}\right.
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.

### 3.3 LLGMM Parameter Estimation Scheme

Define $\bar{x}_{i}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i, j}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $I_{a}^{b}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{++}: a \leq i \leq b\right\}$, where $Z_{++}$is the set of non negative integers. Consider a continuous time stochastic dynamic process defined on the interval $[-\tau, T]$ into $\mathbb{R}_{++}$, where $\tau$ characterizes the influence of past history of the state of the dynamics. We define the discrete version of time delay $\tau$ as $r=\left[\left|\frac{\tau}{\Delta t}\right|\right]$ and also define $m_{n}$ as the local admissible sample/data observation size at time $t_{n}$. We note here that $m_{n} \in I_{2}^{n}$. For each sample observation size $m_{n} \in I_{2}^{n}$, a partition $P_{n}$ of closed interval $\left[t_{n-m_{n}+1}, t_{n}\right]$ of length $m_{n}$ is called local at time $t_{n}$ and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}:=t_{n-m_{n}+1}<t_{n-m_{n}+2}<\ldots<t_{n} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.5-3.7), we formulate a local observation process at time $t_{n}$ as an algebraic functions of $m_{n}$ - local functions by restricting the overall finite sample sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=-r}^{n}$ to a subpartition $P_{n}$ in (3.11).
Using the LLGMM method by Otunuga et.al (Otunuga \& Ladde, 2017), let $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, m_{n}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, m_{n}}^{2}$ be the moving estimates of $\boldsymbol{a}\left(t_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)$, respectively, at time $t_{n}$ using observation size $m_{n}$. We derive $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, m_{n}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, m_{n}}^{2,}$ from (3.7) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, m_{n}} & =\frac{1}{m_{n} \Delta t}\left[\sum_{i=n-m_{n}+1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{\bar{x}_{i-1}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=n-m_{n}+1}^{n}\left(\ln \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{\bar{x}_{i-1}}\right)-\frac{1}{m_{n}} \sum_{i=n-m_{n}+1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{\bar{x}_{i-1}}\right)\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{3.12}\\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, m_{n}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{m_{n} \Delta t} \sum_{i=n-m_{n}+1}^{n}\left(\ln \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{\bar{x}_{i-1}}\right)-\frac{1}{m_{n}} \sum_{i=n-m_{n}+1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{\bar{x}_{i-1}}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Remark 1. We note here that at each time $t=t_{k}$, the local admissible observation size $m_{k}$ is not constant. For a given $\epsilon>0$, the value of $m_{k}$ that gives the least simulated error at each time $t_{k}$ is recorded as the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal sample size $\hat{m}_{k}$ and the parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{k, m_{k}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k, m_{k}}$ recorded as $\boldsymbol{a}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$, respectively. The method of estimating $\hat{m}_{k}$ is discussed in Section 5.
Remark 2. For random variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we compare the maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) $\hat{a}_{n}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{n}$ of the parameters $a$ and $\sigma$ in model with constant parameters (3.2) with the parameter estimates $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{n, N}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}$ in (3.9). The MLE estimates $\hat{a}_{N}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{N}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}_{n} & =\frac{1}{n \Delta t}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i-1}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\ln \left(\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i-1}}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i-1}}\right)\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n \Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\ln \left(\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i-1}}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(\frac{x_{i}}{x_{i-1}}\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We note here that the estimates in (3.12) and (3.13) are similar when $m_{n}=n$. Also, the difference in (3.9) and (3.13) is that (3.13) contains summation over functions of the random variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ while (3.9) contains summation over sequence of copies $x_{n, 1}, x_{n, 2}, \ldots, x_{n, N}$ of $x_{n}$.

## 4. Theoretical Parametric Estimation Procedure: Case 2

In this section, we study the case of (2.1) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x) d t+\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ are unknown for each time $t$, and are not assumed constant over $t$. We further assume that for a fixed time $T$, the functions $\boldsymbol{\alpha}:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, \boldsymbol{\mu}:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{++}$and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}:\left[t_{0}, T\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$are continuous and bounded.
We note here that (4.1) is the generalization of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck stochastic differential equation with time varying parameters. It's equivalent version with constant parameters is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=\alpha(\mu-x) d t+\sigma d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \geq 0, \alpha>0$, and $\sigma>0$.
The solution $\mathbf{x}(t)$ satisfying (4.1) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x_{0} e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) d W_{s} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\mathbb{E}[x(t)]=\mathbb{E}\left[x_{0}\right] e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) d s}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\int_{s}^{t} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s) d s$.

### 4.1 Parameter Estimation Procedure for (4.1)

We outline the procedure for estimating time varying parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t), \boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)$ in (4.1) at any given time $\mathcal{T} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right]$.

### 4.1.1 Transformation of Stochastic Differential Equations

Due to the fact that the drift coefficient of (4.1) has two parameters $\alpha(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)$, we consider the processes $V_{1}(t, x)=x$ and $V_{2}(t, x)=x^{2}$. The Ito-Doob stochastic differential equations for $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{cases}d x & =\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x) d t+\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) d W(t), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}  \tag{4.4}\\ d\left(x^{2}\right) & =\left(2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x) x+\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(t)\right) d t+2 \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) x d W(t), \quad x^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

### 4.1.2 Euler-type Discretization Scheme for (4.4)

For any given time $\mathcal{T} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right]$, let $t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$ be a non-random partition $P$ of the interval $\left[t_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right]$ such that $t_{i}=t_{0}+i \Delta t, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, where $\Delta t=\frac{\mathcal{T}-t_{0}}{n}$, and $n$ is the sample size. The solution of (4.4) on the interval $\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta x_{n} & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x(t)) d t+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) d W(t) \\
\Delta\left(x_{n}^{2}\right) & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}}\left(2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x(t)) x(t)+\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(t)\right) d t+2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) x(t) d W(t) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta x_{n}=x\left(t_{n}\right)-x\left(t_{n-1}\right)$. Note that $\Delta\left(x_{n}^{2}\right)=\Delta x_{n}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n}\right)=2\left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+\left(\Delta x_{n}\right)^{2}$. From (4.5) and Ito-Doob scheme, it follows that $\left(\Delta x_{n}\right)^{2}=\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \sigma^{2}(t) d t$. Using this and the fact that $\Delta\left(x_{n}^{2}\right)=2\left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1}+\left(\Delta x_{n}\right)^{2}$, we reduce (4.5) to the following:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta x_{n} & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x(t)) d t+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) d W(t),  \tag{4.6}\\ \left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1} & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) x(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-x(t)) d t+\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) x(t) d W(t) .\end{cases}
$$

### 4.1.3 Generalized Moment Equations

Applying expectations to (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{cases}\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right] & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)(\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)-\mathbb{E}[x(t)]) d t,  \tag{4.7}\\ \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1}\right] & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) \boldsymbol{\mu}(t) \mathbb{E}[x(t)] d t-\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) \mathbb{E}\left[x(t)^{2}\right] d t \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right]\right)^{2}\right] & =\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(t) d t\end{cases}
$$

4.1.4 Parameter Estimates at Time $t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$

By dividing (4.7) by $\Delta t$ and taking the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{cases}\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right] & =\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{n}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[x\left(t_{n}\right)\right]\right),  \tag{4.8}\\ \lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1}\right] & =\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[x\left(t_{n}\right)\right]-\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[x\left(t_{n}\right)^{2}\right] \\ \lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right]\right)^{2}\right] & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)\end{cases}
$$

The solutions $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)$ satisfying (4.8) are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\frac{\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right]\right) \mathbb{E}\left[x_{n}\right]-\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}\right) x_{n-1}\right]\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(x_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[x_{n}\right]\right)^{2}\right]}  \tag{4.9}\\
\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{n}\right) & \left.=\mathbb{E}\left[x\left(t_{n}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right.}\right) \lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right]\right. \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right) & =\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\Delta x_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta x_{n}\right]\right)^{2}\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

To get a discretize estimate for $\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{n}\right)$ in (4.9), we use Monte-Carlo method and Euler scheme to discretize (4.1) on the interval $\left[t_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right]$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{i, j}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i-1}\left(\mu_{i-1}-x_{i-1, j}\right) \Delta t+\sigma_{i-1} \Delta W_{i, j}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ denotes sequence of independent copies of $x_{i} \equiv x\left(t_{i}\right)$ at time $t_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(t_{i}\right), \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}=\boldsymbol{\mu}\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $\sigma_{i}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(t_{i}\right)$.

Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, N}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}$ be the estimates of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}), \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$, respectively, at time $t_{n}=\mathcal{T}$. We derive $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, N}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}$ from (4.9) as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N}=\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\Delta x_{n, j}\right) x_{n-1, j}}{\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}^{2}-\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}\right)^{2}\right] \Delta t}  \tag{4.11}\\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}+\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j}}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N} \Delta t} \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2}=\frac{1}{\Delta t} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\Delta x_{n, j}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Delta x_{n, j}=x_{n, j}-x_{n-1, j}$.
Remark 3. The solution $x_{n, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, satisfying (4.10) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n, j}= & \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) x_{0}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \mu_{i} \Delta t+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1} \Delta t \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} \Delta W_{i+1, j}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1} \Delta W_{n, j} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By writing $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)=\exp \left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)^{m}}{m}\right)=\exp \left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t t^{m}\right.}{m}\right)$, it follows that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) \rightarrow e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) d s}$ and $x_{n, j} \rightarrow x_{\mathcal{T}, j}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathcal{T}, j}=e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) d s} x_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} e^{-\int_{s}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} e^{-\int_{s}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s) d W_{s, j} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2 Consistency

Lemma 3. Assume that $\mathbb{E}\left[x_{0}\right]<\infty$. Define $A_{1, \mathcal{T}}=e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} \alpha(s) d s} \mathbb{E}\left(x_{0}\right) ; \quad A_{2, \mathcal{T}}=\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} e^{-\int_{s}^{\mathcal{T}} \alpha(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s) d s$ and $\quad V_{\mathcal{T}}=$ $\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} e^{-2 \int_{s}^{\mathcal{T}} \alpha(u) d u} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(s) d s$. Then

1. $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n, j} \xrightarrow{p} A_{1, \mathcal{T}}+A_{2, \mathcal{T}}=\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]$,
2. $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n, j}^{2} \xrightarrow{p}\left(A_{1, \mathcal{T}}+A_{2, \mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}+V_{\mathcal{T}}=\mathbb{E}\left[x^{2}(\mathcal{T})\right]$,
3. $\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})])$,
4. $\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j} x_{n-1, j} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T}) \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]-\mathbb{E}\left[x^{2}(\mathcal{T})\right]\right)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It follows directly from (4.13) that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}\right]=\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta\left(x_{n, j}\right)\right]=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})])$.

Define $U_{n, j}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)\right) \sigma_{i} \Delta W_{i+1, j}+\sigma_{n-1} \Delta W_{n, j}, j=1,2, \ldots, N$. For $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n, j}-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]\right| \geq \epsilon\right]< & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left[2 \mathbb{E}\left(B_{1, n}-A_{1, \mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}+2 \mathbb{E}\left(B_{2, n}-A_{2, \mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{1, N}^{2}\right)\right] \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})])\right| \geq \epsilon\right]< & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(C_{1, n}+C_{2, n}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})])\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{2, N}^{2}\right)\right], \\
\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_{n, j}^{2}-V_{\mathcal{T}}\right| \geq \epsilon\right]< & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) \sigma_{i} \Delta W_{i+1, j}\right)^{2}-V_{\mathcal{T}}\right. \\
& +\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} \Delta W_{i+1, j} \Delta W_{n, j} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1}^{2} \Delta W_{n, j}^{2}\right]^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1, n}=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) x_{0} ; & B_{2, n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \mu_{i} \Delta t+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1} \Delta t ; \\
C_{1, n}=-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right) x_{0} ; \quad C_{2, n}=-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-3}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-2}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i} \mu_{i} \Delta t-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1} \Delta t+\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1} ; \\
D_{1, n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}^{2} \Delta t ; \quad Z_{1, N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_{n, j} ; \quad Z_{2, N}=-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[U_{n-1, j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1} \Delta W_{n, j} / \Delta t\right] .
\end{array}
$$

It follows that $B_{1, n} \xrightarrow{p} A_{1, \mathcal{T}}, B_{2, n} \xrightarrow{p} A_{2, \mathcal{T}}$ and $D_{1, n} \xrightarrow{p} V_{\mathcal{T}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also,
$C_{1, n}+C_{2, n} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}) \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})\left[e^{-\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) d s} x_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{\mathcal{T}} e^{-\int_{s}^{\mathcal{T}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{u} d u} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s) \boldsymbol{\mu}(s) d s\right]=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}) \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}) \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{1, N}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-1}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}^{2} \Delta t+\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1}^{2}}{N}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[Z_{2, N}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{n-3}\left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{n-2}\left(1-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k} \Delta t\right)^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i}^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}^{2} \Delta t+$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}^{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-2}^{2} \frac{\Delta t}{N}+\sigma_{n-1}^{2} /(N \Delta t)$ both tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$ and $N \Delta t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n, j}-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]\right| \geq \epsilon\right] \rightarrow 0$, $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})])\right| \geq \epsilon\right] \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left|\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_{n, j}^{2}-V_{\mathcal{T}}\right| \geq \epsilon\right]<\right.} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2} N^{2}}\left[D_{1, n}\left(3 N \Delta t+\left(N^{2}-N\right) D_{1, n} \Delta t-2 V_{\mathcal{T}}+2 \Delta t+4 \sigma_{n-1}^{2} \Delta t / N\right)+V_{\mathcal{T}}^{2}-2 \sigma_{n-1}^{2} V_{\mathcal{T}} \Delta t\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+\frac{2}{N}\right) \sigma_{n-1}^{2} \Delta t^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n, j}^{2} & =\left(B_{1, n}+B_{2, n}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(B_{1, n}+B_{2, n}\right) U_{n, j}+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} U_{n, j}^{2} \\
& \xrightarrow{p}\left(A_{1, \mathcal{T}}+A_{2, \mathcal{T}}\right)^{2}+V_{\mathcal{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j} x_{n-1, j} & =\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1}}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}^{2}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j} x_{n-1, j} \\
& \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T})\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T}) \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]-\mathbb{E}\left[x^{2}(\mathcal{T})\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 4. Assume that $\mathbb{E}\left[x_{0}\right]<\infty$. Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, N} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathcal{T})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. From (4.10),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta x_{n, j}^{2}= & \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1}^{2} \Delta t\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1}^{2}-\frac{2 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1}}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j}^{2}\right)+\frac{2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1}}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j} \\
& -\frac{2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1}}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{n-1, j} \Delta W_{n, j}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{n-1}^{2}}{N \Delta t} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta W_{n, j}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T}),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (4.11) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, N} & \xrightarrow{p} & \frac{\alpha(\mathcal{T})(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]) \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]-\alpha(\mathcal{T})\left(\mu(\mathcal{T}) \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]-\mathbb{E}\left[x^{2}(\mathcal{T})\right]\right)}{V_{\mathcal{T}}}=\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}), \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, N} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{E}[x(\mathcal{T})]+\frac{\alpha(\mathcal{T})(\mu(\mathcal{T})-\mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{T})])}{\alpha(\mathcal{T})}=\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T}), \\
\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, N}^{2} & \xrightarrow{p} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T}),
\end{array}\right.
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty, N \rightarrow \infty$.

### 4.2.1 LLGMM Parameter Estimation Scheme

Define $\bar{x}_{i}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i, j}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. For each sample observation size $m_{n} \in I_{2}^{n}$, a partition $P_{n}$ of closed interval $\left[t_{n-m_{n}+1}, t_{n}\right]$ of length $m_{n}$ is called local at time $t_{n}$ and defined by (3.11). Using (4.9), we formulate a local observation process at time $t_{n}$ as an algebraic functions of $m_{n^{-}}$local functions of restriction of the overall finite sample sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=-r}^{n}$ to a subpartition $P_{n}$.
Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, m_{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, m_{n}}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, m_{n}}^{2}$ be the moving estimates of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathcal{T}), \boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathcal{T})$, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(\mathcal{T})$, respectively, at time $t_{n}$ and obeservation size $m_{n}$. We derive $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{n, m_{n}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{n, m_{n}}$, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{n, m_{n}}^{2}$ as follows:

Remark 4. As discussed in Remark 1, the local admissible observation size $m_{k}$ is not constant at each time $t_{k}$. For a given $\epsilon>0$, the value of $m_{k}$ that gives the least simulated error at each time $t_{k}$ is recorded as the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal sample size $\hat{m}_{k}$ and the parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{k, m_{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{k, m_{k}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k, m_{k}}^{2}$ recorded as $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$, respectively.
Remark 5. We present the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameters $\alpha$, $\mu$ and $\sigma$ in (4.2) as follows:
For random variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ satisfying (4.2) such that $x_{i}=x\left(t_{i}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}=\mu+\left(x_{0}-\mu\right) e^{-i \alpha \Delta t}+\xi_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots, n \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i}=\sigma \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{i}} e^{-\alpha\left(t_{i}-s\right)} d W(s), i=1,2, . ., n$, are identically normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left(1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}\right)$. The joint density function of the random vector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\boldsymbol{x}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-\frac{1}{2} n}|\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{m})^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{m})} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{i}=\mu+\left(x_{0}-\mu\right) e^{-i \alpha \Delta t}, \Sigma_{i, j}=\Sigma_{j, i}$ and $\Sigma_{i, j}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left(e^{-(i-j) \alpha \Delta t}-e^{-(i+j) \alpha \Delta t}\right), 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$. $\Sigma$ is positive definite with determinant $|\Sigma|=\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \alpha}\right)^{n}\left(1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}\right)^{n}$ and inverse defined by

It can be shown that $(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{m})^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{m})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left.\left(x_{i}-\mu-\left(x_{i-1}-\mu\right) e^{-\alpha \Delta t}\right)\right)^{2}}{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left[1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}\right]}$. The log-likelihood function $\mathcal{L}_{n}\left(\alpha, \mu, \sigma: x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \sigma: \boldsymbol{x})=-\frac{n}{2}\left[\log \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \alpha}\right)+\log \left(1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}\right)+\log (2 \pi)\right]-\frac{\alpha}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left.\left(x_{i}-\mu-\left(x_{i-1}-\mu\right) e^{-\alpha \Delta t}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left[1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}\right]} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimating the maximum likelihood estimates $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ of $\alpha, \mu$ and $\sigma$, respectively, reduces to solving the nonlinear system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \sigma: \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \mu}=0 ; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \sigma: \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \alpha}=0 ; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \sigma: \boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \sigma}=0\right. \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu, \alpha$ and $\sigma$. Let $\underline{\operatorname{var}}(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i-1}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j-1}\right)^{2}, \underline{\operatorname{cov}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i-1}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j-1}\right)\left(x_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{y}=$ $\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right]^{\prime}$.The MLE estimates $\hat{\alpha}_{n}, \hat{\mu}_{n}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{n}$ satisfying (4.18) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\alpha}_{n} & =\frac{1}{\Delta t} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{var}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\underline{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}}\right), \\
\hat{\mu}_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\frac{e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}}{1-e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_{i},  \tag{4.19}\\
\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} & =\frac{2 \hat{\alpha}}{1-e^{-2 \alpha \Delta t}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}-\left(x_{i-1}-\hat{\mu}\right) e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the Taylor series expansion of $\ln (x)$ around $x=1$, we can approximate $\ln (x) \approx 1-\frac{1}{x}$. Also, $\frac{1-e^{-x}}{e^{-x}} \approx x$ for considerably small $x$. Thus, we can compare (4.19) with (4.14) for large observation size $m_{n}=n$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\alpha}_{n} & =\frac{1}{\Delta t} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{var}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\underline{\operatorname{cov}(x, y)}}\right) \approx \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_{i} \sum_{i=+1}^{n} x_{i-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\Delta x_{i}\right) x_{i-1}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i-1}^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i-1}\right)^{2}\right] \Delta t}, \\
\hat{\mu}_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\frac{e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}}{1-e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_{i} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i-1}+\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_{i}}{\hat{\alpha}_{n, \Delta t} \Delta t},  \tag{4.20}\\
\hat{\sigma}_{n}^{2} & =\frac{2 \hat{\alpha}}{1-e^{-2 \hat{\alpha} \Delta t}} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\hat{\mu}-\left(x_{i-1}-\hat{\mu}\right) e^{-\hat{\alpha} \Delta t}\right)^{2} \approx \frac{1}{n \Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\Delta x_{i}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta x_{i}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Numerical Simulation

In this section, we simulate stock prices and energy commodities' prices using discretized stochastic differential equations (3.1) and (4.1), together with their estimated parameters derived in (3.12) and (4.14), respectively.

### 5.1 Numerical Simulation of Stock Price Using LLGMM Method

Let $y_{k, m_{k}}^{s}$ be a simulated value of the real stock price satisfying the Euler- discretized version of (3.1) at time $t_{k} . y_{k, m_{k}}^{s}$ corresponds to a local admissible lagged-adapted finite sequences of conditional sample/data observation $\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[y_{i} \mid \mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right]_{i=k-m_{k}+1}^{k}\right.$ of size $m_{k}$ at time $t_{k}$ derived from the discretized Euler scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{k, m_{k}}^{s}=y_{k-1, m_{k-1}}^{s}+\hat{a}_{, k-1, m_{k-1}} y_{k-1, m_{k-1}}^{s} \Delta t+\hat{\sigma}_{k-1, m_{k-1}} y_{k-1, m_{k-1}}^{s} \Delta W_{k, m_{k}} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the quadratic square error of $\mathbb{E}\left[y_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]$ relative to each simulated values $y_{k, m_{k}}^{s}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{m_{k}, k, y_{k}}=\left(\mathbb{E}\left[y_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]-y_{m_{k}, k}^{s}\right)^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any arbitrary small positive number $\epsilon$ and for each time $t_{k}$, we define the $\epsilon$-sub-optimal admissible subset $\mathcal{M}_{k}$ of set of $m_{k}$-size local admissible lagged sample size $m_{k}$ at $t_{k}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{k}=\left\{m_{k}: \Xi_{m_{k}, k, y_{k}}<\epsilon\right\} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We record the value $m_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{k}$ that gives the minimum error as $\hat{m}_{k}$. The $\epsilon$ - best sub-optimal estimates of the parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{k, m_{k}}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{k, m_{k}}^{2}$ at the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal sample size $\hat{m}_{k}$ are also recorded as $\boldsymbol{a}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$, respectively.
Finally, the simulated value at time $t_{k}$ with $\hat{m}_{k}$ is now recorded as the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal state estimate $y_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{s}$ for $\mathbb{E}\left[y_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]$ at time $t_{k}$
Now, we apply the LLGMM conceptual computational algorithm to simulate real stock price data namely International Businesses Machines (IBM), JPMorgan Chase and Co and Apple Inc. for the same period 01/03/2000-01/27/2017 (Apple; JPMorgan; IBM). For simulation purpose, we pick time delay $r=30, \Delta t=1$ and the quadratic square error of the simulation is chosen to be at most $\epsilon=0.001$. We note here that $r$ can be chosen as large as the sample size $\mathcal{N}$. We note that as $r$ approaches $\mathcal{N}$, the quadratic square error reduces significantly. This is demostrated by showing side by side simulations for $r=30$ and $r=50$ in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.
The graphs of the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal estimates $\boldsymbol{a}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ for the three stock prices International Businesses Machines (IBM), JPMorgan Chase and Co and Apple Inc. are shown in Figure 1 below.


Figure 1. The graphs of parameter $\boldsymbol{a}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ with time $t_{k}$ for IBM, JPMorgan CHASE \& Co and APPLE Inc stock price

The graphs of the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal estimates $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$ for the three stock prices International Businesses Machines (IBM), JPMorgan Chase and Co and Apple Inc. are shown in Figure 2 below.


Figure 2. The graphs of parameter $\sigma_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$ with time $t_{k}$ for IBM, JPMorgan CHASE \& Co and APPLE Inc stock price

The graphs of the $\epsilon$ - best sub-optimal simulated stock price estimates for the three stock prices International Businesses Machines (IBM), JPMorgan Chase and Co and Apple Inc. are shown in Figure 3 below.




Figure 3. The graphs of real and simulated stock price for IBM, JPMorgan CHASE \& Co and APPLE Inc. $r=30$

In order to show the effect of increasing the delay constant $r$, we also include the simulation result using $r=50$. It is obvious from comparison of Figures 3 and 4 that as $r$ approaches $\mathcal{N}$, the root mean square value approaches zero.


Figure 4. The graphs of real and simulated stock price for IBM, JPMorgan CHASE \& Co and APPLE Inc. for $r=50$

### 5.2 Numerical Simulation of energy commodity using LLGMM method

Using discretized Euler scheme for (4.1), we apply the above conceptual computational algorithm for the real time data sets namely; daily Henry Hub Natural gas (dollars/million Btu) price data for the period 01/04/2000 - 01/09/2017, crude oil price (dollars/barrel) data for the period $01 / 04 / 2000-01 / 09 / 2017$ and coal price data for the period 01/03/2000 -
$01 / 11 / 2016$ (Coal; Crude; Natural gas). For simulation purposes, we pick time delay $r=30, \Delta t=1$ and the quadratic square error of the simulation is chosen to be at most $\epsilon=0.001$. We also note here that $r$ can be chosen as large as the sample size, $\mathcal{N}$. As $r$ approaches $\mathcal{N}$, the quadratic square error reduces significantly. We demostrated this by showing side by side simulations for $r=30$ and $r=50$ in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

The graphs of the $\epsilon$ - best sub-optimal estimates $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ for the three energy commodities: natural gas, crude oil and coal prices are shown in Figure 5 below.


Figure 5. The graphs of parameter $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k, m_{k}}$ with time $t_{k}$ for natural gas, crude oil and coal

The graphs of the $\epsilon$ - best sub-optimal estimates $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ for the three energy commodities: natural gas, crude oil and coal prices are shown in Figure 6 below.


Figure 6. The graphs of parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}$ with time $t_{k}$ for natural gas, crude oil and coal

The graphs of the $\epsilon$-best sub-optimal estimates $\sigma_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$ for the three energy commodities Natural gas, crude oil and coal prices are shown in Figure 7 below.



Figure 7. The graphs of parameter $\sigma_{k, \hat{m}_{k}}^{2}$ with time $t_{k}$ for natural gas, crude oil and coal

In the following figures, we show the effect of increasing the delay constant $r$ by showing a simulation result for the cases where $r=30$ and $r=50$.


Figure 8. The graphs of real and simulated daily prices for natural gas, crude oil and coal for $r=30$.


Figure 9. The graphs of real and simulated daily prices for natural gas, crude oil and coal for $r=50$.

## 6. Summary

The purpose of this paper is to describe the LLGMM method of parameter estimations in a linear stochastic differential equation with time dependent parameters. It is shown that the estimated parameters converge in probability to the true parameters of the stochastic model. Numerical simulation is carried out in the context of three stock prices and three energy commodity prices. We also show graphically that as the delay constant $r$ increases, the quadratic square error tends to zero.
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