# The Effects of Health on Labour Force Participation: Evidence from Turkey

Habibe Günsel Doğrul<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Kütahya Vocational School of Social Sciences, Dumlupinar University, Kütahya, Turkey

Correspondence: Habibe Günsel Doğrul, Kütahya Vocational School of Social Sciences, Dumlupinar University, Kütahya, 43100, Turkey. Tel: 90-274-227-0450. E-mail: hgunsel.dogrul@dpu.edu.tr

| Received: April 11, 2015  | Accepted: May 22, 2015                 | Online Published: July 25, 2015 |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| doi:10.5539/ijef.v7n8p168 | URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v7 | n8p168                          |

# Abstract

Although the interrelation between health status and labour force participation is an important issue that has been studied mainly for developed countries, little attention has been devoted to empirical researches on health's effect on labour supply decision for developing countries. This study contributes to the literature by investigating the relationship between health status and labour force participation in a developing country, Turkey. Considering possible endogeneity of health, health equation and labour force participation equation are estimated simultaneously. A two-stage estimation method is applied separately for working age groups of men and women. The results suggest that health positively and significantly affects the labour force participation for all age-gender groups as expected. The effect is larger for older men and younger women. The study also finds that labour force participation has significant positive effect on health for younger men and significant negative effect on health for older women. This suggests that rationalization type of endogeneity may exist only for younger men.

**Keywords:** endogeneity of health, cross-sectional data, health status, labour force participation, self-assessed health, two stage estimation

# 1. Introduction

Labour force participation decisions are affected by individuals' health status. One reason is that the actors of the labour market value health as a part of human capital (Becker, 1964, 2007; Grossman, 1972). A person with better health tend to get more education and develop skills, have higher earnings, hence improving health could raise labour force participation (Holt, 2010a). On the other hand, a person with poor health may value leisure time more than the working hours by reducing working hours hence lowering productivity at work. Lower productivity cause further output loss. In addition, the costs of improving poor health and value of lost output are the measures of the economic cost of poor health (Holt, 2010b).

Chronic diseases are the main determinant of ill health and deaths in Turkey. The occurrence of chronic diseases is partly compelled by risky life-style habits such as unhealthy diet, tobacco and alcohol consumption and physical activity (Public Health Agency of Turkey, 2013). According to a cause of death examination/research/analysis using the findings of the study conducted by Public Health Agency of Turkey in 2013: around 40% of death in 1994, 45% of death in 1993 and 40% of death in 2013 resulted from heart diseases. A ratio of chronic diseases has been rising in recent years. If the chronic diseases becomes more common among people, its consequences will be increased health care costs and reduced participation into labour market, which might lead to significant negative economic effects (Holt, 2010a).

On the other hand, the possible endogeneity of self-reported health caused by the measurement of health is an issue in this research area. If the health status is more properly measured, health status is less likely to be exposed to the rationalisation endogeneity problem (Benitez et al., 2004). In the case of endogeneity, when the health is taken as exogenous variable in the labour force equations, the estimated effect is probably to be biased (Cai, 2010). Therefore, endogeneity of health suggests simultaneous equation model to estimate the effect of health on labour force participation. Following Stern (1989), Cai and Kalb (2004, 2006) in building up the model, the two-stage estimation method is applied to estimate the simultaneous equation model using 5 levels of health.

Although the interrelation between health status and labour force participation has been studied mainly for

developed countries (see Curie & Madrian, 1999; Cai, 2010; Cai & Kalb, 2006, 2007; Holt, 2010), little attention has been devoted to empirical researches on health's effect on labour supply decision for developing countries like Turkey (see Bridges & Lawson, 2009; Mushtaq, Mohsin, & Zaman, 2013). Moreover, most of the available literature focuses on the determinants of and trends in labour force participation, particularly for women in Turkey. Hence, this study make a contribution to the literature by exploring relationship between health status and labour force participation of working age men and women in the case of developing country, Turkey. Inclusion of health data in the Survey by Turkish Statistical Institution allowed such analysis to be undertaken. Moreover, applying simultaneous estimation allowed us to analyse the impact of labour force status on health. This study uses data from the Turkish Household Income and Living Conditions Survey. The advantage of these data is that, in addition to standard health status, the data contain information on labour force status and demographic characteristics of persons.

The paper is organized in the following way: after the introduction, evaluation of previous studies in the subject area is presented in Section 1. The theoretical model and estimation method are described in Section 3. Section 4 defines the data and the variables included in the model while the Results of Estimation are given in Section 5, and the Conclusions is in the last Section.

# 2. Literature Review

Based on the previous empirical studies, the relationship between health and labour force participation can be explained by three different ways: productivity, life expectancy and income effect.

Most of the literature concentrates on the link between health and the productivity. This analysis originally comes from the human capital theory developed by Becker (1964). Human capital theory suggests that good health and labour force participation are positively correlated. Actors of labour market consider health as a part of human capital just like the education. Hence, people with poor health having lower productivity will less likely to be employed. For further discussion on this approach see Grossman (1972), Currie and Madrian (1999), Lavy, Palumba and Stern (1995).

In life expectancy approach, productivity is not the only connection to explain the relation between health and labour force participation. Health may influence individuals' preferences between income and leaving the labour market. People with poor health may value leisure more since they need time to care of bad health. Hence, bad health makes people to leave the labour market, which affects the life expectancy (Chirikos, 1993).

The interrelation between health and labour force participation can also be explained by income effect. Income effect suggests the positive impact of poor health on labour force participation. According to this approach, individuals with poor health can demand more medical care. To take care of the medical care expenses they need to be employed (Dwyer & Mitchell, 1999; Cai & Kalb, 2006).

Literature also implies the endogeneity issue of health to labour participation. In other words, labour force participation can affect health. Two types of endogeneity are suggested in the theory: True endogeneity and rationalization endogeneity. While true endogeneity exists when health is accurately measured, rationalization endogeneity occurs when health is not accurately measured.

If the true endogeneity is under consideration, there is a potential of reverse causality or simultaneous feedback effect from higher participation to better health. Since the good health is not completely predetermined at birth, individuals need to spend more time and money on their health to keep or improve their health status. Hence, improving or maintaining health status depends on individuals' past or current labour status. Besides, Stern (1989), Sickles and Taubman (1986) indicate that boredom or absence of activity resulting from non-participation may diminish the quality of health. Moreover, jobs with unpleasant working conditions also could have negative effect on health (Cai & Kalb, 2006).

Rationalization endogeneity issue exists if the self-reported health is used as an explanatory variable in the labour force model. Unfortunately, only the self-reported health is included in most of the surveys. Hence, self-assessed health as an explanatory variable is usually used to explore the relation between health and labour force participation. Nevertheless, some researchers think that people who do not work may use their poor health as an excuse for their non-participation, which is called justification or rationalization (Stern, 1989; Dwyer & Mitchell, 1999; Kreider, 1999; Anderson & Burkhauser, 1984, 1985; Bound, 1991). As a result of rationalization, health variable as an explanatory variable in labour force participation model becomes endogenous making the effects overestimated.

While most of the researches in the considered literature took a binary disability status as health variable (Stern, 1989; Lechner & Vazquez, 2003; Kidd et al., 2000), this study and studies by Cai (2010) and Cai and Kalb (2006)

and Holt (2010) take the health variable in a multi-level form. On the other hand, some studies used health variable in both binary and multi-level form but in a different scale (Stern, 1989). Applying simultaneous equation models, Stern (1989), Cai and Kalb (2006) could not detect strong evidence that the rationalization endogeneity occurs. Further work by Cai (2010), using the Australian Survey data (HILDA), examined the impact of self-assessed health on labour force participation for men and women of working age. Their results support the findings in the literature that health has positive effect on labour force participation. As for the reverse effect, the rationalization endogeneity hypothesis is rejected for men but not rejected for women. Previous studies also show evidence that the relationship between health and participation differs among different age-gender groups (Cai & Kalb, 2006). Previous studies also suggest that, evidence of endogeneity appears to be different in different estimation methods. For example, two-stage and Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimation methods may lead to different results in terms of the test of exogeneity hypothesis (Cai & Kalb, 2006).

To deal with the endogeneity issue related to self-assessed health variables, researchers have applied different methods. While some researchers used more accurate health measures (Anderson & Burkhauser, 1984; Parsons, 1982), some others used instrumental variable estimation method. The problem in accounting for endogeneity is the availability of good instruments. There are some comments on the lack of good instruments in addressing the problem in the literature (Strauss & Thomas, 1998).

## 3. Statistical Model and Estimation Strategy

In this study, health equation and labour force participation equations are estimated simultaneously to account for the endogeneity of health. The model is explained in this section by following Stern (1989), Cai and Kalb (2004, 2006). Health determination is specified in the first equation. This equation determines the true health, not self-reported health.

$$H^{**} = \alpha_1 L^* + X_H \beta_H + \varepsilon_1 \tag{1}$$

Where  $H^{**}$  is the latent true health which depends on the latent value of labour force participation and set of exogenous variables  $x_H$ ;  $\varepsilon_1$  is a disturbance term. Since the true health is endogenous to labour force participation, latent value of labour force participation enters the equation (1).

Second equation describes the labour force participation,

$$L^* = \alpha_2 H^{**} + X_L \theta_L + \varepsilon_2 \tag{2}$$

Where the latent value of labour force participation  $L^*$  depends on by true health  $H^{**}$ , and a set of exogenous variables  $X_{L}$ ;  $\varepsilon_2$  is the disturbance term.  $X_L$  and  $X_H$  may include same variables.

Since the true health is not observed, third equation is described to connect true health with observed self-reported health,

$$H^* = H^{**} + \gamma L^* + \varepsilon_3 \tag{3}$$

Where  $H^*$  is the latent measure of self-reported health status, self-reported health depends on latent value of labour force participation which implies the rationalization endogeneity of self-reported health (Cai, 2006, 2010). If the  $\gamma$  has positive sign, people in the labour market tend to exaggerate their health, and people not in the labour market tend to downgrade their health.  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$  are assumed to be jointly and normally distributed.

Substituting equation (1) into equation (3), gives

$$H^* = \varphi_1 L^* + X_H \beta_H + \varepsilon_H \tag{4}$$

Where  $\varphi_1 = \alpha_1 + \gamma$ ,  $\varepsilon_H = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3$ . In the model only  $\varphi_1$  can be identified;  $\alpha_1$  and  $\gamma$  cannot be estimated separately. In other words, true indignity and justification endogeneity cannot be separated while the total endogeneity can be estimated.

Derived from the equation (3) that 
$$H^{**} = H^* - \gamma L^* - \varepsilon_3$$
. Replacing this into (2) yields

$$L^* = \varphi_2 H^{**} + X_L \beta_L + \varepsilon_L, \tag{5}$$

Where  $\varphi_2 = \alpha_2/(1 + \alpha_2 \gamma)$ ,  $\beta_L = \theta_L/(1 + \alpha_2 \gamma)$ , and  $\varepsilon_L = (\varepsilon_2 - \alpha_2 \varepsilon_3/(1 + \alpha_2 \gamma))$ .  $\varepsilon_L$  and  $\varepsilon_H$  are correlated through  $\varepsilon_3$ , even if  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are independent. But,  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are highly correlated since there may be some unobservable factors affecting both labour force status and health.

As a health variable, multi- level (5 level) self-reported health status is used as given in the Survey. As a labour force status there are two states: participation and non-participation. Labour force participation includes employed and unemployed.

Observed values of the endogenous variables are:

$$H = \begin{cases} 4 (= very \ good) \ if \ m_3 < H^* < m_4 = +\infty \\ 3 (= \ good) \ if \ m_2 < H^* < m_3 \\ 2 (= \ fair) \ if \ m_1 < H^* < m_2 \\ 1 (= \ bad) \ if \ m_0 < H^* < m_1 \\ 0 (= very \ bad) \ if \ -\infty = < m_{-1} < H^* \le m_0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

Where  $(m_0, m_1, m_2, m_3)$  are unobserved cut-off points, and

$$\begin{cases} 1 (= in \ labour \ force) & if \ L^* > 0 \\ 0 (= not \ in \ labour \ force) \ if \ L^* \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

Equations (4), (5), (6) and (7) constitute a simultaneous equation system.  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$ ,  $\beta_H$  and  $\beta_L$  are coefficient parameters to estimate in equations (4) and (5) and  $m_o$  to  $m_3$  in equations (6) and (7).

As observed in the literature, two different methods can be applied to estimate the simultaneous equation system: the two-stage method and the FIML method. We employed the two-stage estimation method in this paper. Two-stage estimation provides consistent but inefficient estimates since the possible correlation between the two error terms in the structural equations is ignored (Cai & Kalb, 2006). The FIML method produces efficient and consistent parameter estimates because it takes the possible correlation between the error terms into consideration. With the two-stage estimation, exogeneity can be only partially tested, because the coefficient on the labour force participation variable is estimated and the correlation coefficient is not taken into account. In spite of these deficiencies the two-stage method is employed in this paper since the FIML method is difficult to apply with standard econometric packages.

To employ two-stage estimation method, reduced forms of equations (4) and (5) are estimated. Reduced forms of considered equations (equations 4 and 5) are,

$$H^* = X\pi_H + \varepsilon_H^* \tag{8}$$

$$L^* = X\pi_L + \varepsilon_L^* \tag{9}$$

Where X is the set of all exogenous variables in  $X_H$  and  $X_I$ ;  $\pi_H$  and  $\pi_L$  are reduced form coefficient parameters and  $\varepsilon_H^*$  and  $\varepsilon_H^*$  are error components in reduced forms.

In the first stage, equations (8) and (9) is estimated by applying ordered probit and probit in order. The consistent estimated of  $\pi_H$  and  $\pi_L$ , denoted as  $\hat{\pi}_H$  and  $\hat{\pi}_L$ , can be used to obtain predicted values of latent health and labour force status

$$\widehat{H}^* = X\widehat{\pi}_H \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{L}^* = X\hat{\pi}_L \tag{11}$$

The second stage is to replace  $L^*$  and  $H^*$  in equations (4) and (5) by  $\hat{H}^*$  and  $\hat{L}^*$  and estimate the ordered and probit again. The standard errors of the second stage parameters need to be adjusted to reflect the fact that  $\hat{\pi}_H$  and  $\hat{\pi}_H$  are estimated from the first stage. As in the instrumental variable method, whole exogenous variables are considered as instruments for estimation of labour force and health equations individually in the simultaneous system.

#### 4. Data and Variables

This study uses 2013 Income and Living Conditions Turkey Survey, which was conducted by Turkish Statistical Institute (TUIK). Details of this Survey can be reached at the web site of the Turkish Statistical Institution.

Income and Living Conditions Survey contains information on individuals' labour force participation, health status and various demographic characteristics. Standard five-level health status measured by likert-scale (scaled from "very bad" to "very good") question was collected in the personal interviews. Participants of the study were also asked whether they had a long-standing illness or health condition that restricted their daily life and had

lasted six months or more.

Since the relationship between health and labour force participation happens to be different in different age groups the model is separated into four age-gender groups for estimation: younger men (less than 50 years old), younger women (less than 50 years old), older men (aged 50 or over), older (women aged 50 or over). Those who are still students and over retirement age are excluded from the sample. Fifty years of age break is used to see the difference between the young and old age groups in terms of their labour force participation. In the literature, 50 years of age is defined as the beginning of the old working age (Dixon, 2003). Working age population is grouped in three age categories: younger adults (15-29), prime-aged adults (30-49) and older adults (50-64). In this study, younger and prime-aged adults are combined as younger adults. The employment patterns of older workers differ from those of younger workers. Estimating the model separately for younger and older working age groups gives an opportunity to understand the effects of health on labour force participation for older working age individuals. Table 1 shows the relationship between the labour force participation and self-reported health situation by age-gender groups. As it can be seen in Table 1, there is positive relationship between labour force participation and health status. Percentages of men who do not participate in labour market diminish with better health. If individuals have better health, they are more likely to participate in the labour market. Around 83 per cent of men expressing very bad health do not participate in the labour force. In contrast, only 25 per cent of males expressing very good health do not participate in the labour force. While positive link between health and labour force participation exists for both young and old men, for all health levels, old men are more likely not to be in labour force than the young men. Same pattern can be observed for women. On the contrary to developed countries, for all five health levels, women who participate in labour force are less than the ones not in the labour force. Hence, women have lower participation rate in comparison to men for all health levels. Since the number of women in the labour force with very good health (for all age groups) is very low, it would be better to compare the labour status of women with good health with very bad health. For instance, while about 86 per cent of younger women expressing very bad health do not participate in the labour force, 65 per cent of younger women with good health do not participate in the labour force. For four health levels except very bad health level, older women more likely do not participate compared to younger women.

Table 1 also shows that there is a negative relationship between health and age. While around 6 per cent of males aged 15-49 express bad and very bad health, 16 per cent of males aged 50-65 report bad and very bad health. For males, about 7% aged 15-40 report bad and very bad health, while 25% aged 50-60 do so.

The definitions of all variables are provided in Table 2. As it can be seen in the Table 2, while different group of independent variables is included in the labour force and health equation to satisfy the identification condition for simultaneous equation models (Green, 1997; Cameron & Trivedi, 2010; Maddala, 1992), some same standard variables are also included in each equation.

Standard variables from the literature are used in the health equation as explanatory variables. Age variable is included since health gets worse (Kenkel, 1995) with age. Marital status is also included since there is a close relationship between them (Beckett & Elliott, 2002; Wilson & Oswald, 2005). Related literature often suggests positive correlation between health and being married. Variables capturing educational attainment are also included, assuming that education improves health since awareness of health-related knowledge rises with education (Grossman, 1999). Hence, we construct a set of dummy variables, which shows educational attainment.

Some additional objective health indicators are also included in the health equation. Only two indicators were available in the Household Income and Living Condition Survey. One indicator is the presence of long-term health conditions and the other one is the lack of physical activity.

There have been studies that imply the effect of unemployment and employment on health (Pharr, Moonie, & Bungum, 2011; Wilson & Walker, 1993; Jin, Shah, & Svoboda, 1997; Mathers & Schofield, 1998). Therefore, past employment variable (years in employment) is also decided to be included in the model.

|                           | Very good (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Bad (1) | Very bad (0) |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|
| Men                       |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 75.4          | 81.6     | 78.1     | 54.8    | 17.5         |
| % Not in the labour force | 24.6          | 18.4     | 21.9     | 45.2    | 82.5         |
| Observations              | 3147          | 12597    | 3213     | 1459    | 206          |
| Men aged 15-49            |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 76            | 85.2     | 90.1     | 65      | 19.2         |
| % Not in the labour force | 24            | 14.8     | 9.9      | 35      | 80.8         |
| Observations              | 2942          | 10471    | 1924     | 832     | 125          |
| Men aged 50-64            |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 67.8          | 64.1     | 60.2     | 58.7    | 14.8         |
| % Not in the labour force | 32.2          | 35.9     | 39.8     | 41.3    | 85.2         |
| Observations              | 205           | 2126     | 1289     | 627     | 81           |
| Women                     |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 31.9          | 33.9     | 31.7     | 25.2    | 17.5         |
| % Not in the labour force | 68.1          | 66.1     | 69.3     | 74.8    | 82.5         |
| Observations              | 2629          | 11826    | 3989     | 1891    | 183          |
| Women aged 15-49          |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 32.3          | 34.8     | 33.9     | 27.5    | 14.4         |
| % Not in the labour force | 67.7          | 65.2     | 66.1     | 72.5    | 85.6         |
| Observations              | 2554          | 10605    | 2650     | 1081    | 111          |
| Women aged 50-60          |               |          |          |         |              |
| % In labour force         | 18.7          | 26.8     | 27.3     | 22.1    | 22.2         |
| % Not in the labour force | 81.3          | 73.2     | 72.7     | 77.9    | 77.8         |
| Observations              | 75            | 1221     | 1339     | 810     | 72           |

Table 1. Labour force status<sup>a</sup> by self-assessed health status

Note. <sup>a</sup> In labour force includes employed and unemployed persons.

Creating stressful or unpleasant working environment, employment may make individuals' health worse. On the contrary, employment may have positive effect on individuals' health by making them happier and more self-confident. Unemployment variable is not included in the model since the proportion of life in unemployment (years of unemployment) was not available in the Survey. To control the effects of jobs' quality on health, occupational variables are included in the health equation.

Labour force participation equation includes a few variables, which are not present in health equilibrium. It has been known that presence of children interacted with marital status may affect labour force participation. But the direction of effect is not clear. Another standard variable "*Urban*" is also included in the labour force equation.

Descriptive statistics of data are presented in Table 3. For older men, participation rate is lower than that of younger men. On the other hand, the distance between old and young women is very small. The mean value of self-reported health is higher for young people than for old people for both genders. As expected, old people with dependent children are less than young people for both genders. Young men and women have higher education than older men and women. Men are more probably to have a higher degree than women and women are more probably to drop out of school than elder men. Young men and women are more probably in white-collar job than older men and women while older women are more probably to be in blue-collar job than older men. Older women are more probably to have long-term health problems and lack of physical activity than older men.

| Table 2. | Variable | description |
|----------|----------|-------------|
|----------|----------|-------------|

| Endogenous variables               |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Labour force                       | 1 if in the labour force  |
| Health                             | Self assessed health status, 0= very bad, 1=bad, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=very good          |
| Variables common in both equations |   |
| Demographic                        |   |
| Age                                | Age deviation from a base age <sup>a</sup>  |
| Age squared                        | Age deviation squared <sup>a</sup> ; only included for the younger females and males. |
| Married                            | 1 if married or de facto  |

| Education                                |  |
|--|--|
| University                               | 1 If has a associate, undergraduater or graduate degree  |
| Completed 12 years                       | 1 if has completed 12 years of education   |
| Completed less than year 12              | 1 if the highest education completed is lower than 12  |
| Non-degree                               | 1 if has no education degree completed   |
| Past Employment                          | Years in employment  |
| Variables only appear in the labour      |  |
| force participation                      |  |
| Child dependent                          | 1 if has dependent child   |
| Child independent                        | 1 if has independent child   |
| Married*child_dependent                  | Interaction between married and dependent child <sup>b</sup>                                       |
| Married*child_independent                | Interaction between married and independent child  |
| Urban                                    | 1 if lives in urban area   |
| Variables only appear in health equation |  |
| Occupational                             |  |
| White collar jobs_1                      | 1 if the last or current job as a manager, administrator or professional                           |
| White collar jobs_2                      | 1 if the last or current job as a clerical, sales or service worker                                |
| Blue collar jobs                         | 1 if the last or current job as a skilled agricultural, fishery workers, crafts and related trades |
|  | workers, plant and machine operators and assemblers and elementary occupations                     |
| Health related                           |  |
| Health condition                         | 1 if suffers from any chronic (long-standing) illness or condition <sup>b</sup>                    |
| Lack of physical activity                | 1 if lack of physical activity on-going for at least 6 months                                      |

*Note.* <sup>a</sup>Age variable is calculated by taking difference between the real age and 15 for young people and taking the difference between the real age and 50 for older people.

<sup>b</sup> Child dependent variable is used for younger peoples since, few older people have dependent child.

# Table 3. Descriptive statistics

|                             | Men   | <u>Men 15-49</u> |       | 15-49    |
|-----------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------|
| Variable                    | Mean  | Std Dev.         | Mean  | Std Dev. |
| Endogenous variables        |       |                  |       |          |
| Labour force                | 0.83  | 0.38             | 0.34  | 0.47     |
| Health                      | 2.93  | 0.75             | 2.85  | 0.77     |
| Demographic                 |       |                  |       |          |
| Age                         | 31.25 | 10.19            | 31.20 | 10.04    |
| Married                     | 0.38  | 0.49             | 0.29  | 0.45     |
| Child dependent             | 0.81  | 0.39             | 0.83  | 0.38     |
| Child independent           | 0.19  | 0.39             | 0.17  | 0.38     |
| Married*child_dependent     | 0.27  | 0.44             | 0.22  | 0.42     |
| Married*child_independent   | 0.11  | 0.32             | 0.06  | 0.24     |
| Urban                       | 0.68  | 0.47             | 0.68  | 0.47     |
| Education                   |       |                  |       |          |
| University                  | 0.13  | 0.34             | 0.10  | 0.30     |
| Completed 12 years          | 0.23  | 0.42             | 0.17  | 0.37     |
| Completed less than year 12 | 0.56  | 0.49             | 0.54  | 0.50     |
| No-degree                   | 0.06  | 0.24             | 0.20  | 0.40     |
| Occupational                |       |                  |       |          |
| White collar jobs_1         | 0.17  | 0.37             | 0.14  | 0.35     |
| White collar jobs_2         | 0.24  | 0.41             | 0.24  | 0.43     |
| Blue collar jobs            | 0.59  | 0.49             | 0.61  | 0.49     |
| Past Employment             | 8.09  | 5.06             | 2.83  | 4.80     |
| Health related              |       |                  |       |          |
| Health condition            | 0.16  | 0.36             | 0.20  | 0.40     |
| Lack of physical activity   | 0.15  | 0.35             | 0.17  | 0.38     |
| No. of observations         | 13972 |                  | 9932  |          |

#### Table 3. Continued

|                             | Men   | 50-64    | Wome  | en 50-60 |
|-----------------------------|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| Variable                    | Mean  | Std Dev. | Mean  | Std Dev. |
| Endogenous variables        |       |          |       |          |
| Labour force                | 0.59  | 0.49     | 0.26  | 0.44     |
| Health                      | 2.40  | 0.86     | 2.12  | 0.85     |
| Demographic                 |       |          |       |          |
| Age                         | 56.21 | 4.19     | 54.54 | 3.09     |
| Married                     | 0.01  | 0.12     | 0.02  | 0.14     |
| Child dependent             | 0.45  | 0.50     | 0.38  | 0.49     |
| Child independent           | 0.55  | 0.50     | 0.62  | 0.49     |
| Married*child_dependent     | 0.01  | 0.05     | 0.003 | 0.06     |
| Married*child_independent   | 0.01  | 0.11     | 0.02  | 0.13     |
| Urban                       | 0.61  | 0.49     | 0.61  | 0.49     |
| Education                   |       |          |       |          |
| University                  | 0.11  | 0.31     | 0.04  | 0.21     |
| Completed 12 years          | 0.12  | 0.33     | 0.07  | 0.25     |
| Completed less than year 12 | 0.65  | 0.47     | 0.47  | 0.50     |
| No-degree                   | 0.12  | 0.32     | 0.42  | 0.49     |
| Occupational                |       |          |       |          |
| White collar jobs_1         | 0.17  | 0.38     | 0.09  | 0.29     |
| White collar jobs_2         | 0.19  | 0.39     | 0.13  | 0.34     |
| Blue collar jobs            | 0.63  | 0.48     | 0.78  | 0.41     |
| Past Employment             | 6.08  | 5.75     | 2.11  | 4.43     |
| Health related              |       |          |       |          |
| Health condition            | 0.46  | 0.50     | 0.60  | 0.48     |
| Lack of physical activity   | 0.34  | 0.47     | 0.47  | 0.49     |
| No. of observations         | 4303  |          | 2460  |          |

# 5. Results of Estimation

Estimation results of two stage methods for the age-gender groups are given in Table 4. Even though it has been focused on the relationship between health and labour force status in this study, we also discussed the results of exogenous variables. As presented in Table 4, while some results for other explanatory variables are expected, some are not. Because of missing value issue of some variables, the samples in Table 4 are less than those in Table 1.

For all age-gender groups, estimation results indicate positive and significant effect of health on labour force participation as literature suggests. In other words, if the health gets better, the probability of participation in labour force participation increases. Marginal effect of health on labour force participation cannot be calculated. This is because the model is not linear. Instead, the conditional probabilities of labour market participation by observed health status are predicted and reported in Table 5 holding all variables at their mean values. Results in Table 5 imply that the conditional probabilities increase with observed health status for three age groups except the group for older women. The predicted effect of health is larger for older men than for younger men as indicated in the literature. The predicted effect is larger for older women only conditional of bad, fair and good level of health than for younger women. Bad health condition is likely to reduce productivity for younger and older people but this impact is clearly more significant for older men and young women. The probability of labour force participation is 32% among those with very bad health condition, which is higher than those with bad health condition.

As for the effect of labour force participation on health: for younger man and older women, labour force participation appears to have significantly positive effect on health status. The estimated effect is negative and significant for older women. On the other hand, the estimated impact is positive and insignificant for younger women and older men. The positive and significant impact may point to rationalization endogeneity of health for younger males. The estimated negative and significant impact for older women may suggests that undesirable physical conditions and stress in work environment might eliminate the other positive impacts of labour force participation. The negative sign of the labour force participation variable for older men and women is not

surprising because old people are under less pressure in a society than young people to attribute non-participation to ill-health (Cai & Kalb, 2004, 2006). Insignificant results might be because of the nature of two-stage method. There is lack of variation in the predicted value for the labour force participation variable. Hence, this model causes an insignificant coefficient.

Turning to the labour force participation model, positive sign of age coefficient confirms the findings in the literature for older people. The likelihood of labour force participation decreases with age for old people but increases with age for young people. The age-squared variable is included for young people and it is significant for both young females and young man. For the educational variables, people with no complete school education are excluded as a group. For younger women, all the coefficients of educational categories are significant and have expected signs. The sign of the educational variables are opposite to expectation for younger men and older women but they are all significant. The sign on the variable *university* for older men is positive and significant. Other than university level education, all the other educational variables have opposite sign for older men and women.

It appears that married males are less probably to be in the labour force than single men. However, married women are more probably to be in the labour force in comparison to single women. Actually the variable "spouse in the labour force" would have been in the equation but spouse's labour status data could not be reached from the survey.

Past employment is another important explanatory variable associated with present participation. The sign of this variable is not so clear in the theory. The estimated sign on this variable is positive for all groups indicating that if employment period is longer, person has more experience and demand higher earning. Hence, the person is more likely to be labour force.

Presence of "dependent child" variable has the expected sign for younger men and women. Young men with dependent child are more likely to participate in the labour force while women with dependent child are less likely to participate in the labour force. Older men and women are less likely to participate in the labour force when they have independent child. Married men with dependent child are less likely to be in the labour force than single men. Interaction term "married\*child\_dependent" variable has positive sign but is not significant. For all groups, people living in urban areas are less likely to participate than their counterparts living in rural areas.

The reason for estimating health model is just to control for the endogeneity issue. Hence, the estimation results of the health equation are briefly discussed.

For all age-gender groups, specific health condition variables are all significant and have signs as expected in the literature. The age variable is significant and has negative sign for all age-gender groups implying health deterioration with age.

For all age-gender groups, education coefficients have the expected signs. Coefficients are also significant for young groups and older men while the *university degree* variable is not significant for older women.

The marital status variables have the positive sign for young groups and have negative signs for older age groups. The marital status variable is only insignificant for younger women. The coefficient of past employment is positive and significant only for old age people. This may suggest that the person was healthy in the past but present health situation might not be good.

Lastly, all occupation variables have signs as literature suggested. Compared with the first level white collar jobs, second level white collar and blue collar jobs included in the health model are not good for health.

For the exogeneity of self-reported health, the coefficient of the labour force participation variable in the health equation ( $\varphi_1$ ) and the correlation between  $\varepsilon_H$  and  $\varepsilon_l(\rho)$  are required to be zero. Two-stage method is not able to estimate the correlation coefficient. Hence, the presence of exogeneity can be derived from the significance of the labour force participation coefficient in the health model.

Looking at the Table 4, coefficient on the labour force participation variable is significant for younger men and older women. In other words, only for younger men and older women the exogeneity hypothesis is rejected.

As indicated before, Stern (1989), Cai and Kalb (2004, 2006) and Cai (2010) used a multi-level measure of health. Applying the two-stage method they could not find the presence of endogeneity. These authors only find the presence of endogeneity in their studies using FIML method. Therefore my results can be comparable with Cai and Kalb (2004, 2006). In terms of the health effect on labour force participation, same findings are observed. When it comes to the health endogeneity issue, this study has different findings from Cai and Kalb. Using the

two-stage method, labour force participation variable was significant in the health model for younger men and older women, indicating exogeneity can be rejected only for these two groups.

Table 4. Two stage coefficient estimates<sup>a</sup>

|   | <u>Men 15-49</u> |                | Women 15-49 |                |
|---|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Variable                                    | Coefficient      | Standard error | Coefficient | Standard error |
| Labour force participation equation         |                  |                |             |                |
| Health                                      | 0.3469***        | 0.0215         | 0.0831***   | 0.0180         |
| Age   | 0.1383***        | 0.0100         | 0.0323***   | 0.0080         |
| Age squared                                 | -0.0049***       | 0.0002         | -0.00135*** | 0.0002         |
| University                                  | -0.2729***       | 0.1082         | 0.8636***   | 0.0602         |
| Completed 12 years                          | -0.4105***       | 0.0964         | 0.1338 ***  | 0.0500         |
| Completed less than year 12                 | -0.2297***       | 0.0888         | 0.1279      | 0.0399         |
| Married                                     | -0.3441***       | 0.0896         | 0.5744***   | 0.0681         |
| Past employment                             | 0.0372***        | 0.0045         | 0.0521***   | 0.0021         |
| Child dependent                             | 0.2081***        | 0.0679         | -0.1999***  | 0.0423         |
| Child independent                           |                  |                |             |                |
| Married*child_independent                   |                  |                |             |                |
| Married*child_dependent                     | -0.51136***      | 0.1016         | 0.0113      | 0.0862         |
| Urban                                       | -0.1047**        | 0.0429         | -0.4166***  | 0.0326         |
| Constant                                    | 1.5042***        | 0.1391         | -0.1788***  | 0.0799         |
| Health Equation                             |                  |                |             |                |
| Labour force                                | 0.1956**         | 0.0906         | 0.0320      | 0.0558         |
| Age   | -0.0691***       | 0.0145         | -0.0299***  | 0.0066         |
| Age squared                                 | 0.0014***        | 0.0005         | 0.0001      | 0.0002         |
| University                                  | 0.5506***        | 0.0608         | 0.5764***   | 0.0672         |
| Completed 12 years                          | 0.4011***        | 0.0584         | 0.4392***   | 0.0493         |
| Completed less than year 12                 | 0.2545***        | 0.0488         | 0.3114***   | 0.0368         |
| Married                                     | 0.1437**         | 0.0629         | 0.0467      | 0.0509         |
| Past employment                             | 0.0008           | 0.0043         | 0.0016      | 0.0040         |
| White collar jobs_2                         | -0.0249          | 0.0377         | -0.0099     | 0.0461         |
| Blue collar jobs                            | -0.0768**        | 0.0358         | -0.043503   | 0.0558         |
| Health condition                            | -1.0449***       | 0.0415         | -1.1262***  | 0.0397         |
| Lack of physical activity                   | -1.1301***       | 0.0698         | -1.0820***  | 0.0397         |
| Cut1 m <sub>1</sub>                         | -4.1155***       | 0.1586         | -4.5899***  | 0.1083         |
| $Cut2 m_2$                                  | -2.7009***       | 0.1507         | -2.9304***  | 0.0884         |
| $Cut3 m_3$                                  | -1.5038**        | 0.1486         | -1.5815**   | 0.0841         |
| Cut4 m <sub>4</sub>                         | 0.9103**         | 0.1481         | 0.8756      | 0.0822         |
| Log-likelihood of two-stage LF equation     | -2575            |                | -5858       |                |
| Log-likelihood of two-stage health equation | -11149           |                | -7906       |                |
| No. of observations                         | 13972            |                | 9932        |                |

# Table 4. Continued

|                                     | Men         | 50-64          | Wom         | en 50-60       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| Variable                            | Coefficient | Standard error | Coefficient | Standard error |
| Labour force participation equation |             |                |             |                |
| Health                              | 0.2022 ***  | 0.0228         | 0.1817***   | 0.0343         |
| Age                                 | -0.1139***  | 0.0059         | -0.0560***  | 0.0097         |
| Age squared                         |             |                |             |                |
| University                          | 0.1741*     | 0.0976         | -0.2419     | 0.1305         |
| Completed 12 years                  | -0.1729*    | 0.0948         | -0.2819**   | 0.1316         |
| Completed less than year 12         | -0.1451**   | 0.0725         | 0.0008      | 0.0660         |
| Married                             | -0.0160     | 0.7227         | -0.4436     | 1.6016         |
| Past employment                     | 0.0638***   | 0.0030         | 0.0387***   | 0.0027         |

| Child dependent                             |              |           |            |        |
|---|--------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Child independent                           | -0.2336 ***  | 0.0446    | -0.1558**  | 0.0597 |
| Married*child_independent                   | -0.2456      | 0.7541    | 0.5869     | 1.6195 |
| Married*child_dependent                     |              |           |            |        |
| Urban                                       | -0.5567***   | 0.0463    | -0.5502*** | 0.0663 |
| Constant                                    | -0.4990 ***  | 0.1229    | -0.4301*** | 0.1128 |
| Health Equation                             |              |           |            |        |
| Labour force                                | -0.0108      | 0.0668    | -0.2558*** | 0.0949 |
| Age   | -0.0209**    | 0.0094    | -0.0377*** | 0.0099 |
| Age squared                                 |              |           |            |        |
| University                                  | 0.6265***    | 0.0916    | 0.2463     | 0.1793 |
| Completed 12 years                          | 0.6984***    | 0.0793    | 0.2560**   | 0.1320 |
| Completed less than year 12                 | 0.4061***    | 0.0584    | 0.2191***  | 0.0525 |
| Married                                     | -0.3390 **   | 0.2267    | -0.3273*   | 0.1662 |
| Past employment                             | 0.0119***    | 0.0054    | 0.0145***  | 0.0053 |
| White collar jobs_2                         | -0.0872      | 0.0666    | -0.1337    | 0.1475 |
| Blue collar jobs                            | -0.1380**    | 0.0645    | -0.4057*** | 0.1511 |
| Health condition                            | -1.0808***   | 0.0486    | -1.0277*** | 0.0672 |
| Lack of physical activity                   | -1.0809 ***  | 0.0489    | -1.0055*** | 0.0598 |
| Cut1 $m_1$                                  | -3.260286*** | 0.1474343 | -3.7087*** | 0.2120 |
| $Cut2 m_2$                                  | -1.773731**  | 0.1404573 | -2.0028*** | 0.1841 |
| $Cut3 m_3$                                  | -0.3942005   | 0.1382805 | -0.5673    | 0.1777 |
| Cut4 m <sub>4</sub>                         | 1.962642***  | 0.1405394 | 1.7140**   | 0.1803 |
| Log-likelihood of two-stage LF equation     | -2355        |           | -1315      |        |
| Log-likelihood of two-stage health equation | -3936        |           | -2394      |        |
| No. of observations                         | 4303         |           | 2460       |        |

*Note.* \*\*\*Significant at 1%, \*\*5%, \*10%.

a, standard errors are bootstrapped with 1000 replications.

| Table 5. Predict | ted conditiona | al probability of LF | Р |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|

| Health Status | Predicted probability of LFP |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| Men 15-49     |                              |
| Very bad      | 0.3332                       |
| Bad           | 0.8139                       |
| Fair          | 0.9609                       |
| Good          | 0.9776                       |
| Very good     | 0.9783                       |
| Women 15-49   |                              |
| Very bad      | 0.4369                       |
| Bad           | 0.4891                       |
| Fair          | 0.5562                       |
| Good          | 0.5909                       |
| Very good     | 0.6109                       |
| Men 50-64     |                              |
| Very bad      | 0.1783                       |
| Bad           | 0.4299                       |
| Fair          | 0.6254                       |
| Good          | 0.6498                       |
| Very good     | 0.6862                       |
| Women 50-60   |                              |
| Very bad      | 0.3238                       |
| Bad           | 0.2360                       |
| Fair          | 0.3413                       |
| Good          | 0.4118                       |
| Very good     | 0.2866                       |

## 6. Conclusion

This study investigated the relationship between health and labour force participation by utilizing data from the Turkish Household Income and Living Condition Survey. Considering possible endogeneity of the self-reported health variable, health equation and labour force participating equation are estimated simultaneously applying a two-stage estimation method. Since the relationship between health and labour force participation happens to be different in different age groups the estimation is carried out respectively for four age-gender groups.

Study results suggest that self-assessed health status positively and significantly affects the labour force participation for all age-gender groups similar to other studies in the literature (Stern, 1989; Cai & Kalb, 2004, 2006, 2010; Chirikos, 1993; Holt, 2010). Also, the conditional probabilities of labour market participation by observed health status are predicted and reported in Table 5 holding all variables at their mean values. Results in Table 5 imply that the conditional probabilities of participation increase with observed health status for three age groups except the group for older women. The predicted effect of health is larger for older men than for younger men as indicated in the literature. Bad health condition is likely to reduce productivity for younger and older people but this impact is clearly more significant for older men and younger women.

As for the rationalization endogeneity of health on labour force participation, the labour force participation variable is significant for younger men and older women but not significant for younger women and older men, in the health equation. For younger men, positive sign of labour force participation variable may suggest the rationalization endogeneity of health to labour force participation (Stern, 1989; Dwyer & Mitchell, 1999; Kreider, 1999; Bound, 1991; Cai & Kalb, 2004, 2006). This finding is different from Cai and Kalb's (2004, 2006) and Stern's (1989) findings. They found that the labour force participation variable is significant for younger men in the health equation but the negative sign is opposite to the rationalization hypothesis in the literature. In this study, results indicate that younger men who do not participate in the labour force may be more likely to overstate their health problems to justify their non-participation. This may be due to high unemployment rates among younger working age population in Turkey. Some people who have done everything they can do to find a job and still remain unemployed give up seeking a job and considered as non-participant in labour force statistically. These people are called discouraged workers. The proportion of youth who are not in education, employment or training is high at 24.6% in 2013 compared with the OECD average of 14.3% in Turkey (OECD, 2014). They might feel more pressure in the society compared to older people. As a result, they may report poor health to justify their non-participation. This may not be the case in developed countries since their unemployment rates are lower compared to developing countries.

The negative sign of the labour force participation coefficient in health equation for older men and women does not suggest endogeneity caused by rationalization. The effects of other factors like bad working environment or work stress may dominate the positive effects of labour force participation. This result might suggest that older people are usually under less pressure in the society than younger people to participate in the labour force. As a result, older people might not attribute their non-participation to their poor-health. Cai and Kalb (2004, 2006) found similar findings in Australia.

Finally, using a two-stage estimation method, based on the significance of the coefficient on the labour force participation variable in health equation, the exogeneity hypothesis is rejected for younger men and older women. This means that health is treated as endogeneous variable in the labour force participation for younger men and older women. In the case of Turkey, the two-stage method produces a significant coefficient for labour force participation variable. This study also brings forth a question of whether similar findings would be found for the other developing countries.

#### References

- Anderson, K. H., & Burkhauser, R. V. (1984). The Importance of the Measure of Health in Empirical Estimates of the Labour Supply of Older Men. *Economics Letter*, 16, 375-380. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0165-1765(84)90192-7
- Anderson, K. H., & Burkhauser, R. V. (1985). The Retirement-Health Nexus: A New Measure of an Old Puzzle. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 20(3), 321-330. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/145884
- Becker, G. S. (1964). Human Capital. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Becker, G. S. (2007). Health as Human Capital: Synthesis and Extensions. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 59(3), 379-410. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpm020
- Beckett, M. K., & Elliott, M. N. (2002). *Does the Association between Marital Status and Health Vary by Sex, Race and Ethnicity?* RAND Working Paper Series 02-08.

- Benítez-Silva, H., Buchinsky, M., Man Chan, H., Cheidvasser, S., & Rust, J. (2004). How large is the bias in self-reported disability? *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 19(6), 649-670.
- Bound, J. (1991). Self-Reported Versus Objective Measures of Health in Retirement Models. *Journal of Human Resources*, 26(1), 106-138. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/145718
- Bridges, S., & Lawson, D. (2008). *Health and labour market participation in Uganda* (No. 2008/07). WIDER Discussion Papers, World Institute for Development Economics (UNU-WIDER).
- Bridges, S., & Lawson, D. (2009). A gender-based investigation into the determinants of labour market outcomes: Evidence from Uganda. *Journal of African Economies*, *18*(3), 461-495. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejn017
- Cai, L. (2010). The Relationship between Health and Labour Force Participation: Evidence from a Panel Data Simultaneous Equation Model. *Labour Economics*, 17, 77-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.labeco.2009.04.001
- Cai, L., & Kalb, G. (2004). *Health Status and Labour Force Participation: Evidence from the HILDA Data*. Melbourne Institute Working Paper, No.4/04, The University of Melbourne. Retrieved from http://www.melbourneinstitute.com
- Cai, L., & Kalb, G. (2006). Health Status and Labour Force Participation: Evidence from Australia. *Health Economics*, *15*, 241-261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hec.1053
- Cai, L., & Kalb, G. (2007). Health Status and Labour Force Status of Older Working-Age Australian Men. *Australian Journal of Labour Economics*, 10, 227-252. Retrieved from https://business.curtin.edu.au/local/docs/D2\_-\_2007CAIfinal.pdf
- Cameron, A. C., & Trivedi, P. K. (2010). *Microeconometrics Using STATA* (Revised Edition). Texas, Stata Corp LP. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1475-4932.12006
- Chirikos, T. N. (1993). The Relationship between Health and Labor Market Status. *Annual Reviews of Public Health*, 14, 293-312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.14.1.293
- Currie, J., & Madrian, B. C. (1999). Health, health insurance and the labour market. In O. Ashenfelter, & D. Card (Eds.), *Handbook of Labour Economics* (1st ed., Vol. 3, Chapter 50, pp. 3309-3416). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1573-4463(99)30041-9
- Dixon, S. (2003). *Implications of population ageing for the labour market*. Labour Market Division, Office for National Statistics. Retrieved from http://www.oeaw.ac.at/vid/download/fuernkranz/dixon.pdf
- Dwyer, D. S., & Mitchell, O. S. (1999). Health Problems as Determinants of Retirement: Are Self-Rated Measures Endogenous? *Journal of Health Economics*, 18, 173-193. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0167-6296(98)00034-4
- Greene, W. H. (1997). Econometric Analysis (3rd ed). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Grossman, M. (1972). On the Concept of Health Capital and the Demand for Health. *Journal of Political Economy*, 80, 223-255. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/259880
- Grossman, M. (1999). The Human Capital Model of the Demand for Health. In J. P. Newhouse, & A. J. Culyer (Eds.), *Handbook of Health Economics*. North Holland, Amsterdam.
- Holt, H. (2010a). *Health and Labor Force Participation*. New Zealand Treasury, Working Paper No10/03, New Zealand. Retrieved from http://www.treasury.govt.pz/publications/research.policy/wp/2010/10\_3/twp10\_03\_pdf

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/research-policy/wp/2010/10-3/twp10-03.pdf

- Holt, H. (2010b). *The Cost of Ill Health*. New Zealand Treasury, Working Paper, No10/04, New Zealand. Retrieved from http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/research-policy/wp/2010/10-04/twp10-04.pdf
- Jennifer, R. P., Moonie, S., & Bungum, T. J. (2012). The Impact of Unemployment on Mental and Physical Health, Access to Health Care and Health Risk Behaviors. *ISRN Public Health*, 2012, 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.5402/2012/483432
- Jin, R. L., Shah, C. P., & Svoboda, T. J. (1997). The Impact of Unemployment on Health: A Review of the Evidence. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, *18*(3), 275-301. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3343311
- Kenkel, D. S. (1995). Should You Eat Breakfast? Estimates from Health Production Functions. *Health Economics*, 4, 15-29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hec.4730040103
- Kidd, M., Sloane, P., & Ferko, I. (2000). Disability and Labour Market: An analysis of British Males. Journal of

Health Economics, 19(6), 961-981. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-6296(00)00043-6

- Kreider, B. (1999). Latent Work Disability and Reporting Bias. *The Journal of Human Resources*, 34(4), 734-769. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/146415
- Lavy, V., Palumba, M., & Stern, S. (1995). *Health Care in Jamaica: Quality, Outcomes and Labour Supply*. Living Standards Measurement Study Working Paper 116. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Lechner, M., & Vazquez-Alvarez, R. (2003). *The Effect of Disability on Labour Market Outcomes in Germany: Evidence from Matching*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 967. Retrieved from http://ssrn.com/abstract=487343
- Mathers, C., & Schofield, D. (1998). The Health Consequences of unemployment: The Evidence. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 168, 178-182. Retrieved from http://europepmc.org/med/9507716
- Mushtaq, A., Mohsin, A., & Zaman, K. (2013). Effects of health on changing labor Force Participation in Pakistan. *Springerplus*, 2, 610. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-610
- OECD. (2014). OECD Employment Outlook 2014. Paris: OECD Publishing. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/empl\_outlook-2014-en
- Parsons, D. O. (1982). The Male Labour Force Participation Decision: Health, Reported Health, and Economic Incentives. *Economica*, 49(193), 81-91. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2553527
- Public Health Agency of Turkey. (2013). *Chronic Diseases and Risk Factors Survey in Turkey, Ankara*. Retrieved from http://thsk.saglik.gov.tr/Dosya/kronik\_hastaliklar/tkh-cronic-survey\_en.pdf
- Sickles, R., & Taubman, P. (1986). An Analysis of the Health and Retirement Status of the Elderly. *Econometrica*, 54, 1339-1356. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1914302
- Stern S. (n.d.). Measuring the Effect of Disability on Labour Force Participation. *Journal of Human Resources*, 24(3), 361-395. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/145819
- Strauss, J., & Thomas, D. (1998). Health, Nutrition and Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36(2), 766-817. Retrieved from http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=00220515%28199806%2936%3A2%3C766%3AHNAED%3E2.0.CO%3B2-0
- Turkish Statistical Institute. (2013). Health Survey 2012, Ankara. Retrieved from http://tuik.gov.tr
- Turkish Statistical Institute. (2013). *Income and Living Conditions Survey 2013*. Bilgi Dağıtım ve İletişim Daire Başkanlığı, Ankara.
- Wilson, C. M., & Oswald, A. J. (2005). How does marriage affect physical and psychological health? A Survey of the longitudinal evidence. *IZA Discussion Paper*, 1619. Retreived from http://ssrn.com/abstract=735205
- Wilson, S. H., & Walker, G. M. (1993). Unemployment and Health: A Review. *Public Health*, 107, 153-162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0033-3506(05)80436-6

# Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).