# Kidas Model, Cash Flow, and Size Case of Palestine

Tamer Bahjat Sabri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Computerized Financing and Banking, The College of Business and Economics, Palestine Technical University, Kadoorie, Tulkarm, Palestine

Correspondence: Tamer Bahjat Sabri, Associate Professor, Department of Computerized Financing and Banking, The College of Business and Economics, Palestine Technical University, Kadoorie, Tulkarm, Palestine. E-mail: T.sabri@ptuk.edu.ps

Received: January 16, 2021 Accepted: February 3, 2021 Online Published: March 11, 2021

#### **Abstract**

This paper examines the nature of interaction between Kida's model, the cash flows (operating, investing, financing) and the size. It covers the period between 2013 and 2014 based on annual financial statement of Palestinian listed companies in Palestine Stock Exchange. In order to test the hypotheses of the study, the researcher used independent samples T-test. The results show that we accept all null hypotheses, so Kida's model does not distinguish between high and low cash flow (operating, investing, financing) and the size. Other results show that the model is unable sometimes to predict the failure of companies.

**Keywords:** Kida's model, independent samples t-test, Palestinian listed companies, operating cash flow, investing cash flow

#### 1. Introduction

The failure of companies is one of the hottest topics that guide a lot of writers and researchers to study it, because it may result in negative effects on the national economy, and society as a whole (management, current and prospective investors, banks, creditor, auditors, and government agencies).

And because of its serious impact on the company level and the national economy and even on a global scale, since the failure and the bankruptcy of a number of large international companies like what happen in September 15 2008 when Lehman Brothers filed for bankruptcy (Buckley, 2011), which in turn lead to a financial crisis. There are several Palestinian listed companies that have made losses during the period of the study. so there are fears that some of them fall in the financial problems affecting the Palestinian economy

A company's life cycle is characterized by a number of different stages in which it takes different forms and different directions and each stage is characterized by a range of different objectives

In general, we can say that the financial failure has several aspects, including economic failure which means that the firm's revenue does not cover its total costs. Business failure includes any firm that has terminated operation with a resultant loss to creditors. (Brigham & Gapenski, 1994).

Recent decades have shown how important it is to take advantage of the financial analysis. Using financial ratios is not in the assessment of the previous activity of the enterprises, but also in predicting the extent of the financial efficiency for its future activities and to provide a means for early warning for the firms. (Shaker, et al., 2008). So Many researchers began to find a way by which to predict failure before it occurs, and emerged as a result. Many studies using financial ratios as tools for some models such as Altman and Kida in order to predict the failure before it happens.

After the crisis, it important to predict and know the relation between the financial ratio in the models and the cash flows. The cash flow analysis gains great importance for businesses, because this type of analysis has an important role in the planning and the decision-making process of those facilities. The traditional financial statements including (income and financial position) are prepared in accordance with accrual basis. The financial statements presented by these lists do not reveal, in fact the truth of cash flows for the activities of operating, investing and financing company. The study aims to achieve connectivity between Kida model and the items in the statement of cash flows. Where Kida model relying on the income statement and balance sheet to calculate the ratios.

The study attempts to answer the following questions: Is Kida's model able to predict or to distinguish between the failed and non-failed Palestinian listed companies? Are Palestinian listed companies that have high (operating, investing, financing) cash flows different in Z-score from the companies that have low cash flows? Are Palestinian listed companies that have high assets are different in Z-score from companies that low have assets? Are Palestinian listed companies that have high equity different in Z-score from the companies that low have equity?

The paper includes four sections: The first section contains Literature review that begin with the (Mears, 1966) and end with a study (Shaheen & Mater, 2011). The second section is the methodology and contains the study model, study variables, data and hypotheses of the study. and the third section contains the results of the study and hypothesis testing, and the fourth section conclusioncontains results, study contribution and future prospects.

#### 2. Literature Review

(Mears, 1966) explained the importance of financial ratios to predict the failure of the enterprises. And (Beaver, 1966) explained that financial ratios must be used, but must be taken into account that not all are able to predict in the same degree. (Wilcox 1970), examines the theory of financial ratios to predict the failure of the companies in an attempt to improve the model that was created by William H. Beaver. And (Edmister, 1972) used a number of methods of analysing financial ratios to predict small business failure.

Other articles stressed the importance of models. (Collins, 1972) compares the effectiveness of the distinctive analysis and linear methods to predict the likelihood of bankruptcy. The results reveal that both the bankruptcy forecasting methods offer good predictive results. As well as (Collins, 1980) examine both Altman and the Meyer and Pifer Methods of bankruptcy prediction provide good predictive results. (Shirata, 1998) the researcher proposed in this study a universal model which is independent of industry and size. (Shirata20110 analysed the sentences in financial reports in Japan and extracted key phrases/descriptions to predict bankruptcy. (Bărbuţă-Mişu & Codreanu 2014), used two well-known models: Conan & Holder, and Altman. (Ugurlu and Aksoy2006) show the accuracy of differentiation logarithmic model, which is used in emerging markets when companies are facing great uncertainty and turmoil.

Studies have been applied in many countries (Keener, 2013) has been applied in the United States companies from the retail industry. The results show that small retail firms that have a small number of employees are more likely to fail. Also, companies that have the lowest percentage of cash to current liabilities, lower margins and cash flows, and high debt to capital ratio are more likely to bankruptcy. (Doukas, 1986) applied in Canada. As for the Middle East (Rmo & Alwatar, 2010) aim to find a liable way to predict the failure by applying the model of Altman on a number of Iraqi companies. (Al-hmadani & Al-qtan, 2013) applied their study in Iraq. (Abu Orabi, 2014) used Altman and Sherrod models. The results showed that there was not a great ability for the models to predict the financial failure of Jordanian shareholding companies. (Alawi & Graibh, 2008) applied their study in Jordan. The study aimed to examine the ability of financial ratios to predict defaults on Jordanian companies. (Alkhatib & Al Bzour, 2011) applied their study in Jordan but the study used Altman and Kida models. There was not a great ability for the models to predict the financial failure. This is because the listed companies probably do not use models in financial analysis and credit.

But (Al-Sulaiti & Almwajeh, 2007) used other models. The statistical conclusion of the study shows that both discriminant Analysis and Logistic Regression models are able to predict organizations' financial status and whether they are going to succeed or fail. (Almansour, 2015) Predicted future financial situations of individual corporate entities in Jordan. At the local level there is a study for (Shaheen & Mater ,2011) The study aims to reach the best combination of financial ratios that can be used to predict defaults on banking enterprises in Palestine and to distinguish between distressed and non-distressed.

#### 3. The methodology

## 3.1 The Model

The selected model Kida's Z score Model, which is one of the important models quantity for predicting failure. Kida's Z score Model relied on five financial ratios which include all aspects of operational performance in companies.

The high likelihood of failure by this model when the value shows companies that have a Z-score of > 0.38 are considered as a good sign for being successful. Compared to those which have a Z-score of < 0.38 had potential serious problems and may not be able to continue. This model has proven predictive ability of incidents of failure of 90% a year before it occurs early. All parties and actors regulators to intervene to take appropriate corrective action in a timely manner (Alkhatib & Al Bzour, 2011).

This model represents five separate financial ratios; these are represented in the following formula:

$$Z = 1.042X1 + 0.42X2 + 0.461X3 + 0.463X4 + 0.271X5$$

Where: Z = weighted average of five separate ratios

X1 = net profit after tax / the total assets. This rate measures the overall effectiveness of the company at a profitability through investment.

X2 = total equity/total liabilities this measure comparing the total equity in the company to the total liabilities.

X3 = cash assets/ current liabilities. Cash ratios a liquidity indicator of the company by measuring the amount of cash and cash equivalents or money invested in current assets to cover current liabilities

X4 = Sales / total assets. Measure the efficiency of the company's assets in proportion to the sales.

X5 = cash assets / total assets. compares the dollar amount of highly liquid assets for every one dollar of assets (Shaker et al., 2008).

## 3.2 Variables That Used in Testing the Hypotheses

Operating cash flow (OCF) It measures the amount of cash generated by operations. Operating cash flow is important because it indicates whether the company was able to generate sufficient positive cash flow to maintain and grow its business. Investing cash flow (ICF) it represents Inflows and out flows of cash flows relating to the purchase and sale of investments shows. Financing cash flow (FCF)Resulting from activities such as increase or reduction the capital and repayment of investors, add or change loans or issuing shares. Size equals the total assets of the company. Equity equals total equity to shareholders.

#### 3.3 The Data

Data were collected from Palestine Exchange reports. the study covers the period 2013and 2014estimates annually. And use to analyze the data using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Spass). The study sample equal 42 company, includes five sectors: Service Sector, Insurance Sector, Industry Sector, Investment Sector, Banks and financial service. The sample was divided to multiple categories according to (Operating cash flow, Investing cash flow, Financing cash flow Size, Equity) to test hypotheses.

- 1. Calculation of the value Kida's Z score of two years and then calculating the average for this ratio.
- 2. Sort Companies according to the five variables and arranged from low to high.
- 3. The study sample was divided into two parts so that each groupincludes21 companies. As shown in the appendix.

## 3.4 Hypotheses

H01: There is no difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high operating cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low operating cash flows.

H02: There is no difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high investing cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low investing cash flows.

H03: There is no difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high financing cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low financing cash flows.

H04: There is no difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high total assets and Palestinian listed companies that have low total assets.

H05: There is no difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high total equity and Palestinian listed companies that have low total equity.

#### 3.5 Independent Samples T-Test

To test the hypotheses including the two groups separate from each other, we need to use the independent samples t-test to find the differences between the averages of two groups independent samples

## 4. Results

## 4.1 Descriptive Statistics

In this part will be displayed descriptive statistics such as mean, median, standard deviation, and the highest and lowest value. In table 1 can be seen that the mean of Z value equal 3.3083 which is considered as a good sign for being successful, and the maximum of Z value equal 29.65, this value for Arab Investors ARAB in the Investment Sector.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Z-score value	42	.22	29.65	3.3083	6.27154
Valid N (listwise)	42				

And the minimum value equal 0.22 this value for Arab Real Estate Establishment ARE in the Service Sector. The following table 2 shows calculated Z-score value for Service Sector. The average Z-score value was calculated for 2013and 2014.

Table 2. Z-score value for service sector

Service Sector	Z – score value
Al-Wataniah Towers ABRAJ	7.51
Arab Hotels AHC	0.65
Arab Real Estate Establishment ARE	0.22
Arab Palestinian Shopping Centers PLAZA	1.56
Globalcom Telecommunications GCOM	0.94
Nablus Surgical Center NSC	0.74
Pal Aqar Company For Real Estate Development And Management PALAQAI	0.87
Palestine Telecommunications PALTEL	1.64
Palestine Electric PEC	1.45
The Ramallah Summer Resorts RSR	1.31
Palestinian Company for Distribution & Logis- WASSEL	0.52
Wataniya Palestine Mobile Telecommunications WATANIYA	5.62
The Average of Z – score value	1.92

The Average of Z-score value for this sector equals 1.92The highest value of Z-score for Al-Wataniah Towers ABRAJ and equal 7.51. Companies that have a Z-score of > 0.38 are considered as a good sign for being successful and lowest value for Arab Real Estate Establishment ARE. companies which have a Z-score of < 0.38 had potential serious problems and may not be able to continue. And According to company's data losses have achievedin2013and 2014.

Table 3. Z-score value for insurance sector

Insurance Sector	
Ahleia Insurance Group AIG	0.37
Global United Insurance GUI	0.69
AL-Mashreq Insurance MIC	0.45
National Insurance NIC	0.47
Palestine Insurance PICO	0.29
Al-Takaful Palestinian Insurance TIC	0.69
Trust International Insurance	0.67
The Average of Z – score value	0.52

The Average of Z-score value for this sector equals 0.52. The highest value of Z-score for Global United Insurance GUIAl-Takaful Palestinian Insurance TIC and equal 0.69. companies that have a Z-score of > 0.38 are considered as a good sign for being successful. while lowest value for Palestine Insurance PICOequal0.29 and Ahleia Insurance Group AIG equal 0.37. companies which have a Z-score of < 0.38 had potential serious problems and may not be able to continue.

Table 4. Z-score value for insurance sector

Industry Sector	
Arab Company for Paints Products APC	1.69
Palestine Poultry AZIZA	1.54
Beit Jala Pharmaceutical BJP	1.47
Birzeit Pharmaceuticals BPC	2.06
Al-Shark Electrode ELECTRODE	5.86
Golden Wheat Mills GMC	3.22
Jerusalem Cigarette JCC	1.05
Jerusalem Pharmaceuticals JPH	1.23
Palestine Plastic Industries LADAEN	0.89
National Aluminum & Profile NAPCO	0.84
The National Carton Industry NCI	4.05
Dar Al shifa Pharmaceuticals PHARMACACRE	0.85
The Vegetable Oil Industries VOIC	7.58
The Average of Z – score value	2.49

The Average of Z-score value for this sector equals 2.49. The highest value of Z-score for The Vegetable Oil Industries VOIC equal 7.58. while lowest value for National Aluminum & Profile NAPCO equal 0.84.

Table 5. Z-score value for investment sector

Investment Sector	
Arab Palestinian Investment APIC	1.31
Al-Aqariya Trading Investment	2.31
Arab Investors ARAB	29.65
Jerusalem Real Estate Investment JREI	0.53
Palestine Development & Investment PADICO	0.88
Palestine Investment & Development PID	28.87
Palestine Industrial Investment PIIC	1.74
Palestine Real Estate Investment PRICO	0.89
Union Construction and Investment	9.71
The Average of Z-score value	8.43

The Average of Z-score value for this sector equals 8.43. This is where the highest value in all sectors. The highest value of Z-score for Arab Investors ARAB equal 29.65. while lowest value for Palestine Real Estate Investment PRICO equal 0.53.

Table 6. Z – score value for Banks and financial service

Banks and financial service	
Palestine Securities Exchange PSE	4.07

## 4.2 Normality Test

Normality test was conducted using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk the results in table 7 showed that the data do not follow a normal distribution .so we used non parametric test to test the hypotheses.

Table 7. Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>		Shapiro-Will	Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	Df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Z – score	.325	42	.000	.469	42	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## 4.3 Independent Samples T-Test

To test the hypotheses independent samples T-test (non parametric) has been used. because It was conducted by using the normality test that data do not follow the normal distribution

The table 8 shows that the value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test is not significant of the operating series.

Table 8. The value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U test

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of oprating is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- : th⊛amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.715	Retain the null hypothesis.

So we accepted the null hypothesis to the effect that there was no statistically significant difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high operating cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low operating cash flows.

The table 9 shows that the value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test is not significant at 5% of the investing series.

Table 9. The value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test

	Hypothesis Test Summary							
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision				
1	The distribution of investing is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- th&amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.950	Retain the null hypothesis.				

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

So we accepted the null hypothesis to the effect that there was no statistically significant difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high investing cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low investing cash flows

The table 10 shows that the value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test is not significant of the financing series.

Table 10. The value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U test

	Hypothesis Test Summary						
	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision			
1	The distribution of financing is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- th6amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.669	Retain the null hypothesis.			

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

So we accepted the null hypothesis to the effect that there was no statistically significant difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high financing cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low financing cash flows

The table 11 shows that the value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test is not significant of the assets series.

Table 11. The value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U test

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of assets is the same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- Samples Mann- Whitney U Test	.064	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

So we accepted the null hypothesis to the effect that there was no statistically significant difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high assets cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low assets cash flows

The table 12 shows that the value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U Test is not significant of the equity series

Table 12. The value of independent samples Mann-Whitney U test

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of equity is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- theSamples Mann- Whitney U Test	.615	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05

So we accepted the null hypothesis to the effect that there was no statistically significant difference in Z-score between Palestinian listed companies that have high equity cash flows and Palestinian listed companies that have low equity cash flows.

#### 5. Conclusion

Kida's model depends on income statement, the balance sheet. The income statement shows the result of the business during the financial period, and there is no relationship between these profits and the cash available in the company, but it expresses the difference between the net sales and expenses of the company in accordance with the matching principle based on the accrual basis. On this basis, the number of net income shown by the statement of income does not express the real value of the net cash flow, which is acquired by the company during the accounting period, and the financial position which is reflected in the balance sheet of the company at the end of the accounting period is not reflect the cash Position at the end of the period into account the size, whether the size of the assets or property

This is confirmed by the results of the study with regard to the relationship between the cash flow and Kida's model. It has been observed that some of the companies achieved a value higher than 0.38 in the z score but they have losses in 2013 and 2014. And vice versa companies had achieved less than 0.38 and achieved a profit during the study period.

The results also show that we accept all null hypotheses, so Kida's model does not distinguish between high and low cash flow and the size. This is in line with (Beaver, 1966) it is noted that not all ratios predict failed and non-failed firms with the same degree of success. According to (Alkhatib & Al Bzour, 2011) this is because companies may not be using such models in their financial and credit analyses.

What distinguishes the study from previous studies is: this study attempts to answer are Palestinian listed companies that have high (operating, investing, financing) cash flows different in Z-score from the companies that have low cash flows? Are Palestinian listed companies that have high assets are different in Z-score from companies that low have assets? Are Palestinian listed companies that have high equity different in Z-score from the companies that low have equity? These goals, according to the researcher's knowledge, have not been discussed before, either by Palestinian researchers or in other countries limitations and problems of the research the failure of some companies to disclose all financial data, as some departments conceal some information or mislead some of them for purposes that serve their interests or satisfy shareholders. In light of the results of the study, it may be appropriate to use the Kida Model in forecasting financial failure, as it has proven successful, as an indicator to predict financial failure. Conducting more research on the Kida model, as it needs to adjust the number of financial ratios used in it.

#### Acknowledgements

The researcher wants to thank Palestine Technical University-Kadoorie for its constant moral and financial support for this research as well as scientific research in general.

#### References

- Alawi & Graibh. (2008). Predict Defaults On Companies Using Multi-Directional Measurement: An Empirical Study on the Services Sector in Jorda Studies. *Management Science*, *35*, 377-397.
- Al-Hmadani & Al-Qtan. (2013). Using Model Sherrod to Measure the Financial Failure of a Public Company for the Manufacture of Medicines and Medical Supplies in Nineveh. *Journal of Anhar University Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 5(10), 449-473. https://www.iasj.net/iasj/article/76478
- Alkhatib & Al Bzour. (2011). Predicting Corporate Bankruptcy of Jordanian Listed Companies: Using Altman and Kida Models. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(3).
- Abu, O. (2014). Empirical Tests on Financial Failure Prediction Models Interdisciplinary. *Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 5(9), 29-43. Retrieved from https://journal-archieves36.webs.com/29-43jan14.pdf
- Almansour. (2015). Empirical Model for Predicting Financial Failure. *American Journal of Economics, Finance and Management, 1*(3), 113-124. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331166366 Empirical Model for Predicting Financial Failure
- Al-Sulaiti, & Omar (2007). Applying Altman Z-Score Model of Bankruptcy on Service Organizations and its implications on marketing concepts and strategies. *Journal of International Marketing & Marketing Research*, 32(2), 59-74. Retrieved from https://www.econbiz.de/Record/applying-altman-z-score-model-of-bankruptcy-on-service-organizations-an d-its-implications-on-marketing-concepts-and-strategies-sulaiti-khalid/10003477405
- Adrian, B. (2011). Financial crisis causes con text and consequences. Prentice Hall. Retrieved from https://books.google.ps/books/about/Financial\_Crisis.html?id=REWYSQAACAAJ&redir\_esc=y
- Beaver. (1966). Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failure Empirical Research in Accounting: Selected Studies (pp. 71-111). Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/2490171?seq=1
- Bărbuță-Mişu, & Codreanu. (2014). Analysis and Prediction of the Bankruptcy Risk in Romanian Building Sector Companies. *Ekonomika*, 93, 131-146. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320269392\_Analysis\_and\_Prediction\_of\_the\_Bankruptcy\_Risk\_in\_Romanian\_Building\_Sector\_Companies
- Brigham & Gapenski. (1994). *Financial management theory and practice* (7th ed.). Retrieved from https://www.amazon.com/Study-guide-financial-management-practice/dp/0030980674
- Collins. (1972). An Empirical Prediction on Bankruptcy Prediction Models. *Financial Management*, 9(2), 52-57. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/3665168?seq=1
- Collins. (1980). An Empirical Comparison of Bankruptcy Prediction Models. Financial Management, 52-57.
- Retrieved from https://scholar.google.com/scholar?q=An+Empirical+Comparison+Of+Bankruptcy+Prediction+Models+Fi nancial+Management&hl=en&as sdt=0&as vis=1&oi=scholart
- Doukas. (1986). Bankers versus bankruptcy prediction models: An empirical investigation, 1979–1982. *Applied Economics*, 18(5), 479-493. Retrieved from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00036848608537443
- Edmister. (1972). An Empirical Test of Financial Ratio Analysis for Small Business Failure Prediction. *Journal of Finance*, 27(1), 139-140.
- Keener. (2013). Predicting The Financial Failure of Retail Companies In The United States. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 11(8), 373-380. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/268112629.pdf
- Mears. (1966). Discussion of Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 4(3), 119-122.
- Mine & Hakan. (2006). Prediction of corporate financial distress in an emerging market: the case of Turkey.

Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal, 13(4), 277-295.

Rmo & Alwatar. (2010). The Development of the Use of Financial Analysis Methods to Predict the Failure of Industrial Public Companies: Study on a sample of industrial Iraqi public companies listed on the Iraq Stock Exchange.

Shirata. (1998). Financial Ratios as Predictors of Bankruptcy in Japan: An Empirical Research. Retrieved from http://www.apira2013.org/past/apira1998/archives/pdfs/31.pdf

Shaheen & Mate. (2011). A Proposed Model for Predicting Failures of Banking Institutions in Palestine -Empirical Study. *Journal of Najah University Research (Humanities)*, 25(4), 850-878.

Shaker, I. N. (2008). Financial Analysis entrance to the decision-making (2nd ed.).

Shirata. (2011). Extracting Key Phrases as Predictors of Corporate Bankruptcy: Empirical Analysis of Annual Reports by Text Mining. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 8, 31-44.

Wilcox. (1971). A Simple Theory of Financial Ratios as Predictors of Failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, Autumn, 389-395.

#### Appendix

companies that have low operating cash flows	Z
-2548710.5	0.37
-1322147	0.29
-1042503.5	1.05
-754397	0.47
-665562.5	0.52
-398155	2.31
-289200.5	0.65
-169846	0.94
-129440.5	0.22
-115754	28.87
-110040	4.07
-88641.5	7.58
-75811	0.53
12060	29.65
87220	0.89
88815.5	5.86
102744	1.31
249342	0.87
294570	7.51
381075	0.84
527970	4.05
companies that have high operating cash flows	Z
552722	3.22
666155	1.69
811876	1.47
932944	0.74
1221528	1.56
1387357	0.85
1461041.5	0.45
2091393.5	0.69
2200626	9.71
2289155	0.69
2456794	1.23
2712289.5	0.67
3021481	2.06
3909781.5	0.89
3929940.5	1.74

4124421.5	1.54
4285500	0.88
10105736.5	1.45
13538653.5	1.31
20215040	5.62
144054500	1.64

companies that have low investing cash flows	Z
-107194000	1.64
-18631080.5	5.62
-9811666	0.89
-9493460.5	1.31
-3688760.5	0.85
-2372466	0.69
-2142116	0.67
-2068662	2.06
-1945258.5	9.71
-1592404	0.69
-1174707.5	1.56
-1086510	1.23
-1002032.5	0.45
-848154	1.54
-595153.5	0.84
-431265	1.74
-238519.5	1.47
-224900	4.05
-145159	28.87
-140154	0.29
-137176.5	0.74
companies that have high investing cash flows	Z
-130087.5	1.31
-53066	1.69
-52303	0.89
-39723.5	0.65
-34281	0.94
-24910	29.65
-13093.5	5.86
-12475.5	0.87
81410.5	0.22
82612.5	7.51
114395	0.52
159314	2.31
173067	0.53
225969.5	4.07
293085	1.05
386137.5	3.22
411440	1.45
1211347.5	0.47
2160968	7.58
3077585.5	0.37
9598500	0.88

companies that have low financing cash flows	z
-43909500	1.64
-16974500	0.88

-8852115.5	5.62
-7637968.5	1.45
-2966463	1.74
-2936948	1.54
-2067847.5	0.47
-1539803	7.58
-1125000	0.67
-1062113	3.22
-583535	2.06
-505221	0.69
-487500	1.69
-468381.5	1.05
-404655	7.51
-296461.5	0.45
-277553	1.47
-247393.5	4.05
-239483.5	1.23
-230147	0.53
-165707	0.37
companies that have high financing cash flows	Z
-139082	0.87
-97150	5.86
-45714	0.89
-15116	1.31
-10850.5	1.56
-8290.5	0.22
-7606	0.94
0	2.31
0	29.65
0	0.29
0	28.87
0	4.07
0	9.71
79445.5	0.74
165218	1.31
268444.5	0.84
329057	0.65
396122	0.52
624785.5	0.69
1729865	0.85
3709249	0.89

companies that have low total assets	Z
2660932.5	0.22
2918114.5	0.89
3059255.5	28.87
3092981	0.87
3398008	5.86
4289887	1.69
4633730.5	0.94
4684383	2.31
6450269	4.05
9265181.5	29.65
9702215	0.74
10400972	1.47

11423726.5	4.07
13124599.5	7.51
13676072.5	1.56
14195435.5	1.31
14893278.5	0.53
17876279.5	0.52
18441010.5	3.22
20106629	7.58
22247482.5	0.84
companies that have high total assets	Z
22565080.5	0.45
25593951.5	0.69
31390082	0.65
32468305	0.29
33062237.5	1.54
40432951.5	0.69
41629851	1.05
41826689.5	9.71
44959761	0.85
48375366.5	0.37
48628925	1.74
53435842.5	1.23
67258476	2.06
76956330	0.47
89500458	0.67
110769979.5	1.45
117265700	0.89
244061121.5	1.31
273971152	5.62
708458500	1.64
818542500	0.88

companies that have low total equity	Z
623900.5	0.22
1865446.5	0.87
1995709	0.89
2956347.5	1.69
3008666	28.87
3149891.5	5.86
3346083	0.94
3503190.5	0.45
3932970	2.31
4759177.5	0.29
4822299.5	0.74
5692813.5	4.05
5711418	0.52
7284944.5	1.47
8794464.5	0.53
9066553.5	29.65
9174781.5	1.56
10093486.5	0.84
10207275	4.07
10475945.5	0.69
10578504	1.31
companies that have high total equity	z

10693528.5       0.69         12401216       7.51         15691903       0.37         16094367       3.22         18245100       0.65         18490269.5       1.05         18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64         527870000       0.88		
15691903       0.37         16094367       3.22         18245100       0.65         18490269.5       1.05         18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	10693528.5	0.69
16094367       3.22         18245100       0.65         18490269.5       1.05         18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	12401216	7.51
18245100       0.65         18490269.5       1.05         18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	15691903	0.37
18490269.5       1.05         18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	16094367	3.22
18819765       7.58         23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	18245100	0.65
23339267       1.54         24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	18490269.5	1.05
24759620       0.85         27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	18819765	7.58
27695579.5       0.47         31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	23339267	1.54
31745412.5       0.67         35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	24759620	0.85
35813789.5       1.23         36819701       1.74         39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	27695579.5	0.47
36819701     1.74       39875106.5     9.71       52589639     2.06       78023589.5     0.89       78561783.5     1.45       83103195.5     5.62       98457961.5     1.31       512513000     1.64	31745412.5	0.67
39875106.5       9.71         52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	35813789.5	1.23
52589639       2.06         78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	36819701	1.74
78023589.5       0.89         78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	39875106.5	9.71
78561783.5       1.45         83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	52589639	2.06
83103195.5       5.62         98457961.5       1.31         512513000       1.64	78023589.5	0.89
98457961.5     1.31       512513000     1.64	78561783.5	1.45
512513000 1.64	83103195.5	5.62
	98457961.5	1.31
527870000 0.88	512513000	1.64
	527870000	0.88

## Explore

Case Process	ing Summary					
	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Oprating	42	93.3%	3	6.7%	45	100.0%

Descriptives	:			
			Statistic	Std. Error
Oprating	Mean		3.3083	.96772
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	1.3540	
		Upper Bound	5.2627	
	5% Trimmed Mean		2.0876	
	Median		1.2700	
	Variance		39.332	
	Std. Deviation		6.27154	
	Minimum		.22	
	Maximum		29.65	
	Range		29.43	
	Interquartile Range		1.85	
	Skewness		3.632	.365
	Kurtosis		13.412	.717

Kolmogorov	-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>		Shapiro-Will	ζ.	
Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Oprating .325	42	.000	.469	42	.000

## Oprating

<sup>\*</sup>Nonparametric Tests: Independent Samples.

## **NPTESTS**

/INDEPENDENT TEST (oprating) GROUP (VAR00002)

/MISSING SCOPE=ANALYSIS USERMISSING=EXCLUDE

/CRITERIA ALPHA=0.05 CILEVEL=95

#### Nonparametric Tests

#### **Hypothesis Test Summary**

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of oprating is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- th⊗amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.715	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

#### **NPTESTS**

/INDEPENDENT TEST (investing) GROUP (VAR00002)
/MISSING SCOPE=ANALYSIS USERMISSING=EXCLUDE

/CRITERIA ALPHA=0.05 CILEVEL=95.

## Nonparametric Tests

#### Hypothesis Test Summary

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of investing is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- s th∂amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.950	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

#### **NPTESTS**

/INDEPENDENT TEST (financing) GROUP (VAR00002)

/MISSING SCOPE=ANALYSIS USERMISSING=EXCLUDE

/CRITERIA ALPHA=0.05 CILEVEL=95.

## Nonparametric Tests

#### **Hypothesis Test Summary**

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of financing is same across categories of VAR00002.	Independents s th@amples Mann- Whitney U Test	.669	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

NPTESTS

/INDEPENDENT TEST (assets) GROUP (VAR00002)

/MISSING SCOPE=ANALYSIS USERMISSING=EXCLUDE

/CRITERIA ALPHA=0.05 CILEVEL=95.

Nonparametric Tests

<sup>\*</sup>Nonparametric Tests: Independent Samples.

<sup>\*</sup>Nonparametric Tests: Independent Samples.

<sup>\*</sup>Nonparametric Tests: Independent Samples.

#### **Hypothesis Test Summary**

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of assets is the same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- Samples Mann- Whitney U Test	.064	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05.

NPTESTS

/INDEPENDENT TEST (equity) GROUP (VAR00002)

/MISSING SCOPE=ANALYSIS USERMISSING=EXCLUDE

/CRITERIA ALPHA=0.05 CILEVEL=95.

## Nonparametric Tests

#### **Hypothesis Test Summary**

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig.	Decision
1	The distribution of equity is t same across categories of VAR00002.	Independent- theSamples Mann- Whitney U Test	.615	Retain the null hypothesis.

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05

## Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Nonparametric Tests: Independent Samples.