Hypercholesterolemia Prevalence, Awareness, Treatment and Control Among Adults in Malaysia: The 2015 National Health and Morbidity Survey, Malaysia

Halizah Mat Rifin¹, Tania Gayle Robert Lourdes¹, Nur Liana Ab Majid¹, Hamizatul Akmal Abd Hamid¹, Wan Shakira Rodzlan Hasani¹, Miaw Yn Ling¹, Thamil Arasu Saminathan¹, Hasimah Ismail¹, Muhammad Fadhli Mohd Yusoff¹ & Mohd. Azahadi Omar¹

¹ Institute for Public Health, National Institute of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Jalan Bangsar, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence: Halizah Mat Rifin, Institute for Public Health, National Institute of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Jalan Bangsar, 50590 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Tel: 603-22-979-428. E-mail: halizah.matrifin@moh.gov.my

| Received: March 28, 2018 | Accepted: May 5, 2018 | Online Published: May 25, 2018 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| doi:10.5539/gjhs.v10n7p11 | URL: https://doi. | org/10.5539/gjhs.v10n7p11 |

Abstract

Background & Objective: Dyslipidaemia is one of the main modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Therefore, it is crucial to examine the prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia and its associated factors among adults in Malaysia.

Methods: We used data from 19,935 respondents aged 18 years and above who responded to the cholesterol module in the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2015. The survey employed a two-stage stratified sampling to select a representative sample of Malaysian adults. Descriptive statistics and multivariate logistic regression were used to analyse the data.

Results: The overall prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was 47.7%. Among those who were diagnosed to have hypercholesterolemia, only 19.2% were aware of their hypercholesterolemia status. Only a mere 12.7% (95% CI: 12.4 -13.1) among those who were aware were on treatment and out of which only 53.7% (95% CI: 50.1-57.2) had their cholesterol levels controlled. The prevalence of hypercholesterolemia was associated with gender, age, ethnicity, education level, occupation, marital status, obesity, hypertension and diabetes. Awareness and treatment of hypercholesterolemia saw a similar pattern (except for gender and locality). For control of hypercholesterolemia, the female gender and secondary education levels were the only significant associated factors.

Conclusion: The overall high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in addition to poor awareness, treatment and control are significant public health problems. Intensified health campaigns and programmes especially among high-risk groups should be implemented in order to reduce or prevent complications of hypercholesterolemia in the near future.

Keywords: adults, associated factors, dyslipidemia, hypercholesterolemia, NHMS

1. Introduction

Among all Non-Communicable Diseases (NCDs), cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been identified as the leading cause of death and disability among adults worldwide. The World Health Organization (WHO) data reveals that in the year 2012, almost half (46.2%) of NCD deaths was due to CVD (World Health Organization [WHO], 2014).

Dyslipidaemia is one of the main modifiable risk factors for CVD, apart from hypertension, hyperglycaemia, obesity, and life style factors, such as physical inactivity, smoking, excessive alcohol consumption and unhealthy dietary behaviour (Carlsson, Wändell, Gigante, Leander, Hellenius, & Faire, 2013). As a common type of dyslipidaemia, the WHO Global Health Observatory Data 2008 estimated the global prevalence of hypercholesterolemia among adults to be 39%, with South East Asia recording a prevalence of 29% (World Health Organization [WHO], n. d.).

Similarly, CVD was also the main cause of death in Malaysia from 2005 to 2014 (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2016). In terms of hypercholesterolemia, the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2011

reported the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia to be 35.1% among adults 18 years and above (Institute for Public Health [IPH], 2008). In the preceding NHMS 2006 survey, the rate was 20.6%; i.e., an increase of 14.5% over a 5-year period (IPH, 2008). Several studies have shown hypercholesterolemia in Malaysia to be associated with increasing age (Wang, Xu, Jonas, You, & Wang, 2011), female gender (Roh et al., 2013; Ford, Li, Pearson, Zhao, & Mokdad, 2010), urban residence (Joshi, et al., 2014), Malay (Ahmad Faris & Ahmad Syaarani, 2008; Zaraihan, Azman, & Tariq, 1994) and Indian ethnic groups (Zaraihan , Azman , & Tariq, 1994), and lower educational levels (Ahmad Faris & Ahmad Syaarani, 2008). Other factors include bigger body size, hypertension, diabetes and smoking as stated by Ni et al. (2015).

Due to this increasing trend of hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia over the past five years, it is essential for us to identify and analyse current data available on this disease. Thus, this paper discusses the prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia in Malaysia using the latest available data. This in turn would allow us to get a comprehensive overview of the real situation of hypercholesterolemia in our country to curb its increasing prevalence.

2. Methods

2.1 Sample and Sampling

We analysed data from the National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2015 for this study. The NHMS is a series of cross-sectional, population-based surveys conducted by the Institute for Public Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia. The 2015 survey employed a two-stage stratified sampling to select a representative sample of the population in Malaysia. The primary stratum comprised all the states in Malaysia, including federal territories; and the secondary stratum comprised urban and rural localities within each primary stratum. The sampling frame was provided by the Department of Statistics Malaysia based on the population size of the gazetted area in the year 2014. The Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) were Enumeration Blocks (EBs). A total of 869 EBs, comprising of 536 urban EBs and 333 rural EBs were randomly selected from all EBs in Malaysia via a probability proportionate-to-size (PPS) sampling technique. Subsequently, 12 Living Quarters (LQs) or Secondary Sampling Units (SSUs) were randomly picked from each selected EB. Finally, all households within each selected LQ were included, and all eligible members in each household were recruited as respondents in the survey. Respondents who were 18 years and above and able to understand the Malay language or the English language were eligible to take part in this survey.

2.2 Data Collection Tools

A validated questionnaire which included socio-demographic information of the respondent was used as a tool to collect the data required for this survey. Cholesterol (mmol/L) and blood glucose (mmol/L) levels were measured via a finger prick method using CardioChek® PA. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured while the respondent was in a sitting position in mmHg using Omron Digital Automated Blood Pressure Monitor Model HEM-907. Height was measured in centimetres using Seca 206 Bodymeter and weight was measured in kilograms using a digital weighing machine (TANITA HD-319).

2.3 Variable Definitions

Hypercholesterolemia was defined based on NCEP ATP III (2002) as total cholesterol (TC) \geq of 5.2 mmol/L. For respondents with hypercholesterolemia, awareness was defined as 'Yes' if they had been informed previously by medical personnel that they had high cholesterol. Treatment for hypercholesterolemia was defined as 'Yes' if they were currently receiving medication from a doctor in the past 2 weeks for high cholesterol. Controlled hypercholesterolemia was defined as having a desirable blood cholesterol level at the time of the survey among respondents who were on treatment. Desirable cholesterol level was defined as < 5.2 mmol/L. Respondents were considered hypertensive if their average reading was \geq 140 mmHg for systolic blood pressure and/or \geq 90 mmHg for diastolic blood pressure or if they have been told by medical personnel that they have hypertension according to the Seventh Annual Report of the Joint National Committee (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure and National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee, 2003). Obesity was defined as having a Body Mass Index of 30 kg/m2 and above (World Health Organization [WHO], 2017). Diabetes was defined as having a fasting capillary blood glucose level of 6.1 mmol/L and above, or if medical personnel have told respondents that, they have diabetes (American Diabetes Association [ADA], 2000).

2.5 Data Analysis

SPSS version 19.0 (IBM Corp, 2010) with add-on complex sample analysis was used to analyse the data after adjustment for stratification using post-stratification weight. Descriptive statistics was used to illustrate the

prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia by sociodemographic variables. Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate the effect of each variable on the prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia after controlling for potential confounders. Results of logistic regression were expressed as adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI). A two-sided p value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

There are 4 models in this analysis. Model 1 (1=Hypercholesterolemia, 0=No cholesterol), Model 2 (1=Aware of hypercholesterolemia, 0=unaware of hypercholesterolemia), Model 3 (1=On treatment, 0=not treated), Model 4 (1=Controlled, 0=Not under controlled).

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of the Respondents

A total of 19,935 respondents responded to the cholesterol module in this study with a response rate of 99.9%. Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents. The majority of the respondents were Malays (61.9%). Females (52.4%) and males (47.6%) had an almost equal proportion. Similarly, urban (57.7%) and rural (42.3%) dwellers also had an almost even proportion. Those aged between 18-39 years old made up the largest proportion of respondents (44.7%). Overall, 69.3% were married, 46.4% had secondary education and 60.9% were employed.

3.2 Prevalence of Hypercholesterolemia, Awareness, Treatment and Control

Table 2 shows the overall prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia by gender, age group, locality, ethnic group, educational level, employment status, marital status, obesity status, hypertension status and diabetic status.

The overall prevalence of hypercholesterolemia among Malaysian adults was 47.7% (95% CI: 46.5-49.0). There was a significant association between hypercholesterolemia and other independent variables except for locality. (Table 2a)

Among those who were diagnosed to have hypercholesterolemia, only 19.2% (95% CI: 18.0-20.5) were aware of their hypercholesterolemia status. (Table 2b). Only a mere 12.7% (95% CI: 12.4-13.1) were on treatment (Table 2c) and out of which 53.7% (95% CI: 50.1-57.2) had their cholesterol levels controlled. (Table 2d)

For awareness and treatment, there was an association with other independent variables except for gender. (Table 2b and Table 2c). Factors associated with receiving treatment among those with controlled hypercholesterolemia were gender and ethnic groups. (Table 2d)

3.3 Factors Predictive of Hypercholesterolemia

Based on logistic regression, the factors significantly associated with the higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia were female gender, age group 40 years and above, ethnic groups (Malays, Chinese, and Indians), marital status (married, widowed/divorced), those who were obese, hypertensive and diabetics. (Table 3)

Factors significantly associated with the higher awareness rate of hypercholesterolemia were older age groups, urban residents, ethnic groups (Other Bumiputera, Indian, Chinese, Malays), married, obese, hypertensive and diabetics. (Table 3)

For treatment rate, factors that were significantly associated with higher treatment rates were older age groups, urban residents, ethnic groups (Other Bumiputeras, Indians, Chinese, and Malays), those who were unemployed, married, obese, hypertensive and diabetics. (Table 3)

For control rates, female gender and secondary education levels were the only significant factors.

Females had 0.42 times lower control of cholesterol level compared to males and those with secondary education had 0.66 times lower control of the cholesterol level compared to those with tertiary education.

| Sociodemographic characteristics | Ν | (%) |
|----------------------------------|-------|------|
| Gender: | | |
| Male | 9842 | 47.6 |
| Female | 10453 | 52.4 |
| Age group: | | |
| 18 – 39 | 8906 | 44.7 |
| 40 - 59 | 7239 | 36.3 |
| 60 & above | 3790 | 19.0 |
| Residence: | | |
| Urban | 11504 | 57.7 |
| Rural | 8431 | 42.3 |
| Ethnic groups: | | |
| Malays | 12345 | 61.9 |
| Chinese | 3193 | 16.0 |
| Indians | 1410 | 7.1 |
| Other bumiputeras | 1750 | 8.8 |
| Others | 1237 | 6.2 |
| Educational level: | | |
| No formal education | 1370 | 7.0 |
| Primary education | 4781 | 24.3 |
| Secondary education | 9147 | 46.4 |
| Tertiary education | 4397 | 22.3 |
| Marital status: | | |
| Single | 4191 | 21.0 |
| Married | 13805 | 69.3 |
| Widowed/divorced | 1939 | 9.7 |
| Employment status: | | |
| Employed | 12139 | 60.9 |
| Unemployed | 7794 | 39.1 |

Table 1. Sociodemographics of Respondents (n=19,935)

| | Prevalence | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
| | % (95% CI) | p-value | | |
| Overall (National) | 47.7 (46.5,49.0) | | | |
| Gender: | | | | |
| Male | 43.5 (42.0,45.1) | < 0.001 | | |
| Female | 52.2 (50.6,53.8) | | | |
| Age group: | | | | |
| 18 – 39 | 36.2 (34.7,37.8) | < 0.001 | | |
| 40 - 59 | 62.3 (60.5,64.0) | | | |
| 60 & above | 62.4 (60.1,64.6) | | | |
| Residence: | | | | |
| Urban | 47.7 (46.3,49.2) | 0.946 | | |
| Rural | 47.7 (45.6,49.8) | | | |
| Ethnic groups: | | | | |
| Malays | 50.1 (48.7,51.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Chinese | 47.5 (44.7,50.3) | | | |
| Indians | 50.1 (46.2,53.9) | | | |
| Other bumiputeras | 45.4 (42.0,48.8) | | | |
| Others | 37.4 (33.5,41.3) | | | |
| Educational level: | | | | |
| No formal education | 51.3 (47.0,55.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Primary education | 55.5 (53.3,57.6) | | | |
| Secondary education | 46.4 (44.8,48.1) | | | |
| Tertiary education | 44.2 (42.1.46.3) | | | |
| Employment status: | | | | |
| Employed | 46.1 (44.6,47.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Unemployed | 50.9 (49.2,52.6) | | | |
| Marital status: | | | | |
| Single | 32.7 (30.6,34.8) | < 0.001 | | |
| Married | 52.6 (51.2,54.0) | | | |
| Widowed/divorce | 62.4 (59.3,65.5) | | | |
| Obesity: | | | | |
| Non-Obese | 47.9 (46.5,49.3) | < 0.001 | | |
| Obese | 59.6 (57.3,61.9) | | | |
| Hypertension: | | | | |
| No | 40.5 (39.1,41.9) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 64.4 (62.7,66.0) | | | |
| Diabetes Mellitus: | | | | |
| No | 44.0 (42.6,45.3) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 65.5 (63.2,67.7) | | | |

Table 2a. Prevalence of hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia

| | Awareness | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
| | % (95% CI) | p-value | | |
| Overall (National) | 19.2 (18.0,20.5) | - | | |
| Gender: | | | | |
| Male | 19.6 (17.9,21.5) | 0.398 | | |
| Female | 18.8 (17.5,20.2) | | | |
| Age group: | | | | |
| 18 – 39 | 7.6 (6.5,9.0) | < 0.001 | | |
| 40 - 59 | 23.2 (21.4.25.1) | | | |
| 60 & above | 38.5 (35.6,41.6) | | | |
| Residence: | | | | |
| Urban | 20.5 (19.0,22.0) | < 0.001 | | |
| Rural | 15.1 (13.5,16.8) | | | |
| Ethnic groups: | | | | |
| Malays | 17.5 (16.1,19.0) | < 0.001 | | |
| Chinese | 23.3 (20.5,26.3) | | | |
| Indians | 24.5 (20.2,29.4) | | | |
| Other bumiputeras | 24.9 (20.5,30.0) | | | |
| Others | 5.9 (3.8,8.8) | | | |
| Educational level: | | | | |
| No formal education | 25.1 (20.4,30.5) | < 0.001 | | |
| Primary education | 24.5 (22.3,26.9) | | | |
| Secondary education | 15.7 (14.3,17.2) | | | |
| Tertiary education | 19.4 (17.1,22.0) | | | |
| Employment status: | | | | |
| Employed | 16.0 (14.7,17.5) | < 0.001 | | |
| Unemployed | | | | |
| Marital status: | | | | |
| Single | 8.0 (6.5,9.9) | < 0.001 | | |
| Married | 21.1 (19.7,22.6) | | | |
| Widowed/divorce | 27.8 (24.5,31.3) | | | |
| Obesity: | | | | |
| Non-Obese | 17.2 (15.9,18.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Obese | 23.1 (20.9,25.4) | | | |
| Hypertension: | | | | |
| No | 10.6 (9.5,11.8) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 31.7 (29.6,33.8) | | | |
| Diabetes Mellitus: | | | | |
| No | 13.7 (12.6,14.9) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 36.6 (33.7,39.5) | | | |

Table 2b. Awareness of hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia

| | Treatment | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
| | % (95% CI) | p-value | | |
| Overall (National) | 12.7 (12.4,13.1) | - | | |
| Gender: | | | | |
| Male | 13.1 (11.8,14.5) | 0.390 | | |
| Female | 12.4 (11.3,13.6) | | | |
| Age group: | | | | |
| 18 – 39 | 2.3 (1.8,3.1) | < 0.001 | | |
| 40 - 59 | 14.9 (13.6,16.4) | | | |
| 60 & above | 33.6 (30.8.36.6) | | | |
| Residence: | | | | |
| Urban | 13.4 (12.3,14.6) | 0.003 | | |
| Rural | 10.6 (9.3,12.0) | | | |
| Ethnic groups: | | | | |
| Malays | 11.9 (10.9,13.1) | < 0.001 | | |
| Chinese | 15.4 (13.2,17.9) | | | |
| Indians | 18.7 (15.1,23.0) | | | |
| Other bumiputeras | 14.7 (11.0,19.3) | | | |
| Others | 2.1 (1.1,3.9) | | | |
| Educational level: | | | | |
| No formal education | 22.4 (17.9,27.7) | < 0.001 | | |
| Primary education | 19.6 (17.6,21.7) | | | |
| Secondary education | 10.6 (9.5,11.8) | | | |
| Tertiary education | 8.0 (6.5,9.6) | | | |
| Employment status: | | | | |
| Employed | 8.4 (7.5,9.3) | < 0.001 | | |
| Unemployed | 20.5 (18.8,22.2) | | | |
| Marital status: | | | | |
| Single | 3.3 (2.3,4.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Married | 14.0 (12.9.15.2) | | | |
| Widowed/divorce | 22.6 (19.6,26.0) | | | |
| Obesity: | | | | |
| Non-Obese | 10.9 (10.0,11.9) | < 0.001 | | |
| Obese | 16.9 (15.0,19.1) | | | |
| Hypertension: | | | | |
| No | 4.6 (3.9,5.3) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 24.6 (22.8,26.5) | | | |
| Diabetes Mellitus: | | | | |
| No | 7.5 (6.8,8.3) | < 0.001 | | |
| Yes | 29.3 (26.7,32.1) | | | |

Table 2c. Treatment of hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia

| | Controlled | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|
| | % (95% CI) | p-value | | |
| Overall (National) | 53.7 (50.1,57.2) | - | | |
| Gender: | | | | |
| Male | 63.9 (58.9,68.6) | < 0.001 | | |
| Female | 44.1 (39.7,48.6) | | | |
| Age group: | | | | |
| 18 – 39 | 61.7 (47.4,74.2) | 0.114 | | |
| 40 – 59 | 50.1 (45.4,54.8) | | | |
| 60 & above | 56.1 (51.3,60.9) | | | |
| Residence: | | | | |
| Urban | 55.0 (50.7,59.1) | 0.068 | | |
| Rural | 48.5 (43.0,54.0) | | | |
| Ethnic groups: | | | | |
| Malays | 48.7 (44.2,53.1) | 0.002 | | |
| Chinese | 58.5 (51.5,65.2) | | | |
| Indians | 47.7 (37.4,58.3) | | | |
| Other bumiputeras | 68.5 (59.5,76.4) | | | |
| Others | 48.0 (21.7,75.5) | | | |
| Educational level: | | | | |
| No formal education | 52.4 (42.8,61.8) | 0.075 | | |
| Primary education | 54.1 (48.6,59.5) | | | |
| Secondary education | 49.8 (44.4,55.2) | | | |
| Tertiary education | 63.0 (54.0,71.2) | | | |
| Employment status: | | | | |
| Employed | 56.2 (50.8,61.4) | 0.197 | | |
| Unemployed | 51.9 (47.5,56.2) | | | |
| Marital status: | | | | |
| Single | 62.9 (45.3,77.6) | 0.416 | | |
| Married | 53.8 (49.8,57.8) | | | |
| Widowed/divorce | 50.2 (41.6,58.8) | | | |
| Obesity: | | | | |
| Non-Obese | 51.6 (47.5,55.7) | 0.444 | | |
| Obese | 54.4 (48.1,60.6) | | | |
| Hypertension: | | | | |
| No | 58.5 (50.9,65.6) | 0.122 | | |
| Yes | 52.4 (48.8,56.0) | | | |
| Diabetes Mellitus: | | | | |
| No | 53.3 (48.0,58.6) | 0.859 | | |
| Yes | 54.0 (49.3,58.5) | | | |

Table 2d. Control of hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia

| | Prevalence | | Awareness | | Treatment | | Controlled | |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| | aOR (95% CI) | p-value | aOR (95% CI) | p-value | aOR (95% CI) | p-value | aOR (95% CI) | p-value |
| Gender: | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Female | 1.55 (1.40,1.71) | < 0.001* | 0.95 (0.84,1.07) | 0.389 | 0.94 (0.82,1.08) | 0.390 | 0.42 (0.30,0.59) | <0.001* |
| Age group: | | | | | | | | |
| 18 – 39 | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| 40 - 59 | 1.99 (1.80,2.21) | < 0.001* | 2.70 (2.14,3.41) | < 0.001* | 4.07 (2.89,5.73) | <0.001* | 1.03 (0.49,2.16) | 0.930 |
| 60 & above | 1.78 (1.51,2.09) | < 0.001* | 4.98 (3.73.6.65) | < 0.001* | 8.30 (5.72,12.1) | <0.001* | 1.24 (0.57,2.72) | 0.586 |
| Residence: | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | 1.00 (0.90, 1.11) | 0.946 | 1.55 (1.28,1.86) | <0.001* | 1.58 (1.28,1.95) | <0.001* | 1.22 (0.92,1.63) | 0.166 |
| Rural | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Ethnic groups: | | | | | | | | |
| Malays | 1.47 (1.21,1.77) | < 0.001* | 1.78 (1.09,2.89) | 0.021* | 3.04 (1.59,5.83) | 0.001* | 0.92 (0.27,3.18) | 0.899 |
| Chinese | 1.29 (1.03,1.62) | 0.029* | 2.28 (1.35,3.83) | 0.002* | 3.59 (1.80,7.13) | <0.001* | 1.17 (0.33,4.20) | 0.810 |
| Indians | 1.28 (1.01,1.63) | 0.048* | 2.49 (1.46,4.25) | 0.001* | 4.60 (2.29,9.22) | <0.001* | 0.83 (0.21,3.21) | 0.786 |
| Other bumiputeras | 1.18 (0.96,1.45) | 0.113 | 4.05 (2.42,6.79) | <0.001* | 5.64 (2.75,11.5) | <0.001* | 2.51 (0.68,9.20) | 0.166 |
| Others | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Educational level: | | | | | | | | |
| No formal education | 0.80 (0.64,0.99) | 0.047* | 0.42 (0.30,0.60) | <0.001* | 0.84 (0.57,1.24) | 0.378 | 0.60 (0.32,1.11) | 0.101 |
| Primary education | 1.01 (0.87,1.16) | 0.923 | 0.53 (0.42,0.69) | <0.001* | 0.91 (0.69,1.20) | 0.529 | 0.77 (0.47,1.26) | 0.294 |
| Secondary education | 0.93 (0.83,1.03) | 0.167 | 0.50 (0.41,).61) | <0.001* | 0.82 (0.64.1.04) | 0.108 | 0.63 (0.40,0.98) | 0.043* |
| Tertiary education | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Employment status: | | | | | | | | |
| Employed | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Unemployed | 0.85 (0.77,0.94) | 0.001* | 0.83 (0.87,1.19) | 0.831 | 1.32 (1.01,1.58) | 0.003* | 1.14 (0.80,1.61) | 0.478 |
| Marital status: | | | | | | | | |
| Single | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Married | 1.54 (1.37,1.73) | < 0.001* | 1.46 (1.10,1.95) | 0.010* | 1.55 (1.03,2.32) | 0.037* | 0.69 (0.30,1.58) | 0.379 |
| Widow/widower/divorcee | 1.56 (1.28,1.91) | < 0.001* | 1.28 (0.91,1.81) | 0.153 | 1.29 (0.82,2.04) | 0.271 | 0.89 (0.36.2.20) | 0.808 |
| Obesity: | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Obese | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Obese | 1.23 (1.11,1.37) | < 0.001* | 1.22 (1.04,1.44) | 0.014* | 1.42 (1.18,1.71) | < 0.001* | 1.25 (0.92,1.71) | 0.158 |
| Hypertension: | | | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Yes | 1.64 (1.45,1.77) | < 0.001* | 2.34 (2.00,2.73) | <0.001* | 3.12 (2.56,3.79) | <0.001* | 0.76 (0.53,1.07) | 0.114 |
| Diabetes Mellitus: | | | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - | 1.00 | - |
| Yes | 1.47 (1.31,1.66) | < 0.001* | 2 35 (2 01 2 71) | <0.001* | 2.84 (2.39.3.74) | <0.001* | 1.18 (0.87,1.62) | 0.288 |

Table 3. Factors associated with Prevalence, Awareness, Treatment and Controlled hypercholesterolemia among adults in Malaysia

Note: * Significant differences were set at p < 0.05. The Forward LR and Backward LR was done. Gender, age group, residence, ethnic groups, educational level, employment status, marital status, obesity, hypertension and diabetes status were significant in the main effect model.

4. Discussion

In line with the increasing trend of NCDs around the world, it is no surprise that Malaysia has also been keeping up with that increase particularly in the rise of the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia over the years. Comparing findings from the National Health and Morbidity Surveys over the last decade, hypercholesterolemia prevalence has increased dramatically from 20.6% (IPH, 2008) in 2006 to 35.1% (IPH, 2011) in 2011 and to the most recent 47.7% in 2015. It has indeed surpassed the global average collated by the Word Health Organization in 2008, which was 39% (World Health Organization [WHO], n. d.). Contrarily, other countries in Asia namely, China (Huang, Gao, Xie, & Tan, 2014) and India, had lower prevalence of hypercholesterolemia; 7.9% and 13.9% respectively in 2014 (Joshi, et al., 2014).

From this study, among those who were diagnosed to have hypercholesterolemia only 19.2% were aware about their disease. This was lower compared to a study in China (24.4%) (Huang et al., 2014) in 2014 but higher than a study in Korea (13.7%) in 2013 (Roh et al., 2013).

The treatment rate in the study was 12.7%, which was higher compared to studies in China (Huang et al., 2014) in the year 2014 which was 8.8% and in Korea(7.4%) in 2013 (Roh et al., 2013). This could be due to the accessibility and heavily subsidised health care in Malaysia.

The control rate for hypercholesterolemia was much higher (53.7%) compared to the study done in China (2014) which was 19.9% (Huang et al., 2014). Only female gender and secondary educational levels were the significant associated factors for control of hypercholesterolemia.

We have found that women had a significantly higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in Malaysia. This finding was consistent with findings from other studies around Asia, namely-Indonesia (Hussain, 2016) and India (Joshi et al., 2014). On the contrary, in China (Huang et al., 2014), the hypercholesterolemia was more common among men compared to women. We also concluded that women had significantly poorer control, lesser awareness and had a lower treatment rate of their cholesterol levels. The findings for control of hypercholesterolemia was supported by a study done by Tappia and Dhalla (2012) which stated that women were more likely to have poor lipid control than men.

Older age was significantly associated with higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia. Those aged above 40 years had a greater prevalence of hypercholesterolemia. Other studies also revealed that older age was related to hypercholesterolemia (Ni et al., 2015; Bayram et al., 2014). The effect of age on serum lipids is not certainly known. It may be related to hereditary characteristics, degenerative process, weight gain and progressive insulin resistance development (Bayram et al., 2014). Older age was also significantly associated with higher awareness of hypercholesterolemia in this study. Those aged 60 years and above were five times more likely to be aware of their hypercholesterolemia status compared to those aged 18 to 39 years. This is supported by previous studies (Huang et al., 2014; He et al., 2014) which stated that older participants are more concerned about their health as compared to younger participants.

Adjusted for other variables, Malays, Chinese and Indian ethnic groups were associated with a significantly higher risk of hypercholesterolemia compared to other ethnic groups. Comparing prevalence by ethnic background, the highest rates were, in fact, of equal magnitude (50.1%) for Malays and Indians, followed by a slightly lower rate for Chinese (47.5%). In a study done by Ahmad Faris and Ahmad Syaarani (2008) on a smaller sample in Kelantan and a study using NHMS (2006) data, Malays had the highest prevalence of hypercholesterolemia (IPH, 2008).

For Malays and Indians, higher risk of hypercholesterolemia maybe due to genetic factors (Al-Khateeb, Mohamed, Imran, Ibrahim, Zilfalil, & Yusof, 2011) which also contribute to the higher prevalence of CVD among Malays and Indians. Others factors may be due to dietary habits or lifestyle (Al-Khateeb et al., 2011).

Our study revealed that being married and widowed/divorced were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia compared to being single. Being married was also significantly associated with a higher awareness rate of hypercholesterolemia compared to being single. Married individuals may be more concerned about their health due to influence from their partners and responsibility towards their families (Fu, Yu, Wang, Sun, Liu, & Hu, 2010).

Other co-morbidities such as diabetes, hypertension and obesity were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in our study. This is supported by a study (Ni et al., 2015) which stated that central obesity, hypertension and diabetes were significantly associated with higher prevalence of dyslipidaemia overall. In this study also, obese, hypertensive and diabetic respondents were more likely to be more aware of their hypercholesterolemia status. These might be due to increased health screening among these groups due to the presence of their other comorbidities (Yen, Tan, & Mustapha, 2016). In agreement with the study by Rodriguez et

al. (2015), our study also found that those who had comorbidities: hypertension and diabetes mellitus, they were more likely to have their cholesterol levels treated and controlled. Community intervention is needed to combat hypercholesterolemia through amplifying existing screening and awareness programmes, such as KOSPEN (Strengthening communities, empowering the Nation) which was introduced by the Ministry of Health Malaysia in October 2013.

Another example is the *Kelab Doktor Muda* and *Progam Siswa Sihat* (PROSIS) which is currently being implemented in schools and universities aiming at promoting health care awareness among the younger population. More health programmes and health campaigns focusing on the younger population are needed in order to increase awareness on health. Ten thousand (10,000) steps campaign, Communication for Behavioural Impact (COMBI) and community health centre promotions are other examples of interventions to reach these target populations in order to create the awareness.

Nevertheless, people should take responsibility towards their own health to reduce their cholesterol levels by participating in programmes organised by the government. However, the Government must identify and strengthen policies on healthcare in order to improve the general health of the population and thus reduce the burden of the CVDs later.

There were some limitations and strengths in our study. Since our study was cross sectional, it could only reflect the association between hypercholesterolemia and risk factors without establishing the temporal sequence. Another limitation was that only fingerpick blood lipids were taken (not venous blood) since the study involved a large sample. Thus, this may affect the accuracy of the cholesterol measurements (Foltz, 2013). On the other hand, the large sample size and high survey response rate strengthened the external validity of the findings.

The overall high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia with poor awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia in our study are important public health findings, which are beneficial to the local healthcare system. Since hypercholesterolemia is a silent disease and one of the major CVD risk factor, it is a serious concern that the public might only seek treatment at a later stage of the disease. This problem leads to increased healthcare services utilization and costs, risk of premature death, and ultimately impact the productivity and economy of the nation (Scholze, Alegria, Langham, Stevens, Jeffries, & Uhl- Hochgraeber, 2010). A comprehensive public health commitment is required at every level in order to ensure that hypercholesterolemia screening, prevention, treatment and control programmes and campaigns run effectively, especially for high risk groups to reduce the risk of CVDs in the future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for his permission to publish this article.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare that there are no competing or potential conflicts of interest.

References

- Ahmad Faris, A., & Ahmad Syaarani, Y. (2008). Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypercholesterolemia in adult Kelantanese residents. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health*, 8(3).
- Al-Khateeb, A., Mohamed, M. S., Imran, K., Ibrahim, S., Zilfalil, B. A., & Yusof, Z. (2011). Lipid profile parameters in Malaysian dyslipidemia patients. *Kobe J Med Sci*, 57(2), E38-48.

American Diabetes Association. (2000). Screening for Type 2 Diabetes. Clinical Diabetes, 23 Suppl 1(S20-3).

- Bayram, F., Kocer, D., Gundogan, K., Kaya, A., Demir, O., Coskun, R., . . . Gedik, V. (2014). Prevalence of dyslipidemia and associated risk factors in Turkish adults. *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*, 8(2), 206-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2013.12.011
- Carlsson, A. C., Wändell, P. E., Gigante, B., Leander, K., Hellenius, M. L., & Faire, U. H. (2013). Seven modifiable lifestyle factors predict reduced risk for ischemic cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality regardless of body mass index: A cohort study. *Int J Cardiol, 168*(2), 946-952. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.10.045
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2016, December 5). *Department of Statistics: Statistics on Causes of Death, Malaysia, 2014.* Retrieved June 7, 2017, from Department of Statistics Malaysia: https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column/pdfPrev&id=eTY2NW00S3BLb1dldWJmVFNMWmph QT09.
- Foltz, C. (2013, January). Venipuncture VS Finger Stick: The Facts and the Fiction. Retrieved November 19, 2017,

from https://www.ehealthscreenings.com/versus/Venipuncture%20VS%20Finger%20Stick%20-%20The% 20Facts%20and%20the%20Fiction.pdf.

- Ford, E. S., Li, C., Pearson, W. S., Zhao, G., & Mokdad, A. H. (2010). Trends in hypercholesterolemia, treatment and control among United States adults. *Int J Cardiol, 140*(2), 226-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2008.11.033
- Fu, Y. Y., Yu, J. M., Wang, J. H., Sun, Y. H., Liu, H. F., & Hu, D. Y. (2010). Factors associated with dyslipidemia awareness among residents in Beijing: results from CCEIP. *Chin J Practical Intern Med*, 30(1), 38-40.
- He, H., Yu, Y. Q., Li, Y., Kou, C. G., Li, B., Tao, Y. C., . . . Liu, Y. W. (2014). Dyslipidemia awareness, treatment, control and influence factors among adults in the Jilin province in China: a cross-sectional study. *Lipids in Health and Disease*, *13*, 122. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-13-122
- Huang, Y., Gao, L., Xie, X., & Tan, C. (2014). Epidemiology of dyslipidemia in Chinese adults: meta-analysis of prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control. *Population Health Metrics*, 12(8). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12963-014-0028-7
- Hussain MA, A. M. (2016). The Burden of Cardiovascular Disease Attributable to Major Modifiable Risk Factors in Indonesia. *Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(10), 515–521. https://doi.org/10.2188/jea.JE2015017
- IBM Corp. (2010). *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows* (Version 19.0). (N. Armonk, Producer, & IBM Corp) Retrieved from IBM Corp.
- Institute for Public Health [IPH]. (2008). The Third National Health and Morbidity Survey 2006 (NHMS III), Hypertension & Hypercholesterolemia. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Institute for Public Health [IPH]. (2011). The Fourth National Health and Morbidity Survey 2011 (NHMS IV), Hypertension and Hypercholesterolemia.Vol 2. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- Joshi, S. R., Anjana, R. M., Deepa, M., Pradeepa, R., Bhansali, A., Joshi, P. P., ... Mohan, V. (2014). Prevalence of Dyslipidemia in Urban and Rural India: The ICMR–INDIAB Study'. PLoS ONE, 9(5), e96808. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096808
- National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure and National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. (2003). The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA, 289, 2560-2572. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.19.2560
- NCEP Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). (2002). *Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) Final Report.*
- Ni, W. -Q., Liu, X. -L., Zhuo, Z. -P., Yuan, X. -L., Song, J. -P., Chi, H. -S., & Xu, J. (2015). Serum lipids and associated factors of dyslipidemia in the adult population in Shenzhen. *Lipids in Health and Disease, 14*, 71. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-015-0073-7
- Rodriguez, C. J., Cai, J., Swett, K., Gonzalez, H. M., Talavera, G. A., Wruck, L. M., . . . Daviglus, M. L. (2015). High Cholesterol Awareness, Treatment, and Control Among Hispanic/ Latinos: Results From Hispanic Community Health Study/ Study of Latinos. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, 4(7), e001867. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.115.001867
- Roh, E., Ko, S-H., Kwon, H-S., Kim, N. H., Kim, J. H., Kim, C. S., ... Taskforce Team of Diabetes Fact Sheet of the Korean Diabetes Association. (2013). Prevalence and Management of Dyslipidemia in Korea: Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey during 1998 to 2010. *Diabetes & Metabolism Journal*, 37(6), 433-449. https://doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2013.37.6.433
- Scholze, J., Alegeria, E., Ferri, C., Langham, S., Stevens, W., Jeffries, D., . . . Uhl-Hochgraeber, K. (2010). Epidemiological and economic burden of metabolic syndrome and its consequences in patients with hypertension in Germany, Spain and Italy; a prevalence-based model. *BMC Public Health*, 10, 529. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-529
- Tappia, P. S., & Dhalla, N. S. (2012). Modifiable factors for reducing the gender difference in lipid control. *Clinical Lipidology*, 7(4), 379-387. https://doi.org/10.2217/clp.12.48

- Wang, S., Xu, L., Jonas, J., You, Q., & Wang, Y. (2011). Prevalence and Associated Factors of Dyslipidemia in the Adult Chinese Population. *PloS ONE*, *6*(3), e17326. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017326
- World Health Organization [WHO]. (n. d.). Global Health Observatory data repository. Retrieved June 7, 2017, from World Health Organization: http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/cholesterol_text/en/
- World Health Organization. (2014). 'Global Status Report on noncommunicable diseases'. Retrieved August 10,2017,fromWorldHealthOrganization:http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/148114/1/9789241564854eng.pdf?ua=1.
- World Health Organization. (2017, October). WHO Obesity and Overweight Fact Sheets. Retrieved September 7, 2017, from World Health Organization: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/
- Yen, T., Tan, A. K., & Mustapha, F. I. (2016). Awareness of diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia in Malaysia. *Journal of Diabetes*, 9(9), 874-893. https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12502
- Zaraihan, S., Azman, A. B., & Tariq, A. R. (1994). Racial differences in the fasting lipid profile of healthy Malaysians. *Med. J. Malays*, 49, 355-363.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).