

Metacognition Beliefs and General Health in Predicting Alexithymia in Students

Samaneh Babaei¹, Shahryar Ranjbar Varandi^{2,3}, Zohre Hatami⁴ & Maryam Gharechahi⁵

¹Deputy of Research and Technology, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

²Training and Education Organization of Sari, Sari, IR Iran

³Department of Clinical Psychology, Sari Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sari, IR Iran

⁴Training and Education Organization of Daregaz, Daregaz, IR Iran

⁵Imam Khomeini Relief Foundation, Neyriz, IR Iran

Correspondence: Samaneh Babaei, Deputy of Research and Technology, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, P. O. Box: 98197-13955, Zahedan, IR Iran. Tel/Fax: 98-54-3352-2637. E-mail: smnhbabaei3@gmail.com

Received: March 15, 2015 Accepted: April 13, 2015 Online Published: June 11, 2015

doi:10.5539/gjhs.v8n2p117

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v8n2p117>

Abstract

Objectives: The present study was conducted to investigate the role of metacognition beliefs and general health in alexithymia in Iranian students.

Methods: This descriptive and correlational study included 200 participants of high schools students, selected randomly from students of two cities (Sari and Dargaz), Iran. Metacognitive Strategies Questionnaire (MCQ-30); the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) and Farsi Version of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) were used for gathering the data. Using the Pearson's correlation method and regression, the data were analyzed.

Results: The findings indicated significant positive relationships between alexithymia and all subscales of general health. The highest correlation was between alexithymia and anxiety subscale ($r=0.36$, $P<0.01$). Also, there was a significant negative relationship between alexithymia and some metacognitive strategies. The highest significant negative relationship was seen between alexithymia and the sub-scale of risk uncontrollability ($r=-0.359$, $P<0.01$). Based on the results of multiple regressions, three predictors explained 21% of the variance ($R^2=0.21$, $F=7.238$, $P<0.01$). It was found that anxiety subscale of General Health significantly predicted 13% of the variance of alexithymia ($\beta=0.36$, $P<0.01$) and risk uncontrollability subscale of Metacognition beliefs predicted about 8% of the variance of alexithymia ($\beta=-0.028$, $P<0.01$).

Conclusions: The findings demonstrated that metacognition beliefs and general health had important role in predicting of alexithymia in students.

Keywords: alexithymia, cognition, emotions, general health, students

1. Introduction

Emotional development can affect educational achievement. According to one study by (Cherniss & Adler, 2000), general success and welfare in adulthood depends on a) learning how to use social and emotional skills through changes and, b) effective tackling of many life challenges to reduce the risk of mental disorders. In order to facilitate learning, the emotional development of learner must be considered (Greenhalgh, 2002). On the other hand, the context of home with its particular rules and principles; school with the teachers, educational atmosphere and peers, and prevailing culture of relationships will affect emotional development.

Thus, it can be said that one of the significant factors that students struggle with that neglected in educational circles, is inability to express anxieties and emotions (Alexithymia). Alexithymia is a multi-facet construct consisting of difficulty in recognition, description of emotions and distinguishing between emotions and bodily tensions related to emotional excitement and difficulty in expressing feeling for others (Porcelli, Tulipani, Maiello, Cilenti, & Todarello, 2007). Although, individuals with this emotional problem are aware of their emotion experiences, but they can not distinguish the type of emotion. In general population, the prevalence rate of alexithymia reported about 10% that is more prevalent in populations with psychological disorders such as

depression, anxiety, pain disorders, sexual disorders, procrastination, substance abuse and educational problems (S. Berthoz, Consoli, Perez-Diaz, & Jouvent, 1999; Sylvie Berthoz, Lalanne, Crane, & Hill, 2013; Dubey, Pandey, & Mishra, 2010a; Hintikka, Honkalampi, Lehtonen, & Viinamäki, 2001; Honkalampi et al., 2010; Thorberg, Young, Sullivan, & Lyvers, 2009).

One of the most important variables related to emotional problems in individuals is metacognition beliefs, beliefs which the person has about his/her thoughts, emotions, memories, feelings and other perceptual forces (Pennebaker, 1997; Saed, Purehsan, Aslani, & Zargar, 2011; Van Dillen & Koole, 2007). These beliefs can influence on person's response, his/her thoughts, behaviors, emotions and also on self-regulation (Alden, Wiggins, & Pincus, 1990). Metacognitive beliefs are important factors in development and maintenance of psychological disorders. Sometimes, metacognitive beliefs are disrupted and form a set of uncongenial beliefs about internal feelings and thoughts that lead to disturbed regulation (Alden et al., 1990). While knowledge of cognitive and metacognitive strategies lead to improvements in learning and educational performance (Qhavecchi & Mohammadkhani Sharam, 2012; Mahasneh, 2014). Also, high levels of impaired metacognitive knowledge have significantly correlated with alexithymia. Furthermore, participants with higher metacognitive knowledge have better educational performance (Sifneos, 1973).

In addition to metacognition, general health status (a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity) (Lorensen, 1978) is factor related to alexithymia. It is assumed that alexithymia can be associated with health. In line with this approach, the researchers found that alexithymia is associated with health problems such as cystitis, essential hypertension, heart disease, diabetes types, depression, anxiety and aggression (Porcelli et al., 2007). According to these findings and important role of metacognitive beliefs and general health on predicting students' alexithymia, it can be said that inability to express emotions among students demands the attention of authorities, and decision makers in educational systems for reducing emotional impairments in students. Thus, this study attempts to determine the role of metacognitive strategies and general health in predicting alexithymia in high school students.

2. Methods

The study was approved by Training and Education Organization of Dargaz and Sari Cities, Iran: (issues 8296 and 35985). In this research after agreement of school administrators, we described the aim of study for students verbally so that they could decide for completing questionnaire themselves. Also they were informed that their all information will remain confidential.

2.1 Participants

The study population was all students attending high schools in Dargaz and Sari Cities. Multistage cluster random sampling method was used to 250 participants in the study. Two hundred fifty questionnaire were distributed between participants. Fifty of the questionnaires were not fully completed that they were removed from the research process. Accordingly, at first all high schools in study area were listed. Secondly, two schools from Sari city and two schools from Dargaz city were randomly selected. Finally, two classes were randomly selected from each school. The instruments were used for gathering the data:

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Metacognitive Strategies Questionnaire (MCQ-30)

Metacognitive strategy questionnaire by (A. Wells & Cartwright-Hatton, 2004), consist of 30 items to which each participant can present one of the four options of disagree, somewhat agree, agree, and completely agree. The metacognition is made up of the following sub-scales: a) positive anxiety beliefs, b) beliefs regarding uncontrollability and risk, c) beliefs about cognitive qualifications, d) negative anxiety beliefs and f) Cognitive Self-awareness. These sub-scales are of acceptable reliability and validity. Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this questionnaire is 0.72 to 0.89 and its test-retest reliability coefficient ranges from 0.76 to 0.80 with the correlation coefficient of the metacognitive questionnaire using anxiety scale of Spiel-Berger between 0.26 and 0.73. (Shirinzadeh Dastgiri, Goudarzi, Rahimi, & Nazari, 2009) has measured Cronbach's alpha coefficient of this questionnaire for an Iranian sample at 0.91 for the total scale and 0.71 to 0.87 for sub-scales. Furthermore, after four weeks, their test-retest reliability coefficients for total scale were reported 0.73 and for the subscales were 0.58 to 0.87.

2.2.2 Alexithymia Toronto Scale (TAS-20)

(TAS-20), by (Bagby, Parker, & Taylor, 1994) is a self-assessment questionnaire with 20 items used to assess alexithymia. This questionnaire has three dimensions: a) difficulty in diagnosis and detection of emotions (7items); b) difficulty in expressing emotions (5 items) and c) focusing on outside experiences (8 items). The

questions are based on the 5-point Likert scale ranging from completely agree =1 to completely disagree =5 (Besharat, 2007). The Cronbach's alpha coefficient for Alexithymia in Farsi Version was 0.85 and it stood at 0.82, 0.75 and 0.72 for the three sub-scales of difficulty in identifying emotions, difficulty in expressing feelings and extrinsic thinking, respectively, all of which are good internal consistencies (Besharat, 2007). Ghorbani has calculated Cronbach's alpha coefficient for an Iranian sample population at 0.74 for difficulty in identifying emotions, at 0.61 for difficulty in expression feelings and at 0.50 for extrinsic thinking (Ghorbani, 2002). (Shahgholian, Moradi, & Kafee, 2007) has measured the reliability of the total scale for an Iranian sample using split-half and test-retest at 0.74 and 0.72 respectively, and the validity of the scale at 0.85. The reliability of this questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the total scale was 0.79.

2.2.3 General Health Questionnaire (GHQ)

The GHQ-28, (Goldberg & Hillier, 1979) is one of the most significant devices for screening mental disorders available as 12, 28, 30 and 60 item lengths. In this study, the 28- item questionnaire was used with its questions containing 4 sub-scales each of 7 items. Questions 1 to 7 are related to the scale of physical symptoms and general health. Questions 8 to 14 are related to the anxiety factor while items 15 to 21 deal with the scale of impaired social performance and 22 to 27 are to do with the depression scale. The present questionnaire is standardized and has been normalized in different populations in Iran and different countries. This questionnaire was simultaneously used with a parallel MHQ test with a correlation coefficient of .55 for the two and correlation coefficients of 0.72 and 0.87 for their subscales that are indicative of high reliability (Taghavi, 2001). This study was done on a sample population of university students and was of high consistency with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.90 for all items (Taghavi, 2001).

3. Results

According to the results shown in table1, the mean of cognitive confidence (11.92) was higher than other metacognitive strategies. Among the general health variables, the highest mean is for social performance (7.24) and the lowest for physical performance (6.17).

Table 1. Mean, standard deviation of study measures ^a

Variable	Values
Alexithymia	55.28 ± 10.21
General Health	
Physical performance	6.17 ± 4.03
Anxiety	7.01 ± 5.34
Social performance	7.24 ± 3.18
Depression	6.35 ± 4.31
Metacognitive Strategies	
Positive beliefs about worry	10.29 ± 4.62
Risk Uncontrollability	9.12 ± 4.48
Cognitive Confidence	11.92 ± 4.68
Need to control thoughts	7.56 ± 3.84
Cognitive awareness	6.00 ± 3.54

^a Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2. The results of Pearson's correlation coefficients between alexithymia, general health and metacognitive strategies subscales ^a

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Alexithymia	-									
General Health										
Physical performance	0.287**	-								
Anxiety	0.360**	0.669**	-							
Social performance	0.194**	0.306**	0.321**	-						
Depression	0.279**	0.506**	0.573**	0.404**	-					
Metacognitive Strategies										
Positive beliefs about worry	-0.085	-0.115	-0.053	0.87	-0.090					
Risk uncontrollability	-0.359**	-0.370**	-0.303**	-0.186**	-0.360**	0.212**	-			
Cognitive Confidence	-0.231**	-0.213**	-0.170*	-0.125	-0.286**	0.237**	0.467**	-		
Need to control thoughts	-0.141*	-0.125	-0.034	-0.044	0.034	0.158*	0.484**	0.232**	-	
Cognitive awareness	-0.035	-0.173*	0.023	0.080	-0.050	0.268**	0.341**	0.174*	0.424**	-

^an = 200, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

In order to investigate the relationship between the metacognitive strategies and general health with alexithymia, Pearson's correlation coefficient was used. As the correlation matrix shows (Table 2), alexithymia is correlated with general health. Physical performance ($r=0.287$), Anxiety ($r=0.360$), Social performance ($r=0.194$) and Depression ($r=0.279$) had significant positive correlation with alexithymia ($P<0.01$), and the highest significant correlation was between alexithymia and anxiety subscale ($r=0.36$, $P<0.01$). This means that the factors related to health (anxiety level, depression, and physical & social performance) create further tendency to alexithymia. Also, there were negative significant correlations between alexithymia and some metacognitive strategies (risk uncontrollability, cognitive confidence and need to control thoughts) ($P<0.05$, $P<0.01$). The highest significant negative correlation was seen between alexithymia and the sub-scale of risk uncontrollability ($r=-0.359$, $P<0.01$). There was no significant correlation reported for two sub-scales of positive beliefs about worry ($r=-0.085$) and cognitive self-awareness ($r=-0.035$). In order to determine common and specific effects variables (metacognitive strategies and general health) on predicting alexithymia variance, multiple regression was used.

Table 3. The result of multiple regressions for prediction alexithymia according to metacognitive beliefs and general health subscales

Variables	β	t	P	F	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2
Model 1							
Anxiety	0.360	5.431	0.000	29.493	0.360	0.130	0.125
Model 2							
Anxiety	0.304	3.405	0.000	15.181	0.365	0.134	0.125
Physical Performance	0.084	0.941	0.001				
Model 3							
Anxiety	0.262	2.730	0.007	10.549	0.374	0.140	0.126
Physical Performance	0.064	0.696	0.487				
Depression	0.097	1.167	0.245				
Model 4							
Anxiety	0.261	2.710	0.007	8.036	0.377	0.142	0.124
Physical Performance	0.060	0.652	0.515				
Depression	0.072	0.804	0.423				
Social Performance	0.057	0.753	0.453				
Model 5							
Anxiety	0.259	2.791	0.006	9.997	0.454	0.206	0.185
Physical Performance	-0.010	-0.116	0.907				
Depression	0.007	0.085	0.932				
Social Performance	0.059	0.798	0.426				
Risk Uncontrollability	-0.278	-3.931	0.000				
Model 6							
Anxiety	0.263	2.834	0.005	7.238	0.458	0.210	0.181
Physical Performance	-0.012	-0.129	0.897				
Depression	-0.005	-0.054	0.957				
Social Performance	0.060	-0.820	0.413				
Risk Uncontrollability	-0.247	-3.190	0.002				
Cognitive Confidence	-0.072	-0.977	0.330				
Model 7							
Anxiety	0.263	2.825	0.005	0.263	0.458	0.210	0.181
Physical Performance	0.012	-0.128	0.899				
Depression	-0.005	-0.057	0.955				
Social Performance	-0.060	0.817	0.415				
Risk Uncontrollability	-0.248	-2.821	0.005				
Cognitive Confidence	-0.072	-0.975	0.331				
Need to control thoughts	0.002	0.021	0.983				

Multiple regression analysis was used to test if metacognitive and general health significantly predicts participants' alexithymia. Table 3, shows the results of the multistage regression analysis. According to the results of the regression indicate the three predictors explain (21% of the variance ($R^2=0.21$, $F=7.238$, $P < 0.01$)). Also anxiety subscale of General Health significantly predicts 13% of the variance of alexithymia ($\beta = 0.36$, $P <$

0.01) and risk uncontrollability subscale of Metacognition beliefs predicts about 8% of the variance of alexithymia ($\beta=-0.028$, $P < 0.01$).

4. Discussion

The results of regression analysis showed that anxiety and uncontrollability of risk are the most important variables in predicting alexithymia. These findings are consistent with research results reported by some researchers: (Bucci, 1997; Dubey, Pandey, & Mishra, 2010b; Garnefski & Kraaij, 2006; Mikhaeili, Karimnejad, Irani, & Pirnabikhah, 2012; Porcelli et al., 2007; Qhavechi & Mohammadkhani Sharam, 2012; Z. Rostam Oghali, Mosazadeh, Rezaade, & S. Rostam Oghali, 2013).

It seems that in accounting for the findings of this study the interaction between metacognitive strategies, general health and alexithymia must be considered. The alexithymia refers to failure of individual to identify and express the feelings and distinguishing them from bodily sensations, paucity of fantasies, and an externally oriented cognitive style (Taylor & Bagby, 2000; Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1999). This inability can't disrupts the individual's emotional and cognitive organization and increases the probability of utilizing inefficient styles. Deficiency in emotional regulations will lead to negative experiences. As a result, when people understand that their control over the circumstances have diminished, they interpret the situation as stressful and negative condition; which in turn would lead to negative emotions and interpersonal issues like cold relationships (Bailey & Henry, 2007). In addition, it can be noted that inability to regulate emotions can be related to low levels of physical and mental health. Health is a multi-dimensional concept. Attending this issue and attempting to recognize their consistent impacts on one another are essential (Sharepour, 2002). According to Shahgholian, Moradi and Kafee (2007), emotionally potent people are better adapted with the environment and others in comparison with those who fail to grasp their emotional excitements. Thus, according to the previous studies it can be said that those who are more potent in experiencing and expressing emotions are of healthier than others. Also findings of other studies (Groh, Jason, & Keys, 2008; Hendriks, 1990; Maremmani, Pani, Pacini, & Perugi, 2007; Shahgholian M. et al., 2007) approve this conclusion that alexithymia is due to problems in identifying and adjusting emotions.

The current study showed that there is a positive significant correlation between metacognition and alexithymia and the findings of this study are consistent with earlier reports (Babaei, Gharechahi, Hatami, & Ranjbar Varandi, 2015; Hoffman, Bobby, Spataru, & Alexandru, 2008; Molavi, Rostami, Fadaee Naeini, Mohamadnia, & Rasolzadeh, 2007; Rostam Oghali et al., 2013; Zhu & Leung, 2011). In agreement with these findings it can be said that the metacognition is an important variable that affects person's response to negative thoughts, signs and emotions (Adrian Wells, 2002, 2011). Since metacognitive knowledge encompasses a person's emotions and experiences and regulates the mind and method of studying; thus it enables the person to guide his/her thought in learning and problem solving situations using mastery of learning and studying principles leading to better memory performance (Larkin, 2009). Hence, it can be concluded that knowledge of and exercising metacognition can significantly influence different aspects of a student's life. Thus by knowing this a person can use it while exhibiting a more comprehensive control, selecting the most efficient strategy (Artino, 2008). Finally, similar to other studies current research has some inherent limitations, and it is suggested that these limitations must be considered while generalizing its results. The sample population was limited to students and in generalization of results to other populations must be considered. Also it is suggested that alexithymia be investigated in other mental disorders. The results of the present study provided the effects of metacognitive strategies and general health on alexithymia; therefore it is essential that experts consider investigating this field and extend it to other areas.

5. Conclusion

These findings demonstrated that metacognition beliefs and general health had important role in predicting of alexithymia in students

Authors' Contribution

Samaneh Babaei has written whole of the manuscript and Shahryar Ranjbar Varandi; Zohreh Hatami and, Maryam Gharechahi have collected data.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Dr. Nour-mohammad Bakhshani, for English editing the article and also the Training and of Education Department of Razavi Khorasan Province (Daregaz city) and Mazandaran Province (Sari city) for their sincerely cooperation.

Financial Disclosure

We had no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Alden, L. E., Wiggins, J. S., & Pincus, A. (1990). Construction of circumplex scales for the Inventory of Interpersonal Problems. *J Pers Assess*, 55(3-4), 521-536. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00223891.1990.9674088>
- Artino, A. R. (2008). Learning online: Understanding academic success from a self-regulated learning perspective. *ProQuest® Dissertations & Theses*, 162.
- Babaei, S., Gharechahi, M., Hatami, Z., & Ranjbar Varandi, S. H. (2015). The Role of Meta-Cognition and Body Image in Predicting Alexithymia in Substance Abusers. *Int J High Risk Behav Addict*, 4(3), In Press.
- Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale-I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *J Psychosom Res*, 38(1), 23-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(94\)90005-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(94)90005-1)
- Bailey, P. E., & Henry, J. D. (2007). Alexithymia, somatization and negative affect in a community sample. *Psychiatry research*, 150(1), 13-20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2006.05.024>
- Berthoz, S., Consoli, S., Perez-Diaz, F., & Jouvent, R. (1999). Alexithymia and anxiety: Compounded relationships? A psychometric study. *Eur Psychiatry*, 14(7), 372-378. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338\(99\)00233-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(99)00233-3)
- Berthoz, S., Lalanne, C., Crane, L., & Hill, E. L. (2013). Investigating emotional impairments in adults with autism spectrum disorders and the broader autism phenotype. *Psychiatry research*, 208(3), 257-264. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2013.05.014>
- Besharat, M. A. (2007). Reliability and Factorial Validity of a Farsi Version of the 20-Item Toronto Alexithymia Scale with a Sample of Iranian Students 1, 2. *Psychological reports*, 101(1), 209-220. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2466/PRO.101.5.209-220>
- Bucci, W. (1997). Symptoms and symbols: A multiple code theory of somatization. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry*, 17(2), 151-172.
- Cherniss, C., & Adler, M. (2000). *Promoting emotional intelligence in organizations: Make training in emotional intelligence effective*. American Society for Training and Development.
- Dubey, A., Pandey, R., & Mishra, K. (2010a). Role of emotion regulation difficulties and positive/negative affectivity in explaining alexithymia-health relationship: An overview. *J Indian Soc Soil Sci*, 7, 20-31.
- Dubey, A., Pandey, R., & Mishra, K. (2010b). Role of emotion regulation difficulties and positive/negative affectivity in explaining alexithymia-health relationship: An overview. *Indian Journal of Social Science Researches*, 7, 20-31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07351699709534117>
- Garnefski, N., & Kraaij, V. (2006). Cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire-development of a short 18-item version (CERQ-short). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 41(6), 1045-1053. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.04.010>
- Ghorbani, N. (2002). Self-reported emotional intelligence: Construct similarity and functional dissimilarity of higher-order processing in Iran and the United State. *Int J Psychol*, 37, 297-308. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207590244000098>
- Goldberg, D. P., & Hillier, V. F. (1979). A scaled version of the General Health Questionnaire. *Psychol Med*, 9(1), 139-145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700021644>
- Greenhalgh, P. (2002). *Emotional growth and learning*. Routledge.
- Groh, D., Jason, L., & Keys, C. (2008). Social network variables in Alcoholics Anonymous: A literature review. *Clinical psychology review*, 28(3), 430-450. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2007.07.014>
- Hendriks, V. M. (1990). Psychiatric disorders in a Dutch addict population: Rates and correlates of DSM-III diagnosis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 58(2), 158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.58.2.158>
- Hintikka, J., Honkalampi, K., Lehtonen, J., & Viinamäki, H. (2001). Are alexithymia and depression distinct or overlapping constructs? A study in a general population. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 42(3), 234-239. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/comp.2001.23147>

- Hoffman, Bobby, Spatariu, & Alexandru. (2008). The influence of self-efficacy and metacognitive prompting on math problem-solving efficiency. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 33(4), 875-893. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2007.07.002>
- Honkalampi, K., Koivumaa-Honkanen, H., Lehto, S. M., Hintikka, J., Haatainen, K., Rissanen, T., & Viinamaki, H. (2010). Is alexithymia a risk factor for major depression, personality disorder, or alcohol use disorders? A prospective population-based study. *J Psychosom Res*, 68(3), 269-273. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2009.05.010>
- Larkin, S. (2009). *Metacognition in young children*. Routledge.
- Lorensen, M. (1978). [World Health Organization medium-term program for nursing/midwifery in Europe: comprehensive care in definition of nursing coordinated by WHO]. *Sygeplejersken*, 78(15), 4-9, 27.
- Mahasneh, A. M. (2014). Investigating the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Meta-Cognition among Hashemite University Students. *Rev Eur Stud*, 6(4), p201. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/res.v6n4p201>
- Maremmani, I., Pani, P. P., Pacini, M., & Perugi, G. (2007). Substance use and quality of life over 12 months among buprenorphine maintenance-treated and methadone maintenance-treated heroin-addicted patients. *Journal of substance abuse treatment*, 33(1), 91-98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2006.11.009>
- Mikhaeili, N., karimnejad, F., Irani, F., & Pirnabikhah, N. (2012). *Alexithymic and psychosomatic indices in predicting general health in drug abusers*. Paper presented at the 4th Inter Cong on Psychosomatic.
- Molavi, P., Rostami, K., Fadaee naeini, A., Mohamadnia, H., & Rasolzadeh, B. (2007). Factor responsible for lack of motivation among medical student's of Ardabil Medical University. *The Journal Iranian of Med As*, 25(1), 53-58.
- Pennebaker, J. W. (1997). Writing about emotional experiences as a therapeutic process. *Psychological Science*, 8(3), 162-166. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1997.tb00403.x>
- Porcelli, P., Tulipani, C., Maiello, E., Cilenti, G., & Todarello, O. (2007). Alexithymia, coping, and illness behavior correlates of pain experience in cancer patients. *Psycho-Oncology*, 16(7), 644-650. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pon.1115>
- Qhavechi, F., & Mohammadkhani, S. (2012). The Correlation between Metacognitive Beliefs, Coping Strategies, and Negative Emotions with Students' Smoking. *ZUMS Journal*, 20(81), 93-102.
- Rostam Oghali, Z., Mosazadeh, T., Rezazade, B., & Rostam Oghali, S. (2013). The role of procrastination, self-regulation and metacognition in predicting alexithymic High school girl student. *J School Psychol*, 3(2), 76-93.
- Saed, O., Purehsan, S., Aslani, J., & Zargar, M. (2011). The Role of Thought Suppression, Meta-Cognitive Factors and Negative Emotions in Prediction of Substance Dependency Disorder. *RESEARCH ON ADDICTION*.
- Shahgholian, M., Moradi, A., & Kafee. (2007). The relationship alexithymia with expressive emotion styles. *General health Iran Psychiatr Clin*, 19(3), 238-248.
- Sharepour, M. (2002). The study of effective factors in student attitudes towards exercise in leisure time.
- Shirinzadeh Dastgiri, S., Goudarzi, M. A., Rahimi, Ch., & Naziri Gh. (2009). Study of Factor Structure, Validity and Reliability of Metacognition Questionnaire-30. *J Psychol*, 12(1(48)), 445-461.
- Sifneos, P. E. (1973). The prevalence of 'alexithymic' characteristics in psychosomatic patients. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*, 22(2-6), 255-262. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000286529>
- Taghavi, M. R. (2001). Validity and Reliability of GHQ on Shiraz University. *J Psych*, (4), 81-94.
- Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2000). An overview of the alexithymia construct.
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (1999). *Disorders of affect regulation: Alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness*: Cambridge University Press.
- Thorberg, F. A., Young, R. M., Sullivan, K. A., & Lyvers, M. (2009). Alexithymia and alcohol use disorders: A critical review. [Review]. *Addict Behav*, 34(3), 237-245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.10.016>
- Van Dillen, L. F., & Koole, S. L. (2007). Clearing the mind: A working memory model of distraction from negative mood. *Emotion*, 7(4), 715. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.7.4.715>
- Wells, A. (2002). *Emotional disorders and metacognition: Innovative cognitive therapy*. John Wiley & Sons.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470713662>

Wells, A. (2011). *Metacognitive therapy for anxiety and depression*. Guilford Press.

Wells, A., & Cartwright-Hatton, S. (2004). A short form of the metacognitions questionnaire: Properties of the MCQ-30. *Behav Res Ther*, 42(4), 385-396. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(03\)00147-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(03)00147-5)

Zhu, Y., & Leung, F. K. (2011). Motivation and achievement: Is there an East Asian model? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 9(5), 1189-1212. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10763-010-9255-y>

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).