

Vol. 1, No. 2 December 2008

# What Can We Tell from These Temporal Measures?

# - Temporal Measures as Indices of Oral Proficiency

Binhong Wang
School of Foreign Languages
Harbin Institute of Technology
PO box 443, 92 West Dazhi Street, Nangang District
Harbin 150001, China

Tel: 86-451-8641-4486 E-mail: elizawbh@yahoo.com.cn

#### **Abstract**

Oral English teaching has long been a weak link in the science universities in China, let alone the research on oral English test by quantitative method. Therefore, OEPT in the U.S. sheds enlightening light on the spoken English teaching and researching in China. OEPT (Oral English Proficiency Test) is a spoken English test aimed to assess the oral English proficiency of prospective international teaching assistants in the U.S. In the past few years, temporal variables as indices of oral English proficiency to analyze examinees' oral speech were explored and studied at a large Mid-western American university. Based on the descriptive statistics of the selected temporal variables, this paper aims to give an interpretation of the figures obtained by OEPT data in order to get enlightening implications on spoken English teaching in China.

Keywords: OEPT, Silent pause time, Filled pause time, Speech time, MSR (Mean Syllables per Run), Speech rate, Articulation rate

#### 1. OEPT Data

OEPT (Oral English Proficiency Test) is a spoken English test aimed to assess the oral English proficiency of prospective international teaching assistants at a large research-based North American university. The OEPT is a semi-direct test which is conducted on computer. The students' oral performance sound files are stored onto disks and rated by human raters. The test includes ten items: compare and contrast, summarize graph, newspaper headline, pass on information, read aloud, give advice, personal history, telephone message, summarize conversation 1 and 2. Each examinee is rated by two raters on a five-point ordinal scale (2 - 6). Examinees pass the test with a score of 5 or above. Those students who are below 5 are required to take a one-semester English course – English001T. The test has eight forms, across which the difficulty of the corresponding items is equivalent. The computer randomly assigns one out of the eight forms to an examinee when he/she logs on the computer.

From Aug. 1st, 2005 to July 31st, 2006, there were 408 international students taking OEPT at a large Mid-western American university, including 102 Chinese students. Among the 102 Chinese students, 7 students scored 3, 62 students scored 4, 33 scored 5 and none scored 6. The passing rate of Chinese students is 32.35%. From Aug. 1st, 2006 to July 31st, 2007, there were 435 international students taking part in OEPT, including 99 Chinese students. Among these 99 students, 6 scored 3, 65 scored 4, 28 scored 5, none scored 6. The passing rate is 28.28%. The director of OEPP (Oral English Proficiency Program) instructed her students to make a descriptive statistical analysis of the OEPT data in terms of temporal measures of fluency as indices of oral English proficiency. See Table 1.

#### 2. Variables and definitions

The variable labels in Table 1 are as follows:

SP - Silent Pause Time

FP - filled Pause Time,

ST – Speech Time,

TR – Total Response Time,

MSR = Mean Syllables per Run= # Syllables/# of Runs (Runs = speech between pauses \ge 0.25),

Speech Rate = # Syllables/Total Response Time (TR)\*60 (TR=SP+FP+ST),

Articulation Rate= # Syllables/(FP+ST) \*60

Speech rate is defined as syllables per minute, total number of syllables uttered by total length (in seconds) of speech sample multiplied by sixty. (Kormos and Denes, 2004)

Articulation rate is defined as total syllables produced in speech sample divided by total time required to produce those syllables multiplied by 60. (Cucchiarini, Helmer & Boves, 2000; Kormos and Denes, 2004)

Mean Length of Run (MLR) is defined as total number of syllables /phonemes in speech sample divided by total number of run of speech. (Cucchiarini, Helmer & Boves, 2000; Kormos and Denes, 2004)

Phonation time ratio is defined as total time spent speaking divided by total time to produce speech sample, ST/TR. (Cucchiarini, Helmer & Boves, 2000; Kormos and Denes, 2004)

As to the definition of filled pauses, here're two definitions of them:

Definition 1: A filled pause is a conventional – though non-word – expression used to stall for time during the processing of spontaneous speech.

Definition 2: [F]illers are sounds or words that are spoken to fill up gaps in utterances

As far as pausing phenomenon is concerned, there have been many scholars who use different terms to interpret pausing phenomena from different perspectives. Kowal and O'Connell (1980) distinguish between filled and silent pauses, stating that the later are associated with "the generation of meaning or a more cognitive aspect of processing" (p.63). Similarly, Sabine and Drommel (1980) classify filled pauses within a group of labeled pauses of dissipation – pauses that are unintended by the speaker and "do not facilitate speech processing". Hieke (1981) puts hesitation phenomena into two broad categories: stalls – which among other phenomena includes silent and filled pauses; and repairs – including false starts and repeats. Unlike Sabine and Drommel who interpret "filled pauses" as "...unintended by the speaker and do not facilitate speech processing", Olynyk, D'Angeljan et al.(1987) distinguish between silent pauses and filled pauses but propose that the use of filled pauses may actually be a sign of the speakers' fluency and ability to avoid long periods of silence.

# 3. Analysis of the Data

## 3.1 Silent Pause Time

From table 1, we can see that there are significant differences between fluent speakers (those who scored 5, especially who scored 6) and influent speakers (those who scored 3 or 4) in terms of temporal measures, which echo with our perception of the characteristic differences between fluency and disfluency. For example, Silent Pause Time increases as speakers vary from fluent to most influent, with native speakers of the shortest mean time of 17.21(seconds), 5.0 - 5.5 group of 21.41, 4.0 - 4.5 group of 28.10, while 3.0 - 3.5 group of the longest time, 39.24. Silent Pause Time is undoubtedly a weighty indicator of fluency.

### 3.2 Filled Pause Time

In Table1, the figures which may be against people's expectation or common sense and on the other hand, which is worth noting, are the Filled Pause Time. Filled pause is usually considered a sign of disfluency, as Sabine and Drommel (1980) classify it as pauses that are unintended by the speaker and "do not facilitate speech processing". However, the figures of Filled Pause Time in Table 1 show that this assumption is untrue. The filled paused time of students who scored 3.0 - 3.5 is longer - 2.77, while the filled pause time of students who scored 4.0 - 4.5 is 2.47, those scored 5.0-5.5 is 2.52.But the longest Filled Pause Time is that of native speakers. This can be explained that filled pause may be caused by at least two reasons: one is a sign of hesitation and disfluency of non-native speakers with low speaking proficiency; another is a strategy used by native speakers to avoid long period of silence, as Olynyk, D'Angeljan et al.(1987) state: "...the use of filled pauses may actually be a sign of the speakers' fluency and ability to avoid long periods of silence." In this case, filled pauses are intended strategy which is an indication of speakers' oral skills. The Filled Pause time of students who scored 5.0 - 5.5 is a little bit higher (2.52) than those scored 4.0 - 4.5(2.47), which indicate that those who scored 5.0-5.5 are more skilled than those who scored 4.0 - 4.5 in applying the skill of filled pause. In addition, native speaker group has the largest Sd (3.32) and Max (12.18), with Min of 0.30, while on the other hand, non-native speakers have smaller Sd (2.81, 2.64, 1.74), with Min of 0. This can be explained that all native speakers know the strategy of using filled pauses in spontaneous speech but the degree of using varies greatly. Non-native speakers are more reserved in using filled pauses, some of them adopt it as a skill to avoid silence, while some of them perceive it as a sign of disfluency and never attempt to use it in spontaneous speech, still some people subconsciously use it because of hesitation or disfluency. In conclusion, filled pause is not necessarily a sign of disfluency, it can be used as a strategy to avoid long period of silence in spontaneous speech. But we need to teach students such strategy and encourage them to use appropriately in speech.

#### 3.3 Speech Time and Total Response Time

From the figures of Speech Time and Total Response Time, we can see that it does not mean that the longer speech time, the longer total response time, the more fluent or better. For example, native speakers' speech time ranks third (62.34 against 59.51, 66.49, 73.02) in the comparison group and total response time ranks lowest in the comparison group (82.82 against 101.92, 97.06, 96.95). This can be explained that native speakers' speeches are more concise but rich in information or content, in other words, the speaking efficiency is high, while non-native speakers' speeches are not as effective (essential) and efficient as native speakers. There's lots of redundancy, repetition, empty or inadequate expression of ideas in their speeches.

It's reasonably easy to understand the two ratio comparisons in Table 1, SP/TR (Silent Pause Time/Total Response) and ST/TR (Speech Time/Total Response). Native speakers have the lowest ratio of SP/TR, while the most disfluent speakers have the highest SP/TR, which indicate that disfluent speakers tend to have longer silence pause time. As to ST/TR (or the phonation time ratio), fluent speakers have higher phonation time ratio than less fluent speakers. Compared with total response time, we can see clearly that, even though those who scored 3.0 - 3.5 have the longest total response time, their speech time is shortest -59.91, therefore their ST/TR (phonation time ratio) is Low -0.59, while native speakers, even though their total response time is shortest -82.82, their ST/TR (Phonation time ratio) is high -0.75. Since both native speakers and those scored 5.0 - 5.5 have the same ST/TR (Phonation time ratio), as we know there're differences between two these groups of people in terms of fluency, therefore ST/TR is not an adequate index of oral proficiency.

3.4 MSR, Speech Rate and Articulation Rate

Next we will continue to analyze three more important temporal measures: MSR, Speech Rate and Articulation Rate.

MSR = Mean Syllables per Run= # Syllables/# of Runs (Runs = speech between pauses≥ 0.25),

Speech Rate = # Syllables/Total Response Time (TR)\*60 (TR=SP+FP+ST),

Articulation Rate= # Syllables/ (FP+ST) \*60

Based on the definition and the calculation formula of speech rate and articulation rate on page 2 and 3, we can see from Table 2 that, with the same speech time and filled pause time, speech rate and articulation rate differ in that the calculation of speech rate includes silent pause time while the calculation of articulation rate excludes silent pause time. Therefore speech rate is lower than articulation rate as far as each examinee is concerned. In Table 2, from the comparison of the ratio of the mean (of MSR) of native speakers versus the mean (of MSR) of non—native speakers, with the ratio of the mean of (Speech Rate) of native speakers versus that of non-native speakers, and with the ratio of the mean of (Articulation Rate) versus that of non-native speakers, we can see that MSR is a better index of oral proficiency. For example, as far as articulation rate is concerned, the mean of native speakers is 1.15 times that of group 5.0 - 5.5, 1.21 times that of group 4.0 - 4.5, 1.31 times of group 3.0 - 3.5. As far as speech rate is concerned, the mean of native speakers is 1.18 times that of group 5.0 - 5.5, 1.36 times that of group 4.0 - 4.5, 1.69 times of group 3.0 - 3.5. In contrast, as far as MSR is concerned, the mean of native speakers is 1.49 times that of group 5.0 - 5.5, 1.78 times that of group 4.0 - 4.5, 2.33 times of group 3.0 - 3.5. Why MSR is a better indicator than speech rate or articulation rate can also be explained in the following way: in his Ph. D. dissertation: The Potential of Text - based Internet Chats for Improving ESL Oral Fluency. Ph.D. dissertation (p107-108), Christopher Grant Blake compares two speech samples by means of speaking rate and MSR:

Speech Sample 1 Speech Sample 2
Speaking Rate=2.99 Speaking Rate=3.2

Phonation Time Ratio=0.64

Articulation rate=4.63

Mean Length of Run = 7.25

Phonation Time Ratio=0.73

Articulation Rate=4.34

Mean Length of Run = 6

Even though the second speaker has a higher speaking rate and phonation time ratio than that of the first speaker, the second speaker has a lower Mean Length of Run, which was caused by more frequent pauses that did not fall at grammatical boundaries. So we can draw conclusion that MSR is a better indicator of oral fluency than Speech Rate or Articulation Rate.

## 4. Implications

Based on the above analysis of temporal measures of fluency as indices of oral proficiency, we can get meaningful implications on Spoken English teaching. Temporal measures of Silent Pause Time, Filled Pause Time, Speech Time, Phonation Time Ratio, Mean Syllables per Run, Speech rate and Articulate Rate, etc are effective indices of oral proficiency. MSR is a better index of oral proficiency than Articulation Rate or Speech Rate. Filled pause is not necessarily a sign of disfluency; it can also be a sign of fluent speakers' strategy to avoid long periods of silence.

Therefore language teachers need to demonstrate and impart the features of spoken English and the strategies of making spontaneous speech to their students. Spoken English testing is an assessment of students' oral proficiency, and it's also a reflection of Spoken English Teaching. Based on the examinees' oral speech data and raters' comments on examinees' speech, language teachers and researchers need to find out and analyze the common problems of ESL speakers in spoken English in terms of phonetic sounds, intonation, stress, rhythm, vocabulary and syntax, especially the effective and meaningful expression of ideas. Thus, teachers need to instruct students with knowledge and skills of spoken English and train students to express rich and informative ideas in a more concise and idiomatic way. So spoken language testing need to be combined with and serve for spoken English teaching so as to enable students to improve speaking ability effectively and efficiently.

The author designed and conducted a pilot study on the spoken English teaching in China. The pilot study questionnaire (see appendix) was designed under the instruction of the author's sponsor and instructor in the large Mid-western American University. The questionnaire was directed at the science students who graduated from Chinese colleges and universities with a B.S. or a M.S. in order to get information on the English learning, especially spoken English teaching in China. Based on the data obtained in the pilot study, we can bear out the fact that spoken English teaching has long been ignored in college English teaching. Students' knowledge about English phonetics, rhythm, stress, intonation as well as the differences between written English and spoken English is very limited. They lack a systematic and regular training in spoken English. That's why we can see that the passing rate of Chinese students who took OEPT at the large Mid-western American university is 32.35% (2005.8 - 2006.8) and 28.28% (2006.8 - 2007.8). Because students lack knowledge of spoken English, for example, they don't know that "filled pause" is a feature of spoken English, the majority of Chinese students never use "filled pause"; instead, they have longer silent pause time. In contrast, native speakers use "filled pause" more often instead of "silent pause". See Table 1, the mean of "Silent Pause Time" of the native speakers is 17.21 while Chinese students are: group (3.0 - 3.5) is 39.24, group (4.0 - 4.5) is 28.10, and group (5.0 - 5.5) is 21.41. The mean of "Filled Pause Time" of the native speakers is 3.27 while Chinese speakers are: group (3.0 - 3.5) is 2.77, group (4.0 - 4.5) is 2.47, group (5.0 - 5.5) is 2.52. And also, it can be explained that because of Chinese students' lack of training in spoken English, even though their response time is longer than native speakers, their MSR (Mean Syllables per Run) is much smaller than that of native speakers. The mean of the "Total Response Time" of native speakers is 82.82 while Chinese speakers are: group (3.0 - 3.5) is 101.92, group (4.0 - 4.5) is 97.06, group (5.0 - 5.5) is 96.95. The mean of MSR of native speakers is 11.43 while Chinese speakers are: group (3.0 -3.5) is 4.90, group (4.0 - 4.5) is 6.41, group (5.0 - 5.5) is 7.64. So we can come to the conclusion that native speakers' speeches are more informative, effective and efficient while Chinese students' speeches are wordier, recurrent and lack of essence.

In conclusion, the quantitative method of using temporal variables as indices of oral English proficiency is a meaningful and effective way to analyze examinees' oral speech. The method of temporal variables lays statistic foundation for the analysis and research on the test of oral speech. It reveals an examinee's oral proficiency in a more direct, scientific and convincing way. The data obtained by means of this method also sheds light on spoken English teaching. In the 21st century, English teaching in the science colleges and universities in China is attaching a growing importance towards spoken English. Besides written test, there is also spoken English test in the national college English test band 4 and 6. Spoken English is especially tested in TOEFL and IELTS. However, spoken English teaching has long been a weak link in the science colleges and universities in China. With spoken English getting more and more concern in China, this quantitative method of using temporal variables is going to receive growing concern in the research of oral English test in China, which will in turn reveal the problems in spoken English teaching and give directions for improvement. Therefore the researching on spoken English test needs to be launched in China now and the teaching of spoken English needs to be strengthened.

#### References

Bachman, L.F. (1990). Fundamental Considerations in language Testing. Oxford: Oxford University press.

Blake, Christopher Grant. (2006). The Potential of Text-based Internet Chats for Improving ESL Oral Fluency. Ph.D. dissertation, Purdue University, 187 pages; AAT 3239774.

Brown, J.D. (1988). Understanding Research in Second Language Learning. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Drommel, R.H. (1980). Towards a subcategorization of speech pauses. In H.W. Dechert & M. Raupach (Eds.), *Temporal Variables in Speech*. The Hague: Mouton Publishers.

Ebel, R.L. & Frisbie, D.A. (1991). Essentials of Educational Measurement. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Ginther, April. Temporal measures of fluency as indices of oral English proficiency. Ninth Annual MwALT (Midwestern Association of Language Testing) Conference at University of Michigan, Oct., 2007.

Hieke, A.E. (1985). A Componential Approach to Oral Fluency Evaluation. *The Modern Language Journal*, 69 (2), 135 – 142.

Krashen, S.D. (1982). Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Oxford: Pergamon.

Kormes, J., & Denes, M. (2004). Exploring measures and perceptions of fluency in the speech of second language learners, System, 32, 145 - 164.

Olynyk, M., D' Anglejan, A., & Sankoff, D. (1987). A quantitative and qualitative analysis of speech markers in the native and second language speech of bilinguals. Applied Psycholinguistics. 8 (121-136).

Qin, Xiaoqing. (2003). Quantitative Data Analysis in Foreign Language Teaching. Wuhan: Central China Institute of Science and Technology Press.

Zou, Shen. (2005). Language Testing. Shanghai: Shanghai Foreign Languages Education Press.

### **Appendix**

A Survey on Spoken English Teaching in the Universities of Science and Engineering in Mainland China

Instruction:

 $\square$  other :  $\_$ 

2007.11

Please answer all of the items that apply to you; if any question is not applicable, skin to the next one. Fither tick the

appropriate answe			y question is in	ot applicable, skip to the flext off	e. Either tick the
A. Demographic	information:				
1. Your gender:	□ male	☐ female			
2. Your age:					
□ 18-22	□ 23-26	□ 27-30	□ above 3	30	
3. Department:					
4. Degree you are	pursuing:				
☐ Undergraduate	□ Ma	ster's $\square$ P	h.D.		
5. How long have	you been livin	g in the United States?	·(	years)	
6. Have you ever t	taken the TOE	FL ibt?			
□ yes □	no				
Have you ever tak	en IELTS?				
□ yes □	no				
7. What was your	score of spoke	n English test in TOEF	FL or IELTS?		
Score of spoken E	nglish in TOE	FL			
Or Score of spol	ken English in	IELTS			
8. Which universit	ty did you grad	luate from in China? _		_	
What was your ma	ajor when you	pursued undergraduate	e degree in Chir	na?	
B. Survey on spo	oken English te	eaching:			
When you were po	ursuing underg	raduate degree in Chir	na,		
1 .Did you take I reading class?	ntensive Engli	sh reading class? If yo	es, for how ma	ny semesters did you have the I	ntensive English
□ yes □ no	$\Box$ 1	$\Box$ 2	$\square$ 3	□ 4	
2. How often do y	ou have your I	ntensive English readi	ng class?		
□ once a week	☐ twice a v	week ☐ three ti	mes a week	☐ Not applicable	
3. What was the te	eaching mode of	of your Intensive Engli	sh reading clas	s in college?	
☐ in a traditional c	classroom, with	nout a multimedia com	puter		
☐ in a traditional c	classroom, with	n a multimedia comput	er		
□ autonomous lear	rning in a com	puter lab, without an ir	nstructor		
☐ autonomous lear	rning in a com	puter lab, with an instr	uctor		

4. Did your Eng	glish teacher incorp	orate any oral activitie	es/ discussions in	each Intensive English reading class?
□ yes □	no			
5. How long do sessions of 110		cussions take up in ea	ch Intensive Eng	tlish reading class? (Here each class refers to
$\square$ 0 mins	☐ 5-10mins	□ 10-20 mins	□ 20-30 mins	□ 30-40mins
6. What was the	e average amount o	f time each student's s	peaking English	in the Intensive English reading class?
□ 0mins	$\Box$ 1-3 mins	$\square$ 3-5 mins	□ 5-10 mi	ins
7.What textboo Publishing Hou		our Intensive English	reading class in	college? Title
8.Did you take reading class?	any Extensive Engl	lish reading class? If y	es, for how many	y semesters did you have the Extensive Englis
$\square$ yes $\square$ no	$\Box$ 1	$\square$ 2	□ 3	□ 4
9.How often do	you have your Ext	ensive English reading	g class?	
$\square$ once a week	□ twice a we	ek 🗆 three tim	nes a week	$\square$ Not applicable
10. Did you tak and Speaking E		d Speaking English cla	ass? If yes, for he	ow many semesters did you have the Listenin
$\square$ yes $\square$ no	□ 1	$\square$ 2	$\square$ 3	□ 4
11. How often of	do you have your L	istening and Speaking	English class?	
$\square$ once a week	□ twice a we	ek 🗆 three tim	nes a week	□ Not applicable
12. What was th	he teaching mode o	f your Listening and S	peaking English	class?
☐ in a traditiona	al classroom, witho	ut a multimedia comp	uter	
☐ in a traditiona	al classroom, with a	multimedia computer	•	
☐ in a multimed	dia computer lab wi	th an instructor		
□ autonomous 1	learning in a compu	ter lab without an inst	ructor	
□ autonomous 1	learning in a compu	ter lab, with an instruc	ctor	
□ other :				
13. What was th	he average amount	of time each student's	speaking English	n in the Listening and Speaking English class?
□ 0mins	☐ 1-3 mins	☐ 3-5 mins	□ 5-10 min	ns
14. What textbo	ook did you use for	your Listening and Sp	eaking English i	n college?
Title		Publishing H	ouse	
15. Did you tak	e Listening English	class? If yes, for how	many semesters	did you have the Listening English class?
$\square$ yes $\square$ no	$\Box$ 1	$\Box$ 2	□ 3	□ 4
16. What was the	he teaching mode of	f your Listening Engli	sh class?	
☐ in a traditiona	al classroom, withou	ut a multimedia comp	uter	
☐ in a traditiona	al classroom, with a	multimedia computer	:	
☐ in a multimed	dia computer lab wi	th an instructor		
□ autonomous l	learning in a compu	ter lab without an inst	ructor	
		ter lab, with an instruc		
□ other :				
17. Did you tak	e any Speaking Eng	glish class? If yes, for	how many semes	sters did you have the speaking English class?
$\square$ yes $\square$ no	$\Box$ 1	$\square$ 2	$\square$ 3	□ 4
18. If you had so or an elective co		lass when you were pu	ursuing undergrad	duate degree in China, was it a required cours
$\square$ required	$\Box$ elective			
19. What was th	he teaching mode of	f your Speaking Engli	sh class?	

	<u> </u>							
$\square$ in a traditional	classroom, without a mu	ltimedia	a com	outer				
☐ in a traditional classroom, with a multimedia computer								
☐ in a multimedia	a computer lab							
□ autonomous lea	arning in a computer lab	without	an ins	structor				
□ autonomous learning in a computer lab, with an instructor								
□ other :								
20. Where was students' speaking ability mainly developed while you were in college?								
☐ in Speaking cla	ess	□ in Iı	ntensiv	e English rea	ding class			
☐ in Listening and	d Speaking class	□ oth	er:					
21. How often do	you do the following ac	tivities	in you	r English clas	s?			
		All	the	Most of	Sometimes	Never	7	
		time		the time				
	Group discussion						1	
	Pair work						1	
	Drill and practice						1	
	Making presentations							
	Watching						_	
	videotapes or							
	DVDs						_	
	Debate							
	degree that your Intensi	_		iding teacher	attached importa	ince to spoken	English?	
☐ great important	-	-	nce					
□ just so-so	□ no importa							
	ratio of your English tea		speaki	ng English / s	peaking Chinese	e in your Intens	ive English class?	
□ 10:0	$\square$ 8:2 $\square$ 6:4 $\square$ 5:5							
	$\square \ 2:8 \qquad \qquad \square \ 0:10$							
•	think of the oral English	•	_			_	•	
(If you had seve	ral English teachers, plea					ight you for the	longest period)	
□ very good	$\square$ good	□ just s	o-so	$\Box$ . poo	or			
25. Where did yo	u learn the knowledge at	out En	glish p	honetic symb	ols?			
				Yes		No		
from middle school English teachers								
fro	from college English teachers							
	from books							
	from videotapes or DVDs							
from family tutors								
I never learn them								
fro	from other source							
26.17			1	1 4 .	0			
	nowledge do you know a							
□ know a lot □ know some □ know just a little □ know nothing								

27. Where did you learn any knowledge about English rhythm and stress? (Check all that apply)

			Y	es			No		
from middle school Enteachers	nglish								
from college English teache	ers								
from books									
from videotapes or DVDs									
from family tutors									
I never learn them									
from other source									
28. Are you clear about the differences bet	ween	spoken	Englis	sh and	written E	nglish?			
☐ know a lot ☐ know some		know j	ust a li	ttle		□ know	nothing	3	
29. Did your college English teacher point	out th	ne diffe	rences	betwee	en spokei	n Englis	sh and w	ritten	English
$\square$ yes $\square$ no									
30. How influential were the following in	the de	velopn	nent of	your sp	oken En	glish?			
	Not h	elpful					very	helpful	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
your middle school English teachers									
your college English teachers									
native speakers									
English lectures									
Books									
videotapes/DVDs									
other source:									
31. Since you came to the U.S., how helpf	ul wer			_	nproving	your sp	oken Ei	nglish?	
Not helpful	1		y helpf		4	_		7	
4-1	1.	2.		3	4	5	6	7	
taking courses									
participating in class discussions making presentations									
participating in social / cultural activities									
going to church	П								
watching TV or DVDs									
other									
32. What textbook of English Listening an									
Title	•	ishing l	•	10011		F			
33. What is the degree that you attach imp		_	_	nglish?	)				
□ great importance □ adequat		-		<i>J</i> = 1.					
□ just so-so □ no impo	•								
34. In your opinion, how much time of speaking English?			ıglish 1	reading	class in	China	should	be devo	ted to a
$\square$ 2/3 $\square$ 1/2 $\square$ 1/3	3		□ 1/4		$\Box$ 0				
35. Do you think it is necessary to set up	a spo	ken Er	nglish o	class fo	r non-Er	ıglish n	najors ir	the uni	versities

and engineering in 1	mainland China?
□ yes	□ no
36. Do you think to studying abroad or a	the English speaking ability you acquired through college English courses is sufficient for your not?
□ yes	□ no
37. Do you have any	y experience of text-based Internet chats in English?
□ yes	□ no
38. Do you think tex	xt - based Internet chats can help develop oral English fluency or not?
□ yes	□ no
39. Can you list any	advantages and disadvantages of text-based Internet chats?
Advantages	
1)	
2)	
3)	
Disadvantages	
1)	
2)	
40. Did you have an	ny experience of learning English in autonomous learning mode?
□ Yes	□ No
41. What do you thi	ink of learning English in autonomous learning mode?
☐ More effective that	an traditional mode of learning
$\square$ As effective as tra	aditional mode of learning
□ Not as effective a	s traditional mode of learning
☐ Autonomous learn	ning mode should be combined with traditional mode
☐ No opinion	
42. To develop stud China?	dents' oral ability, do you have any suggestions on college English teaching reforms in mainland
	<del></del>

Table 1. Descriptive statistics – Selective Temporal Variables

# Temporal measures of fluency as indices of oral English proficiency

April Ginther, Slobodanka Dimova, Jeannie Lee, Rui Yang, Xiaoju Zheng

# **Descriptive Statistics -- Selected Temporal Variables**

Variable	Group	N	Mean	Sd	Min	Max
Silent Pause Time	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	39.24	11.68	15.26	58.76
(SP)	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	28.10	7.12	16.66	39.56
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	21.41	7.31	10.45	35.24
	4 (NS)	11	17.21	6.96	6.55	28.17
Filled Pause Time	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	2.77	2.81	0	9.38
(FP)	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	2.47	2.64	0	9.52
,	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	2.52	1.74	0	5.03
	4 (NS)	11	3.27	3.32	.30	12.18
Speech Time	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	59.91	12.25	34.38	80.06
(ST)	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	66.49	17.55	44.20	97.81
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	73.02	14.88	42.18	93.54
	4 (NS)	11	62.34	20.90	29.29	90.48
Total Response	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	101.92	17.65	67.19	118.04
(TR)	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	97.06	20.16	63.74	117.35
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	96.95	18.33	66.34	117.13
	4 (NS)	11	82.82	28.00	40.00	118.24
SP//TR	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	.38	.08	.18	.50
	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	.29	.08	.15	.41
,	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	.22	.06	.11	.33
	4 (NS)	11	.20	.04	.14	.26
ST//TR	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	.59	.08	.48	.80
(Phonation time)	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	.67	.07	.58	.84
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	.75	.06	.63	.83
	4 (NS)	11	.75	.06	.64	.84
MSR	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	4.90	.99	3.41	6.71
	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	6.41	1.71	4.33	10.66
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	7.64	1.31	5.22	10.08
	4 (NS)	11	11.43	2.20	7.81	14.73
Speech Rate	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	129.04	28.67	87.07	180.76
	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	159.84	24.31	110.01	215.19
/	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	185.04	15.14	165.47	206.95
	4 (NS)	11	217.89	28.27	158.37	252.89
Articulation Rate	1 (3.0-3.5)	18	208.72	32.19	154.02	268.37
	2 (4.0-4.5)	17	226.57	29.37	175.54	282.37
	3 (5.0-5.5)	15	238.96	21.43	208.79	281.24
	4 (NS)	11	274.44	31.17	211.27	311.63

MSR = Mean Syllables per Run = # Syllables // # of Runs : Runs = speech between pauses ≥ .25 Speech Rate = [(# syllables / Total Response Time (TR)) \* 60] : TR = (SP + FP + ST) Articulation Rate = [(# Syllables // (FP + ST)) \* 60]

Table 2. Mean of NS/Mean of non-native speakers

Variable	Group	Mean	Mean of NS/Mean of non-native speakers
MSR	1. (3.0-3.5)	4.90	2.33
	2. (4.0-4.5)	6.41	1.78
	3. (5.0-5.5)	7.64	1.49
	4. (NS)	11.43	
Speech Rate	1. (3.0-3.5)	129.04	1.69
	2. (4.0-4.5)	159.84	1.36
	3. (5.0-5.5)	185.04	1.18
	4. (NS)	217.89	
Articulation Rate	1. (3.0-3.5)	208.72	1.31
	2. (4.0-4.5)	226.57	1.21
	3. (5.0-5.5)	238.96	1.15
	4. (NS)	274.44	