# Theoretical Electronic Structure of the Lowest-Lying Electronic States of the CaBr Molecule

H. Jawhari<sup>1</sup>, M. Korek<sup>1</sup>, R. Awad<sup>2</sup> & M. R. Sakr<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Science, Beirut Arab University, Beirut, Lebanon

<sup>2</sup> Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Egypt

Correspondence: M. Korek, Faculty of Science, Beirut Arab University, P.O.Box 11-5020 Riad El Solh, Beirut 1107 2809, Lebanon. E-mail: fkorek@yahoo.com

Received: November 16, 2013	Accepted: January 24, 2014	Online Published: February 24, 2014
doi:10.5539/apr.v6n2p69	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.	5539/apr.v6n2p69

# Abstract

Sixteen doublet and nine quartet potential energy curves of the low-lying electronic states, in the  $^{2s+1}\Lambda^{(\pm)}$  representation of the molecule CaBr, have been investigated via the Multireference Configuration Interaction method (MRCI) and second-order Multireference Perturbation Theory (RPST2). The harmonic vibrational frequency  $\omega_e$ , the internuclear distance at equilibrium  $R_e$ , the rotational constant  $B_e$ , the electronic energy with respect to the minimum ground state energy  $T_e$ , and the permanent dipole moment at the internuclear distance at equilibrium  $\mu$  have been calculated. By using the canonical functions approach, the eigenvalues  $E_{\nu}$ , the rotational constant  $B_v$  and the abscissas of the turning points  $R_{min}$  and  $R_{max}$  have been calculated for the studied electronic states. By comparing the values of the investigated data to the theoretical and experimental results available in the literature shows a good agreement. For the first time 15 new electronic states have been studied theoretically in the present work.

Keywords: *ab initio* calculation, potential energy curves, spectroscopic constants, dipole moment, rovibrational calculation

## 1. Introduction

In addition to the importance of the alkaline earth monohalides in high-temperature chemistry (D'incan et al., 1991; Verges et al., 1993; Rao et al., 1983; Kaldin et al., 1999) and chemiluminescent reactions (Langhoff et al., 1986; Yang et al., 2004; Handy et al., 1989; Dykstra, 1977) recerent studies have been done for these molecules (Rice et al., 1985; Törring et al., 1989; Harris et al., 1993; Murphy et al., 1990, 1995; Berg et al., 1993). The valence structure of the free Ca<sup>+</sup> ion is perturbed by the negative point charge (Allouche et al., 1993) with a single valence electron around two closed shell ion. The first spectra of CaBr molecule have been observed by Walters and Barratt (1927). The vibrational assignments appearing in Rosen (1980) tables were made by Harrington (1942). Huang et al. (2008) investigated the molecular absorption spectra of CaBr molecule using a high resolution scale spectrometer; and laser-induced fluorescence spectra has been measured by Keijzer et al. (1966). The dissociation energy of this molecule has been determined by Garay Salazar et al. (1997). High-precision Stark effect measurements have been performed on the electronic and vibrational ground state of Ca<sup>79</sup>Br molecule and the dipole moments are determined by Kindt et al. (1983). Reaction cross-section and product polarization in the Ca(<sup>1</sup>D<sub>2</sub>) + HBr  $\rightarrow$  CaBr(A, B) + H reaction has been studied by measuring its chemiluminescence by (Garay Salazar et al., 1995). Laser excitation spectroscopy with hyperfine structure of CaBr of A<sup>2</sup> $\Pi$ -X<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> and B<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> - X<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma$ <sup>+</sup> systems are made by Bernath et al. (1981).

The lag for theoretical calculation for higher excited electronic states stimulated us to investigate in the present work the Potential Energy Curves (PECs) of the 25 low-lying electronic states with the high level MRCI calculation and multireference perturbation theory methods with large basis sets. The spectroscopic constants  $T_e$ ,  $R_e$ ,  $\omega_e$  and  $B_e$  of the molecule CaBr have obtained for the considered electronic states along with the static dipole moment. Based on the electronic structure of the investigated electronic states and by using the canonical functions approach (Kobeissi et al., 1989; Korek et al., 1992, 1999), the energy eigenvalue  $E_v$ , the rotational and the the centrifugal distorsion constant  $B_v$ , and  $D_v$  respectively and the abscissas of the turning points  $R_{min}$  and  $R_{max}$  have been calculated, for different values of the vibrational constants v, for the studied electronic states.

# 2. Theoretical Details

We start an *ab initio* study for the CaBr molecule by using a Hartree-Fock calculation. The assumption behind this technique is that any one electron moves in a potential which is a spherical average of the potential due to all the other electrons and the nucleus. But this technique does not consider the instantaneous coulombic interactions between the electrons. The configuration interaction (CI) is a method used to avoid this deficiency where the awayefunction can be expressed as a linear combination of all possible n-electron singly, doubly, triply ... excited electronic states represented by Slater determinants arising from a complete set of spinorbitals. An improvement of the CI method is obtained by the multiconfiguration self-consistent field method (MCSCF) where the CI coefficients are optimized. The complete active-space self-consistent field method (CASSCF) is an efficient development for the excited states in which the spinorbitals are divided into three classes: inactive, active and virtual orbitals. In the present work two methods of calculation have been used i) In the CI methods the wavefunction  $\Phi_0$  of the ground state is used as a reference configuration. In multireference configuration interaction (MRCI), a set of reference configurations is created, from which excited determinants are formed for use in a CI calculation ii) The Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory (RSPT2), it is based on a CASSCF reference wavefunction, which takes in account of all near degeneracy effects in the electronic structure that occurs in many situations. Remaining dynamic effects are added using the perturbative method, which calculates the first order wavefunction and the second order energy using the CASSCF wavefunction as the zeroth-order reference function (Werner & Knowles, 1985; Knowles & Werner, 1985). All calculations were performed with the MOLPRO program package (Molpro) taking advantage of a graphical user interface GABEDIT (Allouch, 2011). Calcium and Bromine atoms are treated through a pseudo potential approach. From Molpro library the basis set are chosen for s, p and d functions using respectively ECP10MWB and ECP28MWB for Ca and Br atoms. Through the MCSCF/MRCI-RSPT2 calculations, the wave function is studied under  $C_{2y}$  symmetry. The 1s<sup>2</sup> 2s<sup>2</sup> 2p<sup>6</sup> of Ca and 1s<sup>2</sup> 2s<sup>2</sup> 2p<sup>6</sup> 3s<sup>2</sup> 3p<sup>6</sup> 3d<sup>10</sup> of Br atoms were frozen in the MCSCF procedure. The number of closed-shell orbitals is 7 ( $3\sigma$ ,  $2\pi_x$ ,  $2\pi_y$ ,  $0\delta$ ) and the number of active orbitals is 14.

# 3. Results and Discussion

The potential energy curves of CaBr molecule have been obtained using the MRCI and RSPT2 computational methods. The potential energy curves of CaBr molecule have been calculated for a wide internuclear distance range 1.5 Å < R< 11.5 Å. The potential energy curves for 25 electronic states in the representation  $^{2s+1}\Lambda^{(+,-)}$  calculated via MRCI method are drawn in Figures 1–3 for the  $^{2,4}\Sigma^{(+,-)}$ ,  $^{2,4}\Pi$ ,  $^{2,4}\Delta$  and  $^{2}\Phi$  states. The number of investigated electronic states is limited to 25 because of undulation starts to appears with higher electronic states; maybe it is directly attributed to the atomic orbital oscillations of the atoms when approaches each other with varying electron density.



Figure 1. Potential energy curves, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{\pm}$  and  ${}^{2}\Delta$  states of the molecule CaBr



Figure 2. Potential energy curves, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{2}\Pi$  and  ${}^{2}\Phi$  states of the molecule CaBr

Because of the shallow PECs of the quartet electronic states around the equilibrium positions  $R_e$ , the forces that bind the two atoms are very weak and the dissociation energies are very low.



Figure 3. Potential energy curves, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{4}\Sigma^{\pm}$ ,  ${}^{4}\Delta$ , and  ${}^{4}\Pi$  states of the molecule CaBr

Two states of different symmetry cross each other; their wavefunctions remain unperturbed and are adiabatic solutions of the Schrödinger equation. If they have the same symmetry, the two states will only be diabatic solutions of the problem. They will mix with each other to give two adiabatic solutions where the crossing becomes avoided. In fact, the avoided crossing regions are likely to be a leakage channels along which the molecules flow from the higher down to the lower potential energy curves. Such crossings or avoided crossing can dramatically alter the stability of molecules. If these crossings are overlooked then low barrier transitions can be missed and an incorrect chemical picture will arise. In the present work one can notice that, the crossing and avoided crossing are at low and higher doublet excited electronic states, while they are only at higher quartet electronic states. The positions of these crossings and avoided crossing along with the energy difference at the avoided crossing are given in Table 1.

State1	State2	crossing r <sub>c</sub> (Á)	Avoided crossing r <sub>av</sub> (Å)	$\Delta E_{av}(cm^{-1})$
$(X)^2 \Sigma^+$	$(2)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$		5.08	2273.7
$(2)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$	$(3)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$		3.58 and 8.50	1291.8 and 1608
$(3)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$	$(4)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$		2.71	360.1
$(1)^2\Delta$	$(3)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$	3.44		
$(1)^2\Delta$	$(4)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$	4.97		

Table 1. Positions of the crossing and avoided crossings between the different electronic states of the molecule CaBr

$(2)^2\Delta$	$(6)^{2}\Sigma^{+}$	3.49		
(3) <sup>2</sup> ∏	$(4)^2 \prod$		2.47	510.2
$(4)^2 \prod$	$(5)^2 \prod$		2.89	453.5
(5) <sup>2</sup> ∏	$(6)^2 \prod$		3.16	24.5
(6) <sup>2</sup> ∏	$(1)^2 \varphi$	2.82 and 2.84		
$(2)^{4}\Sigma^{+}$	$(1)^4\Delta$	4.69		
$(3)^{4}\Sigma^{+}$	$(1)^{4}\Sigma^{-}$	4.90		
$(4)^{4}\Sigma^{+}$	$(2)^4\Delta$	5.20		
$(4)^{4}\Sigma^{+}$	$(2)^{4}\Sigma^{-}$	3.91		

By fitting the PECs, calculated by MRCI and RSPT2 methods, around the minimum to a polynomial in R, one can obtain the transition energy with respect to the energy minimum for the ground state  $T_e$ , the internuclear distance at equilibrium  $R_e$ , the harmonic frequency  $\omega_e$  and the rotational constants  $B_e$ . These values along with the available data, either theoretical or experimental, in literature are given in Table 2. One can notice the absence of these curves. The positions of the maxima and the spectroscopic constants of the double well potential energy curves of the (2, 4, 5, 6)<sup>2</sup> $\Sigma^+$  states are also given in Table 2.

In literature there are fragmented data on the molecule CaBr; for T<sub>e</sub> there are experimental values (Keijzer et al., 1996; Bernath et al., 1981; Oudejans et al., 1999) for 3 excited electronic states and theoretical results for 4 excited electronic states (Törring et al., 1989; Allouche et al., 1993). The comparison of our calculated values of T<sub>e</sub>, by using the MRCI and RSPT2 techniques, with the experimental data in literature (Keijzer et al., 1996; Bernath et al., 1981; Oudejans et al., 1999) shows that: i) a better precision is obtained by using MRCI method than the RSPT2, ii) a very good agreement for the state (1)<sup>2</sup>Π by using the 2 techniques. By comparison with another alkaline earth monofluoride (CaF molecule) we can notice that, the deviation from experiment is smallest for (1)  ${}^{2}\Pi (\Delta T_{e}/T_{e}=2.7\%)$  and larger for (2)  ${}^{2}\Pi (\Delta T_{e}/T_{e}=14.5\%$  and 17.9). This deviation may be explained by the amount of d orbital participation in the different states (Bündgen et al., 1991); this problem is well known in such types of molecules (Partridge et al., 1983; Pettersson et al., 1983) which may be explained by the D orbital of the alkaline earth atom. The choice of the basis set is a tedious work, but even with the large basis the discrepancy remained large with higher states (Partridge et al., 1983). The comparison of our results with the theoretical data available in literature (Allouche et al., 1993) is not informative since it shows a relative difference 0.7%  $\leq \Delta T_e/T_e \leq 40.7\%$  which is depending on the different techniques used in these calculations.

		$(1)^2 \Sigma^+$			$(1)^{2}\Pi$			$(2)^2\Sigma^+$		
			$\Delta X/X$	$\Delta X/X$		$\Delta X/X$	$\Delta X/X$		$\Delta X/X$	$\Delta X/X$
			(CI)	(RS2)		(CI)	(RS2)		(CI)	(RS2)
_			%	%		%	%		%	%
	MRCI	0			16383.4			18465.3		
	RS2	0			16411.6			19074.1		
	Exp[a]	0			15958.5	2.6	2.8	16383.1	12.7	16.4
	Exp[b]	0			15958.4	2.6	2.8	16383.1	12.7	16.4
	Exp[c]	0			15950	2.7	2.8	16380	12.7	16.4
	Theo[d ]	0			15120	8.3	8.5	16210	13.9	17.6
T <sub>e</sub>	Theo[e]	0			17367	5.6	5.5	18602	0.7	2.5
$(cm^{-1})$	Theo[e]	0			17263	5.0	4.9	18722	1.3	1.8

Table 2. Spectroscopic constants for the ground and low-lying excited  ${}^{2}\Lambda^{(+)}$  states of CaBr molecule

	Theo[e]	0			16670	1.7	1.5	18263	1.1	4.4
	Theo[e]	0			17820	8.0	7.9	21024	12.1	10.2
	Theo[e]	0			15120	8.3	8.5	16210	13.9	17.6
	Max							max		
	MRCI							24367.9		
	RS2							22517		
	MRCI	2.697			2.669			2.667		
	RS2	2.661			2.672			2.685		
	Exp[b]	2.5935	3.9	2.6	2.576	3.6	3.7	2.567	3.8	4.5
R <sub>e</sub>	Theold	2.594	3.9	2.5						
(Å)	]									
	Max							max		
	MRCI							4.622		
	RS2							4.419		
	MRCI							2.667		
	MRCI	300.32			295.18					
	RS2	276.01			282.02					
(I)a	Exp[a]	285.73	5.1	3.4	288.33	2.3				
cm <sup>-1</sup>	Exp[b]	285.73	5.1	3.4	288.56	2.2				
	Max									
	MRCI									
	RS2									
	MRCI	0.0871			0.0886			0.0887		
	RS2	0.0862			0.0884			0.0876		
	Exp[a]	0.0944	7.7	8.6	0.0957	7.4	7.6	0.0965	8.0	9.2
	Exp[b]	0.0944	7.7	8.6	0.0957	7.4	7.6	0.0965	8.0	9.2
Be	Max							max		
cm <sup>-1</sup>	MRCI							0.0295		
	RS2							0.0323		
		$(1)^2\Delta$			$(2)^{2}\Pi$					
			$\Delta X/X$	$\Delta X/X$		$\Delta X/X$	$\Delta X/X$			
			(CI)	(RS2)		(CI)	(RS2)			
			%	%		%	%			
	MRCI	22526.6			29126.7					
	RS2	22945.2			30007.3					
	Exp[a]									
	Exp[b]									
T <sub>e</sub>	Exp[c]				25430	14.5	17.9			
cm <sup>-1</sup>	Theo[d	16300	38.2	40.7	27400	6.2	9.5			
	]									
	Theo[e]	18520	21.6	23.8	28289	2.9	6.0			
	Theo[e]	22310	0.9	2.8	28804	1.1	4.1			
	Theo[e]	16300	38.2	40.7	33556	13.2	10.5			

	Theo[e]		32256	9.7	6.9	
	Theo[e]		27400	6.2	9.5	
R <sub>e</sub>	MRCI	2.735	2.832			
(Å)	RS2	2.748	2.864			
ω <sub>e</sub>	MRCI	237.60	218.54			
cm <sup>-1</sup>	RS2	231.27	205.47			
Be	MRCI	0.0844	0.0787			
cm <sup>-1</sup>	RS2	0.0835	0.0769			

#### Table 2. Continue

		$(5)^2 \Sigma^+$	$(3)^{2}\Pi$	$(6)^2\Sigma^+$	$(3)^2\Delta$	$(6)^{2}\Pi$	$(1)^2 \varphi$
	MRCI	43296.32	42512.48	49420.02	48793.97	49718.28	56016.68
T	RS2	44551.25	41126.46	48466.63	47359.55	48631.84	54691.42
$I_e$	max	max					
$(cm^{-1})$	MRCI	46244.58					
	RS2	43890.44					
	MRCI	2.636	3.732	3.245	5.525	4.704	2.993
R <sub>e</sub>	RS2	2.707	3.598	3.024	5.006	4.393	3.264
(Å)	max	max					
	MRCI	5.869					
	MRCI	250.19	63.077	110.82	53.910	90.355	454.56
()	RS2	165.61	100.894	156.84	61.691	89.793	394.18
$(cm^{-1})$	max	max					
(cm)	MRCI	47.827					
	RS2	195.159					
	MRCI	0.0908	0.0446	0.0599	0.0206	0.0285	0.0705
B <sub>e</sub>	RS2	0.0860	0.0487	0.0690	0.0251	0.0327	0.0592
$(cm^{-1})$	max	max					

<sup>a</sup>Keijzer et al., 1996, <sup>b</sup>Bernath et al., 1989, <sup>c</sup>Oudejans et al., 1999, <sup>d</sup>Törring et al., 1989, <sup>e</sup>Allouche et al., 1993.

By comparing our calculated values of  $R_e$ ,  $\omega_e$ , and  $B_e$ , for the ground and the 2 states (1)  ${}^{2}\Pi$  and (2)  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{+}$ , with the experimental (Keijzer et al., 1996; Bernath et al., 1981) and the theoretical values (Törring et al., 1989) in literature one can find a good agreement with a relative difference varies between 1.9% and 11.6% either calculated by MRCI or RSPT2 techniques. The comparison of our calculated spectroscopic constants for the other investigated electronic states with other values in literature is not possible because they are given here for the first time.

#### 4. Vibration-Rotation Calculation

A promising approach for realizing a quantum computer is based on using the vibrational states of molecules to represent qubits and tailored femtosecond laser pulses to implement quantum gate operations (Tesch et al., 2001; Mishima et al., 2008). This vibrational state approach has several advantages: i) the number of qubits is proportional to the number of vibrational degrees of freedom, ii) more qubits can be realized using a N-atom molecule, iii) the vibrational states of molecules are stable over the time scales of interest, iv) the number of qubits is not limited to ten and, v) by using more vibrational states, it may be possible to represent quantum information units having more than two states |0>, |1>, |2>, |3>.... (Zhao & Babikov, 2006). Using the the canonical functions approach (Kobeissi et al., 1989; Korek et al., 1992, 1999) the radial Schrödinger equation

can be replaced by the system of equations

$$\phi_0^{"}(r) + [e_0 - U(r)]\phi_0(r) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\phi_1''(r) + [e_0 - U(r)]\phi_1(r) = -[e_1 - R(r)]\phi_0(r)$$
(2-1)

$$\phi_{2}^{"}(r) + [e_{0} - U(r)]\phi_{2}(r) = -[e_{1} - R(r)]\phi_{1}(r) - e_{2}\phi_{0}(r)$$
(2-2)

$$\phi_n^{"}(r) + [e_0 - U(r)]\phi_n(r) = R(r)\phi_{n-1} - \sum_{m=1}^n e_m \phi_{n-m}(r)$$
(2-n)

where  $R(r)=1/r^2$ , Equation (1) represents the pure vibrational Schrödinger equation and the remaining equations are the pure rotational Schrödinger equations. Once  $e_0=Ev$  is calculated,  $e_1=Bv$ ,  $e_2=Dv$ , ... can be obtained by using alternatively Equations (2-n). By using the cubic spline interpolation between each two consecutive points of the potential energy curves obtained from the *ab initio* calculation the abscissas of the turning points R<sub>min</sub> and R<sub>max</sub> have been calculated (Table 3).

Table 3. Values of the eigenvalue  $E_v$ , the rotational constant  $B_v$ , the centrifugal distortion constants  $D_v$  and the abscissas of the turning points of the  $(X)^2\Sigma^+$  and  $(1)^2\Pi$  states of CaBr molecule

		Ev	R <sub>min</sub>	R <sub>max</sub>	$B_v \times 10^2$			$D_v \times 10^8$		
	v	cm <sup>-1</sup>	Å	Å	cm <sup>-1</sup>			cm <sup>-1</sup>		
					Calc.	Exp[a]	$\Delta B_V / Bv$	Calc.	Exp[a]	$\Delta D_V / D_V$
	0	126.0	2.636	2.778	8.617	9.348	8.4%	4.025	4.063	0.9%
	1	377.5	2.590	2.836	8.579	9.308	8.4%	3.988	4.065	1.9%
	2	628.0	2.560	2.878	8.542	9.268	8.4%	4.025	4.067	1.0%
$(X)^2 \Sigma^+$	3	876.8	2.536	2.913	8.505			3.957		
	4	1124.6	2.516	2.945	8.468			4.032		
	5	1370.7	2.499	2.974	8.432			3.925		
	6	1615.7	2.484	3.001	8.394			4.014		
	7	1859.2	2.470	3.026	8.360			3.966		
	8	2101.4	2.457	3.051	8.322			3.929		
	9	2342.3	2.445	3.075	8.287			4.055		
	10	2581.6	2.434	3.097	8.253			3.948		
	V	Ev	R <sub>min</sub>	R <sub>max</sub>	$B_v \times 10^2$			$D_v \times 10^8$		
	v	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup>	R <sub>min</sub> Å	R <sub>max</sub> Å	$B_v \times 10^2$ cm <sup>-1</sup>			$D_v \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-1</sup>		
	v	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup>	R <sub>min</sub> Å	R <sub>max</sub> Å	$B_v \times 10^2$ cm <sup>-1</sup> Calc.	Exp[a]	$\Delta B_V/Bv$	$\frac{D_v \times 10^8}{cm^{-1}}$ Calc.	Exp[a]	$\Delta D_V/Dv$
(1)27	<b>v</b> 0	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750	$\begin{array}{c} B_{v} \times 10^{2} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_{v} \times 10^{8} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	<b>v</b> 0 1	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807	$\begin{array}{c} B_{v} \times 10^{2} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> П	<b>v</b> 0 1 2	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848	$\begin{array}{c} B_v \times 10^2 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> П	<b>v</b> 0 1 2 3	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883	$\begin{array}{c} B_v \times 10^2 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	<b>v</b> 0 1 2 3 4	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4 1157.2	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911	$\begin{array}{c} B_v \times 10^2 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	<b>v</b> 0 1 2 3 4 5	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4 1157.2 1410.24	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928 2.475	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911 2.943	$\begin{array}{c} B_{v} \times 10^{2} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ 8.604 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ 3.935 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	v 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4 1157.2 1410.24 1662.22	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928 2.475 2.460	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911 2.943 2.970	$\begin{array}{c} B_v \times 10^2 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ 8.604 \\ 8.566 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ 3.935 \\ 4.062 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	<b>v</b> 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4 1157.2 1410.24 1662.22 1912.51	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928 2.475 2.460 2.446	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911 2.943 2.970 2.995	$\begin{array}{c} B_{v} \times 10^{2} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ 8.604 \\ 8.566 \\ 8.532 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ 3.935 \\ 4.062 \\ 4.025 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	<b>v</b> 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	E <sub>v</sub> cm <sup>-1</sup> 130.0 388.8 646.4 902.4 1157.2 1410.24 1662.22 1912.51 2161.33	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928 2.475 2.460 2.446 2.433	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911 2.943 2.970 2.995 3.019	$\begin{array}{c} B_v \times 10^2 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ 8.604 \\ 8.566 \\ 8.532 \\ 8.494 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ 3.935 \\ 4.062 \\ 4.025 \\ 3.985 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%
(1) <sup>2</sup> Π	v 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	$\begin{array}{c} E_{v} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline 130.0 \\ 388.8 \\ 646.4 \\ 902.4 \\ 1157.2 \\ 1410.24 \\ 1662.22 \\ 1912.51 \\ 2161.33 \\ 2408.83 \end{array}$	R <sub>min</sub> Å 2.610 2.565 2.535 2.512 2.4928 2.475 2.460 2.446 2.433 2.421	R <sub>max</sub> Å 2.750 2.807 2.848 2.883 2.911 2.943 2.970 2.995 3.019 3.042	$\begin{array}{c} B_{v} \times 10^{2} \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 8.792 \\ 8.753 \\ 8.716 \\ 8.678 \\ 8.641 \\ 8.604 \\ 8.566 \\ 8.532 \\ 8.494 \\ 8.460 \\ \end{array}$	Exp[a] 9.472 9.429	ΔB <sub>V</sub> /Bv 7.7% 7.7%	$\begin{array}{c} D_v \times 10^8 \\ cm^{-1} \\ \hline Calc. \\ 4.034 \\ 4.019 \\ 4.032 \\ 3.979 \\ 4.066 \\ 3.935 \\ 4.062 \\ 4.025 \\ 3.985 \\ 4.168 \end{array}$	Exp[a] 4.134 4.158	ΔD <sub>V</sub> /Dv 2.4% 3.4%

<sup>a</sup>Bernath et al., 1981.

The comparison of our calculated values of  $B_v$  and  $D_v$  for the states  $X^2\Sigma^+$  and  $(1)^2\Pi$  with the available experimental values in literature, measured by (Bernath et al., 1981), shows a very good agreement with relative differences  $\delta B_v/B_v$  equal to 8.4% for  $X^2\Sigma^+$  and 7.7% for  $(1)^2\Pi$  states and  $0.9\% \leq \delta D_v/D_v \leq 3.4\%$  for these 2 states. These constants have not been calculated for other electronic states because of the breakdown of the Born-Openheimer approximation at the crossing and avoided crossing of the investigated potential energy curves.

### 5. Dipole Moment

The permanent electric dipole moment  $\mu$  should be amongst the most reliably predicted physical properties because its expectation value is sensitive to the nature of the least energetic and most chemically relevant valence electrons. Among the utility of the permanent electric dipole moment  $\mu$ , it enters into the description of light-matter interaction in resonant spectroscopy, the relative intensity predictions of pure rotational transitions and in the orientating molecules. The degree of alignment depends on the magnitude of  $\mu$ . This approach has been used to study the angular distributions in photodissociations (Oudejans et al., 1999) and collision processes (Loesch, 1995). By taking the Ca atom as an origin in our calculation, the permanent dipole moments of the investigated electronic states have been investigated for the 25 lowest doublet and quartet electronic states of the molecule CaBr. The calculated values of the dipole moments (in Debye) for these electronic states in terms of the internuclear distance R are plotted in Figures 4–6.



Figure 4. Permanent dipole moment, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{2}\Sigma^{\pm}$  and  ${}^{2}\Delta$  states of the molecule CaBr



Figure 5. Permanent dipole moment, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{2}\Pi$  and  ${}^{2}\Phi$  states of the molecule CaBr



Figure 6. Permanent dipole moment, calculated by MRCI method, for the  ${}^{4}\Sigma^{\pm}$ ,  ${}^{4}\Delta$ , and  ${}^{4}\Pi$  states of the molecule CaBr

We notice that these curves tend to zero when R tends to infinity. All these calculations have been performed by using the MOLPRO program. One can notice the agreement between the position of the avoided crossing of the PECs and the crossings of dipole moment curves of these states which can be considered as confirmation of the accuracy of the present work.

#### 6. Conclusions

In the present work, an *ab initio* calculation has been performed for the 25 low lying doublet and quartet electronic states of CaBr molecule using the Multireference Configuration Interaction method (MRCI) and second-order Multireference Perturbation Theory (RSPT2) for the ground and low-lying excited states. These calculations were preceded by Multi-configurational self-consistent field (MCSCF) calculations (Werner & Knowles, 1985; Knowles & Werner, 1985, Atkins & Friedman, 2005 (chapter 7, 8, 9)) in which all valence molecular orbitals were optimized. From Molpro library the basis set are chosen for s, p and d functions using respectively ECP10MWB and ECP28MWB for Ca and Br atoms. The potential energy and the dipole moments curves have been determined along with the spectroscopic constants Re, Be, and we for these states. By using the canonical functions approach the eignvalue  $E_v$  is calculated from the pure vibrational Equation (1). The rotation constants B<sub>v</sub>, the centrifugal distortion constant D<sub>v</sub> and the abscissas of the turning points R<sub>min</sub> and R<sub>max</sub> have been determined for different electronic states of the molecule CaBr up to the vibrational level v=10 by using Equations (2-n). The comparison of these calculated values with the theoretical and experimental data available in the literature demonstrated a very good accuracy. The present calculated values of T<sub>e</sub> are in good agreement with those of the lower experimental electronic states and they are larger by comparing with the higher electronic state  $(2)^2 \Pi$ . The accuracy of our results of T<sub>e</sub> with respect to the theoretical values in literature depends on the theoretical technique of calculation. We believe that the investigation of new valid excited electronic states for both the ground and low-lying excited electronic states based on *ab initio* calculations can be useful for more investigations of the CaBr molecule and may lead to the investigation of new experimental works. Fifteen new electronic states have been investigated in the present work for the first time

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Lebanese Council for Scientific Research, for the financial support of the research project "Electronic Structure of molecules and their thermopower study in nano-wires".

## References

- Allouche, A. R. (2011). Gabedit-A graphical user interface for computational chemistry softwares. J. Comput. Chem., 32, 174. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21600
- Allouche, A. R., Wannous, G., & Aubert-Fréon, M. (1993). A ligand-field approach for the low-lying states of Ca, Sr and Ba monohalides. *Chemical Physics*, *170*, 11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(93)80087-P
- Atkins, P. W., & Friedman, R. S. (2005). Molecular Quantum Mechanics (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Berg, J. M., Murphy, J. E., Harris, N. A., & Field, R W (1993). Observation and Analysis of Core-Penetrating Rydberg States of Calcium Monofluoride. *Phys. Rev. A*, 48, 3012. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.48.3012
- Bernath, P. F., Field, R. W., Pinchemel, B., Lefebvre, Y., & Schamps, J. (1981). Laser Spectroscopy of CaBr:  $A^2\Pi$ -  $X^2\Sigma^+$  and  $B^2\Sigma^+$  -  $X^2\Sigma^+$  Systems. J. Mol. Spectrosc., 88, 175. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(81)90362-3
- Bernath, P. F., Pinchemel, B., & Field, R. W. (1981). The hyperfine structure of the calcium monohalides. J. Chem. Phys., 74, 10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.440957
- Bündgen, P., Engels, B., & Peyerimhoff, S. D. (1991). An MRD-CI study of low-lying electronic states in CaF. Chem. Phys. Lett., 176, 407. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(91)90228-2
- D'incan, J., Effantin, C., Bernard, A., Verges, J., & Barrow, R. F. (1991). Laser spectroscopy of the CaOH A<sup>2</sup>Π-X<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>. *Phys. B*, *24*, L71.
- Dykstra, C. E. (1977). An examination of Brueckner condition for selection of molecular-orbitals in correlated wavefunctions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 45, 466. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(77)80065-1
- Garay Salazar, M., Orea, J. M., & Urena, A. G. (1997). On the determination of D<sup>°</sup><sub>o</sub>(CaBr) from translational energy threshold measurements. *Chem. Phys.*, *216*, 365.
- Garay Salzar, M., Esteban, M., Verdasco, E., & Urena, A. G. (1995). Reaction cross-section and product polarization in the  $Ca(^{1}D_{2}) + HBr \rightarrow CaBr(A,B) + H$  reaction. *Chem. Phys.*, 195, 235.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0104(96)00360-6

- Handy, N. C., Pople, J. A., Head-Gordon, M., Raghavachari, K., & Trucks, G. W. (1989). Size-consistent BruecknerTheory Limited to Double Substitutions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 164, 185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(89)85013-4
- Harrington, R. E. (1942). Ph.D. Thesis, Berkeley.
- Harris, N. A., & Jungen, Ch. (1993). Rydberg states of calcium fluoride. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 70, 2549-2552. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2549
- Huang, M. D., Becker-Ross, H., Florek, S., Heitmann, U., & Okruss, M. (2008). High-resolution continuum source electrothermal absorption spectrometry of AlBr and CaBr for the determination of bromine. *Sectrochimica Acta Part B*, 63, 566. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2008.02.005
- Kaledin, L. A., Bloch, J. C., Mccarthy, M. C., & Field, R. W. (1999). Analysis and Deperturbation of the A<sup>2</sup>∏ and B<sup>2</sup>∑<sup>+</sup> States of CaF. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, *197*, 289. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmsp.1999.7909
- Keijzer, F., Teule, J. M., Bulthuis, J., De Graaff, G. J., Hilgeman, M. H., Janssen, M. H. M. E., ... Stolte, S. (1996). Reactions of Ca and Sr with CH<sub>3</sub>X and CF<sub>3</sub>X (X = Br, I). A laser-induced fluorescence study on nascent CaBr, SrBr, and SrI. *Chem. Phys.*, 207, 261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(95)00369-X
- Kindt, S., Ernst, W. E., & Torring, T. (1983). Stark effect measurements on Ca<sup>79</sup>Br X<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 103, 241. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(83)80390-X
- Knowles, P. J., & Werner, H. J. (1985). An efficient second-order MC SCF method for long configuration expansions. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, *115*, 259. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(85)80025-7
- Kobeissi, H., Korek, M., & Dagher, M. (1989). On the computation of diatomic centrifugal distortion constants: Exact solutions for initial value problems. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, *138*, 1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(89)90092-1
- Korek, M. (1999). A one directional shooting method for the computation of diatomic centrifugal distortion constants. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 119, 169. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(98)00180-5
- Korek, M., & Kobeissi, H. (1992). Highly accurate diatomic centrifugal distortion constants for high orders and high levels. J. Comput. Chem., 13, 1103. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.540130909
- Langhoff, S. R., Bauschlicher, C. W., & Partridge, H. (1986). Theoretical dissociation energies for the alkali and alkaline-earth monofluorides and monochlorides. J. Chem. Phys., 84, 1687. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.450466
- Loesch, H. J. (1995). Orientation and alignment in reactive beam collisions: recent progress. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, *46*, 555. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pc.46.100195.003011
- Mishima, K., Tokumo, K., & Yamashita, K. (2008). Quantum computing using molecular electronic and vibrational states. *Chem. Phys.*, 343, 61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2007.10.027
- Murphy, J. E., Berg, J. M., Merer, A. J., Harris, N., & Field, R. W. (1990). Rydberg States and ionization Potential of Calcium Monofluoride. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65, 1861. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.1861
- Murphy, M. T., Flambaum, V. V., Muller, S., & Henkel, C. (2008). Strong limit on a variable proton-to-electron mass ratio from molecules in the distant universe. *Science*, *320*, 1611. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1156352
- Oudejans, L., Moore, D. T., & Miller, R. E. (1999). State-to-state vibrational predissociation dynamics of the acetylene-HF compolex. J. Chem. Phys., 110, 209. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.478096
- Partridge, H., Bauschlicher Jr., C. W., & Langghoff, S. R. (1984). The dissociation energy of ionic molecules; Selected oxides and fluorides: LiO, LiF, BeO, BeF, MgF, CaF and SrF. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 109, 446. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(84)80341-3
- Partridge, H., Bauschlicher, C. W., Walch, S. P., & Liu, B. (1983). An ab initio study of core-valence correlation. J. Chem. Phys., 79, 1866. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.445963
- Pettersson, L. G. M., Siegbahn, P. E. M., & Ismail, S. (1983). Core-valence correlation effects in calcium hydride. *Chem. Phys.* 82, 355. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(83)85240-9
- Rao, T. V. R., Reddy, R. R., & Reddy, A. S. (1983). Potential energy curves and bond dissociation energies of

CaF, CaCl, CaI and CaH. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.), 105, 249. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-1280(83)80203-6

Rice, S. F., Martin, H., & Field, W. (1985). The Electronic Structure of the Calcium Monohalides. A Ligand Field Approach. J. Chem. Phys., 82, 5023-5034. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.448676

Rosen, B. (1970). In "International Tables of Selected Constants," Pergamon, New York.

- Tesch, C. M., Kurtz, L., & de Vivie-Riedle, R. (2001). Applying optimal control theory for elements of quantum computation in molecular systems. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, *343*, 633. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(01)00748-5
- Törring, T., Ernst, W. E., J. & Kandler, J. (1989). Energies and electric dipole moments of the low lying electronic states of the alkaline earth monohalides from an electrostatic polarization model. J. Chem. Phys., 90, 4927-4932. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.456589Verges, J., Effantin, C., Bernard, A., Topouzkhanian, A., Allouche, A. R., D' incan, J., & Barrow, R. F. (1993). The (B)<sup>2</sup>∆ state of CaF. J. Phys. B, 26, 279. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/26/2/011
- Walters, O. H., & Barratt, S. (1928). The alkaline earth halide spectra and their origin. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A, 118*, 120. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1928.0040
- Werner, H. J., & Knowles, P. J. (1985). A second order multiconfiguration SCF procedure with optimum convergence. *Chem. Phys.*, 82, 5053. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.448627
- Werner, H. J., Knowles, P. J., Lindh, R., Manby, F. R., Schütz, M., Celani, P., ... Wang, M. (2012). Molpro quantum chemistry package. Retrieved from http://www.molpro.net/
- Yang, C. L., Zhang, X., & Han, K. L. (2004). Theoretical study on analytical potential function and spectroscopic parameters for CaF molecule. J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.), 678, 183. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.theochem.2004.03.021
- Zhao, M., & Babikov, D. (2006). Phase control in the vibrational qubit. J. Chem. Phys., 125, 024105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2220039

## Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).