Effect of Vanadium Addition at a Rate of 0.1% on the Mechanical Characteristics, Microstructure, and Microhardness of Al-Cu Casted Alloys
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Abstract
Due to essential need of Al-Cu alloys in different applications such as aerospace and automotive industries, and the mechanical characteristics of Al-Cu alloys being weak; the effect of vanadium addition at a rate of 0.1% on the mechanical behavior, microhardness, and microstructure have been investigated. The effect of upsetting process -cold work- on the microstructure, microhardness has been also investigated. Three set of alloys namely; Al, Al-9%Cu, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V were prepared. The specimens for microstructure, microhardness and compression tests were machined. The mechanical tests were performed to investigate the effect of vanadium addition and the effect of upsetting process. It was found that the 0.1% vanadium addition resulted in grain refinement of Al-9% Cu alloys of about 32.3 %, furthermore, and extra refining resulted after upsetting process by 44.2 %. On the other hand, the microhardness of Al-9%Cu enhanced by 54.1 %, and the valuable result was a 422.8 % enhancement in the microhardness of Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy after upsetting process compared to pure Al.
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1. Introduction
Owing to their low weight, high strength, good corrosion resistance and easy casting the Al–Cu–Si–Mg alloys have a wide range of usage in automotive and aerospace industries (Kilass and Radimilovic, 2001; Reif et al., 1997). Aluminum alloys with transition metals are a promising base for fabricating super high-temperature and light alloys that can be used as matrices for composite materials (Drits, 1985). Transition metals are characterized by strong interatomic bonding and low diffusivity in solid aluminum, and the limiting solubility of these metals in the solid solution is very low. The use of such treatment methods as laser heating and spinning, which ensure a cooling rate of the metal on the order of 106 K/s, promotes the appearance of metastable phases (Bainbridge and Adam, 1975), including aluminum-base supersaturated solid solutions (SSS) and the content of alloying elements in which exceeds their limiting solubility in equilibrium state (Dobatkin, 1975).

Addition of copper to Al–Si alloys can form CuAl2 phases and other intermetallic compounds, which increase strength of casting parts (Gautheir et al., 1994; Mulazimoglu et al., 1995; and Samuel et al., 1996). Copper also increases heat treatability of the alloy. Addition of copper decreases significantly the melting point and eutectic temperature of the alloy. Therefore, the copper increases the solidification range of the alloy (Anylalebechi, 1995; and Cacers et al., 1999).

The effect of microalloying aluminum and its alloys by different refractory metals and the effect of addition of some transition materials namely V and Zr on the mechanical behavior and machinability of commercially pure aluminum have been also investigated (Zaid and Abdll-Hamid, 2000; Zaid and Abdll-Hamid, 2001). The grain efficiency is affected by many factors under three headings namely; parameters related to Al or Al alloy melt, parameters related to the grain refiner itself, and parameters related to the procedure followed in carrying out the grain refinement process. It has been also reported that the effectiveness of the grain refinement depends on the purity of the Al melt (Jones and Pearson, 1976).

This study has been motivated to investigate the prominent effects of 0.1% vanadium addition on the microstructure, microhardness, and mechanical properties of Al–9%Cu casted alloy. Furthermore, the effect of the upsetting process on the produced alloys namely Al, Al-9%Cu, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V are presented and studied.
2. Materials, Equipment, and Experimental Procedures

2.1 Materials
In this study, pure aluminum rod was used. Copper and vanadium powder were used to prepare the required alloys.

2.1.1 Aluminum
Commercially pure aluminum of 98.85% purity was used as rods, and its chemical composition shown in Table 1, is analyzed by X-ray fluorescence technique (XRF model 1800- shemadzu, Japan).

2.1.2 Copper
Pure copper is used extensively as cable, wire, and pure powder. The density of copper is 9.95 gm.cm\(^{-3}\) and the melting point is 1080°C. Copper has many important advantages; its corrosion resistance, easily fabricated, high electrical and thermal conductivity. Copper of 99.99% purity was used.

2.1.3 Vanadium
Vanadium was used as a powder of 99.99 % purity, where its melting point is 1910°C and its density is 6 gm.cm\(^{-3}\).

2.2 Equipment
A set of machines and equipment were used throughout the experimental work:

An electric resistance furnace (Carbolite) with 0-1100°C has been used for melting the base materials. Casting mould has been used to cast the molten metals. The elemental contents of the casted alloys have been analyzed using XRF (Model 1800- shemadzu, Japan) technique. Digital microhardness tester (model HWDM-3) was used to determine the hardness of the casted alloys (in Hv).

Universal Testing Machine with 100KN capacity (Quasar 100) was used to perform the upsetting test. The microstructure phtomicroscan for the casted alloys has been carried out using a Microscope type (NIKON 108). CNC lathe machine (Boxford) was used to prepare the required dimensions of the specimens.

2.3 Experimental Procedures

2.3.1 Master alloy preparation
Binary Al-5%V was prepared by melting 95 gm of pure aluminum, and then 5 gm of vanadium powder was added to the Al molten metal. After that, the mixture is steered for two minutes, the mixture return back to furnace for 30 min, and then it poured on cast iron plate. The XRF analysis was used to insure the vanadium percentage.

2.3.2 Microalloy preparation
Al-9%Cu alloy was prepared by adding 18 gm copper to 182 gm of pure aluminum, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy was prepared by adding the precalculated mass from Al-5%V master alloy. The molten mixture of each alloy was poured in special brass mold shown in Fig. 1. The brass mold is prepared by CNC lathe machine. The Al alloys in the as cast condition are shown in Table 2.

2.3.3 Microstructure test
A specimen from each of Al, Al-9%Cu, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloys was mounted then grinded using emery paper of 200, 400, 600, 800, 1000, and 1200 grades, followed by diamond baste, and then etched using (5% HNO\(_3\), 3% HCL, 4% HF, and 88% H\(_2\)O) solution in order to get a clear microstructure for each casted alloy.

2.3.4 Microhardness test
Microhardness test was carried out using HWDM-3 microhardness tester at 200 gm force on Al, Al-9%Cu, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V casted alloys. Five microhardness readings were taken for each alloy from which the average microhardness was calculated.

2.3.5 Compression test
Cylindrical specimens of 15 mm diameter and 15 mm length were machined using Boxford CNC lathe machine. The prepared cylindrical specimens were subjected to compression test at room temperature using (Quasar 100 Universal Testing Machine with 100 KN capacity) at 1*10\(^{-3}\)/s strain rate. The load-deflection curve (see appendix-A, Fig.A-1) was obtained for each type of the prepared alloys from which the true stress-true strain curve was determined. The compression test was repeated three times for each alloy, and then the average of load-deflection has been calculated. Fig.2 illustrates the specimen before and after upsetting process.

2.3.6 Average grain size measurement
Line intercept method was used to determine the average grain size using Digital microhardness tester (model HWDM-3) at 400x magnification.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Effect of vanadium addition at a rate of 0.1% on the microstructure of Al-9%Cu casted alloys
It can be shown from Fig.3 (a) that aluminum has a course columnar structure which indicates that the mechanical properties are weak. Based on the Al-Cu binary phase diagram (see appendix-A, Fig. A-2), 9% of
copper has been added to the Al. This addition reduced the average grain size, where Cu was precipitated on the grain boundary and distributed throughout the grains as shown Fig.3 (b). This can be attributed to the formation of intermetallic compound CuAl2 (Gautheir et al., 1994; Mulazimoglu et al., 1995; and Samuel et al., 1996). A new addition of 0.1% vanadium to Al-9%Cu alloy showed a prominent reduction in the average grain size, and this reduction is accounted to the formulation of Al21V2 intermetallic compound as shown in Fig.3 (c). In the following sections, the microhardness and mechanical tests clarify how the reduction in grain size affects the mechanical properties of Al-Cu alloy.

3.2 Effect of upsetting process on the average grain size of Al-9%Cu grain refined by 0.1 % vanadium
It can be seen from Fig.4 that after the upsetting process (cold work), further reduction in the average grain size was attained, and this reduction is expected to show a prominent enhancement in the mechanical properties of Al-9%Cu-0.1%V.

3.3 Effect of vanadium addition at a rate of 0.1% on the average grain size of Al-9%Cu casted alloys
It is obviously illustrated by Fig.5 that the grain size of Al was reduced by 3.65 times (124-34 μm) after adding 9% Cu to Al, and the addition of 0.1% V to Al-9%Cu increases the average grain size by 32.3% (34-45 μm). According to hall pitch equation, the inverse relation between the mechanical properties and the grain diameter is given by:

\[ \sigma_y = \sigma_o + \frac{k_y}{\sqrt{d}} \]  

where \( \sigma_y \) is the yield stress, \( \sigma_o \) and \( k_y \) are constants for a particular material. d is the average grain diameter.

3.4 Effect of upsetting process on the average grain size of Al-9%Cu alloy refined by vanadium
It is obviously shown in Fig.6 that the average grain size of upsetted pure Al was refined by 42.9% (38.5-22 μm) and 44.2% (38.5-21.5 μm) for upsetted Al-9%Cu alloy and upsetted Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy respectively. This enhancement is attributed to the cold work process; the strain hardening process, in which the original grain boundaries destroyed followed by re-crystallization and grain growth.

The direct comparison between the grain refinement of Al, Al-9%Cu and Al-9%Cu before and after the upsetting process is illustrated by Fig. 7. It is worth to mention that, before the upsetting process, the average grain size after adding 9% Cu is larger than that of 0.1% V addition, whereas the average grain size, after the upsetting process, for 0.1% V addition is larger than that of 9% Cu addition. This finding throws a significant remark for further alloys fabrication. By other words, a micro-level addition of a transition element like vanadium followed by upsetting process is highly recommend for Al industry.

3.5 Effect of 9% Cu and 0.1% V addition on the maximum density of pure Al
As indicated by Fig.8, the maximum density of Al is increased by 20.8 % (from 2.7-3.2616 gm/cm^3) after 0.9% Cu addition. On the other hand, it is slightly changed after 0.1% V addition, and this slight change is accounted to the formulation of Al21V2 intermetallic compound. Although the change in maximum density after vanadium addition is small, the structure is completely refined.

3.6 Effect of vanadium addition at a rate of 0.1% on the microhardness of Al-9%Cu casted alloys
Fig. 9 shows a 108.1% (28.5-59.3 Hv) enhancement in the hardness of pure Al after adding 9% Cu, and this enhancement is accounted to CuAl2 intermetallic compound formulation that hindering the grain growth. Further enhancement of 53.4% (59.3-91.4 Hv) was achieved after adding 0.1% V, which formulates the Al21V2 intermetallic compound.

3.7 Effect of upsetting process on the microhardness of Al-9 %Cu grain refined by vanadium
Fig.10 shows that the microhardness of Al-9%Cu alloy was slightly decreased after upsetting process despite that the average grain size was decreased. After the upsetting process, the microhardness is increased by 157.8% (57.8-149 Hv) after adding 0.1% V, and this increase can be clarified by grain refinement and internally existence of Al21V2 intermetallic compound inside the grain. It is valuable to now that there is a 422.8% (28.5-149 Hv) enhancement in the microhardness of Al-9%Cu-0.1%V after upsetting process compared to pure Al. Consequently, it is not recommended to conduct upsetting process after 9% Cu addition before adding a micro level of vanadium, but a significant refinement can be attained by performing the upsetting process to Al-9%Cu after adding vanadium. As an interesting result, it is clearly noticed that the addition of a transition element such as vanadium followed by upsetting process reduce the average grain size, and this reduction is accompanied with a reasonable microhardness enhancement. These distinguished results should be implemented in Al industry.

3.8 Effect of vanadium addition on the mechanical characteristics of Al-9 %Cu
It can be seen from Fig.11 that the mechanical behavior is enhanced by 66.7 % at 9%Cu addition, and a better enhancement of 200 % is achieved after adding 0.1% V, where this comparison was done at 0.2 strain. The addition of 0.1% V is based on the binary phase diagram of Al-V (see as appendix A, Fig. A-3). This highly
detected enhancement is attributed to grain refinement and internally existence of $\text{Al}_2\text{V}_2$ intermetallic compound inside the grain that resulted after vanadium addition.

4. Conclusions

Grain refinement, microhardness, and mechanical characteristics of pure Al, Al-9%Cu alloy, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy have been studied. The effect of conducting upsetting process on the grain refinement and microhardness of the casted alloys has also been investigated. Many significant conclusions can be drawn from the findings of this comprehensive experimental study:

- The average grain size of pure Al was refined by 3.65 times after adding 9% Cu.
- The average grain size was refined by 32.3 % after adding 0.1% V to Al-9%Cu alloy.
- The average grain size of the upsetted Al-9%Cu alloy was refined by 42.9 % compared to upsetted pure Al.
- The average grain size of upsetted Al-9% Cu-0.1%V was refined by 44.2 % compared to upsetted pure Al.
- The change in maximum density of Al-9%Cu is slightly small after adding 0.1% V, however, the structure is completely grain refined.
- a 108.1 % enhancement in the hardness of pure Al after adding 9% Cu was attained, and a further enhancement of 54.1 % is also attained by adding 0.1% V.
- It is worth to mention that there is a 422.8 % enhancement in the microhardness of Al- 9% Cu-0.1 % V after upsetting process compared to pure Al.
- The mechanical behavior was enhanced by 66.7 % at 9% Cu addition, where the enhancement is 200 % that achieved after 0.1 % vanadium addition.
- As an interesting result, it is clearly noticed that the addition of a transition element such as vanadium followed by upsetting process reduce the average grain size, and this reduction is accompanied with a reasonable microhardness enhancement. These distinguished results should be implemented in Al industry.
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Table 1. Chemical composition of commercially pure aluminum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Si+Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%wt</td>
<td>98.85</td>
<td>0.05-0.2</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The Al alloys and microalloys in the as cast condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Al-Alloy</th>
<th>Al (wt %)</th>
<th>Cu (wt %)</th>
<th>V (wt %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-9%Cu</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-9%Cu-0.1%V</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A-1. Autographic record (load-elongation) based on compression test for Al-9%Cu-0.1%V casted alloys

Figure A-2. Binary phase diagram of Al-Cu alloy
Figure A-3. Binary phase diagram of Al-V alloy

Figure 1. Brass mold

Figure 2. Compression test specimen
Figure 3. Photomicroscan of a) pure Al, b) Al-9 % Cu alloy, and c) Al-9 % Cu-0.1%V alloy at 400x. The dark regions in photo-b represent Cu precipitate.

Figure 4. Photomicroscan of a) pure Al, b) Al-9 %Cu alloy, and c) Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy after upsetting process at 400x. Crystallization and grain growth.
Figure 5. Average grain size of pure Al, Al-9%Cu alloy, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy before upsetting process

Figure 6. Average grain size of pure Al, Al-9%Cu alloy, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy after upsetting process
Figure 7. Average grain size of pure Al, Al-9%Cu alloy, and Al-9%Cu-0.1%V alloy before and after upsetting process.

Figure 8. Maximum density of the Al casted alloys.
Figure 9. Effect of 9% Cu and 0.1% V addition on the microhardness of pure Al before upsetting process.

Figure 10. Effect of 9% Cu and 0.1% V addition on the microhardness on pure Al before and after upsetting process.
Figure 11. Effect of 9% Cu and 0.1% V addition on the mechanical characteristics of pure Al