

On Complex Contact Similarity Manifolds

Yoshinobu Kamishima¹ & Akira Tanaka²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan

² Department of Mathematics and Information of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan

Correspondence: Akira Tanaka, Department of Mathematics and Information of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan. E-mail: tanaka-akira@ed.tmu.ac.jp

Received: July 14, 2013 Accepted: August 27, 2013 Online Published: September 29, 2013

doi:10.5539/jmr.v5n4p1 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v5n4p1>

Abstract

We shall construct *complex contact similarity manifolds*. Among them there exists a complex contact infranil-manifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ which is a holomorphic torus fiber space over a quaternionic euclidean orbifold. Specifically taking a connected sum of $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ with the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$, we prove that the connected sum admits a complex contact structure. Our examples of complex contact manifolds are different from those known previously as complex Boothby-Wang fibration (Foreman, 2000) or the twistor fibration (Salamon, 1989).

Keywords: complex contact structure, Sasakian 3-structure, Twistor space, complex Boothby-Wang fibration, Infranil-manifold, Quaternionic Kähler manifold

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D10, 57S25, 32Q55

1. Introduction

There is a construction of three different types of complex contact structure. Given a $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic Kähler manifold N of nonzero scalar curvature, the twistor construction produces a complex contact manifold M which is the total space of a fibration: $S^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ (cf. Salamon, 1989; Wolf, 1965). Similarly, a quaternionic Kähler manifold N^{4n} of positive (resp. negative) scalar curvature induces a Sasakian 3-structure (resp. pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure) on the total space M^{4n+3} of the principal fibration: $S^3 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$. By taking a circle S^1 from S^3 , the total space M/S^1 of the quotient bundle $S^2 \rightarrow M/S^1 \rightarrow N$ admits a complex contact structure. (See Ishihara & Konishi, 1979; Moroianu & Semmelmann, 1996; Tanno, 1996). However, these constructions cannot produce complex contact manifolds for quaternionic Kähler manifolds of vanishing scalar curvature. On the other hand, if N^{4n} is a complex symplectic manifold with a complex symplectic form $\Omega = \Omega_1 + i\Omega_2$ such that $[\Omega_i] \in H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ is an integral class ($i = 1, 2$), then the *complex Boothby-Wang fibration* induces a compact complex contact manifold M which has a connection bundle: $T^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ (cf. Foreman, 2000; Blair, 2002). If N^{4n} happens to be a quaternionic Kähler manifold with vanishing scalar curvature, then we have a new example of compact complex manifold. In fact, Foreman (2000) shows that a complex nilmanifold M which is the total space of a principal torus bundle over a complex torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}$ admits a complex contact structure. The universal covering $\tilde{M}$ is endowed with a complex nilpotent Lie group structure which is called *generalized complex Heisenberg group* in Foreman, 2000.

In this paper, we study *complex contact transformation groups* by taking into account this specific nilpotent Lie group. We verify this group from the viewpoint of geometric structure in Section 4. In fact the sphere S^{4n+3} admits a canonical quaternionic CR-structure. The sphere S^{4n+3} with one point ∞ removed is isomorphic to the $4n + 3$ -dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg Lie group $\mathcal{M}$ as a quaternionic CR-structure. $\mathcal{M}$ has a central group extension: $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow 1$ where $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ is the imaginary part of the quaternion field $\mathbb{H}$. Taking a quotient of $\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathbb{R} (= \mathbb{R}i)$, we obtain a complex nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{L} (= \mathcal{L}_{2n+1})$ which supports a holomorphic principal bundle $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$. The canonical quaternionic CR-structure on S^{4n+3} restricts a Carnot-Carathéodory structure B to $\mathcal{M}$. Using this bundle B , a left invariant complex contact structure on $\mathcal{L}$ is obtained (cf. Alekseevsky & Kamishima, 2008; Kamishima, 1999).

We are mainly interested in constructing examples of *compact* complex contact manifolds which are not known

previously. Let $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ be the group of complex contact similarity transformations. It is defined to be the semidirect product $\mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*)$, ($\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$). The pair $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ is said to be *complex contact similarity geometry*. A manifold M locally modelled on this geometry is called a complex contact similarity manifold. Denote by $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$ the group of complex contact transformations of M . We prove the following characterization of compact complex contact similarity manifolds in Section 2 (Compare Fried, 1980; Miner, 1991 for the related results in this direction).

Theorem A *Let M be a compact complex contact similarity manifold of complex dimension $2n + 1$. If $S^1 \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$ acts on M without fixed points, then M is holomorphically diffeomorphic to a complex contact infranil-manifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ or a complex contact Hopf manifold $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}^+$ diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$. Here Γ is a discrete cocompact subgroup in $\mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}^+$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$.*

In Section 3, we can perform a connected sum of our complex contact infranil-manifolds $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ ($\Gamma \leq E(\mathcal{L})$).

Theorem B *The connected sum $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ admits a complex contact structure.*

By iteration of this procedure there exists a complex contact structure on the connected sum of a finite number of complex contact similarity manifolds and $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$'s. These examples are different from those admitting S^2 (resp. T^2)-fibrations.

2. Complex Contact Structure on the Nilpotent Group

2.1 Definition of Complex Contact Structure

Recall that a complex contact structure on a complex manifold M in complex dimension $2n + 1$ is a collection of local forms $\{U_\alpha, \omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ which satisfies that (1) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha = M$. (2) Each ω_α is a holomorphic 1-form defined on U_α . Then $\omega_\alpha \wedge (d\omega_\alpha)^n \neq 0$ on U_α . (3) If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a nonzero holomorphic function $f_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ such that $f_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \omega_\alpha = \omega_\beta$. Unlike contact structures on orientable smooth manifolds, it does not always exist a holomorphic 1-form globally defined on M . Note that if the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ vanishes, then there is a global existence of a complex contact form ω on M . (See Kobayashi, 1959; Lebrun, 1995).

Let $h: M \rightarrow M$ be a biholomorphism. Suppose that $h(U_\alpha) \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. If there exists a holomorphic function $f_{\alpha\beta}$ on an open subset in U_α such that $h^* \omega_\beta = f_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha$, then we call h a *complex contact transformation* of M . Denote $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$ the group of complex contact transformations. It is not necessarily a finite dimensional complex Lie group.

2.2 The Iwasawa Nilpotent Lie Group $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ be the product $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with group law ($n \geq 1$):

$$(x, z) \cdot (y, w) = (x + y + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} w_{2i} - z_{2i} w_{2i-1}, z + w) \quad (2.1)$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{2n}), w = (w_1, \dots, w_{2n})$.

Put $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$. It is easy to see that $[(x, z), (y, w)] = (2 \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} w_{2i} - z_{2i} w_{2i-1}, 0)$ so $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] = (\mathbb{C}, (0, \dots, 0)) = \mathbb{C}$ is

the center of $\mathcal{L}$. Thus there is a central group extension: $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow 1$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{L}_3$ is isomorphic to the Iwasawa group consisting of 3×3 -upper triangular unipotent complex matrices.

Definition 2.1 A complex $2n + 1$ -dimensional complex nilpotent Lie group $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ is said to be the Iwasawa Lie group.

See (Foreman, 2000, pp.193-195) for more general construction of this kind of Lie group.

2.3 Construction of Complex Contact Structure on $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$

Choose a coordinate $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2n}) \in \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$, we define a complex 1-form η :

$$\eta = dz_0 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1} \right) = dz_0 - (z_1, \dots, z_{2n}) J_n \begin{pmatrix} dz_1 \\ \vdots \\ dz_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{where } J_n = \begin{pmatrix} J & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$ is a non-vanishing form $2n(-2)^n dz_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_{2n}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$, η is a complex contact structure on $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ by Definition 2.1.

2.4 Complex Contact Transformations

Let $\text{hol}(\mathcal{L}_{2n+1})$ be the group of biholomorphic transformations of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$. The group of complex contact transformations on $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to η is denoted by

$$\text{hol}(\mathcal{L}, \eta) = \{f \in \text{hol}(\mathcal{L}) \mid f^* \eta = \tau \cdot \eta\} \quad (2.3)$$

where τ is a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{L}$.

Let $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in M(2n, \mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t A J_n A = J_n\}$ be the complex symplectic group. As $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{C}^* = \{\pm 1\}$, denote $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^* / \{\pm 1\}$. Put

$$A(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*) \quad (2.4)$$

which forms a group as follows; write elements $\lambda \cdot A, \mu \cdot B \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*$ for $A, B \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}), \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $(a, w), (b, z) \in \mathcal{L}$. Define

$$((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \cdot ((b, z), \mu \cdot B) = ((a + \lambda^2 b + {}^t w J_n (\lambda A z), w + \lambda A z), \lambda \mu \cdot AB).$$

Here ${}^t w J_n (\lambda A z) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{2i-1} \cdot (\lambda A z)_{2i} - w_{2i} \cdot (\lambda A z)_{2i-1}$ as before.

Let $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in A(\mathcal{L}), (z_0, z) \in \mathcal{L}$. $A(\mathcal{L})$ acts on $\mathcal{L}$ as

$$((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \cdot (z_0, z) = (a, w) \cdot (\lambda^2 z_0, \lambda A z) = (a + \lambda^2 z_0 + {}^t w J_n (\lambda A z), w + \lambda A z). \quad (2.5)$$

If $h = ((b, w), \mu \cdot B) \in A(\mathcal{L})$ is an element, then it is easy to see that

$$h^* \eta = \mu^2 \cdot \eta. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus $A(\mathcal{L})$ preserves the complex contact structure on $\mathcal{L}$ defined by η .

Let $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rtimes \text{GL}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$ be the complex affine group which is a subgroup of $\text{hol}(\mathcal{L})$ since $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ (biholomorphically). We assign to each $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in A(\mathcal{L})$ an element

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ w \end{bmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & {}^t w J_n A \\ 0 & \lambda A \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}). \quad (2.7)$$

Then the action (2.5) of $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A)$ on $\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the above affine transformation of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that this correspondence is an injective homomorphism:

$$A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}). \quad (2.8)$$

As a consequence it follows

$$A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{hol}(\mathcal{L}, \eta). \quad (2.9)$$

Let M be a smooth manifold. Suppose that there exists a maximal collection of charts $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ whose coordinate changes belong to $A(\mathcal{L})$. More precisely, $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a unique element $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$ such that $g_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \cdot \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ on $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$. We say that M is locally modelled on $(A(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ (Compare Kulkarni, 1978).

Here is a sufficient condition for the existence on complex contact structure.

Proposition 2.2 *If a $(4n+2)$ -dimensional smooth manifold M is locally modelled on $(A(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$, then M is a complex contact manifold. Moreover, M is also a complex affinely flat manifold.*

Proof. First of all, we define a complex structure on M . Let J_0 be the standard complex structure on $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Define a complex structure J_α on U_α by setting $\varphi_{\alpha*}J_\alpha = J_0\varphi_{\alpha*}$ on U_α for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. When $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$, note that $g_{\alpha\beta*}J_0 = J_0g_{\alpha\beta*}$ from (2.8). On $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, a calculation shows that $\varphi_{\beta*}J_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta*}\varphi_{\alpha*}J_\alpha = J_0\varphi_{\beta*}$. Since $\varphi_{\beta*}J_\beta = J_0\varphi_{\beta*}$ by the definition, it follows $J_\alpha = J_\beta$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. This defines a complex structure J on M . In particular, each $\varphi_\alpha: (U_\alpha, J) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}, J_0) (= \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ is a holomorphic embedding. Let η be the holomorphic 1-form on $\mathcal{L}$ as before. Define a family of local holomorphic 1-forms $\{\omega_\alpha, U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ by

$$\omega_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^* \eta \text{ on } U_\alpha. \quad (2.10)$$

If $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a unique element $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$ such that $g_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \cdot \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$. From (2.6), $g_{\alpha\beta}^* \eta = \mu_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot \eta$ for some $\mu_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^*$. It follows $\omega_\beta = \mu_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot \omega_\alpha$. Thus the family $\{\omega_\alpha, U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a complex contact structure on (M, J) .

Apart from the complex contact structure, since $A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ from (2.8), M is also modelled on $(\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}), \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ where $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. M is a complex affinely flat manifold. $\square$

Remark 2.3 (1) When a subgroup $\Gamma \leq A(\mathcal{L})$ acts properly discontinuously and freely on a domain Ω of $\mathcal{L}$ with compact quotient, we obtain a compact complex contact manifold Ω/Γ by this proposition. In fact let $p: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma$ be a covering holomorphic projection. Take a set of evenly covered neighborhoods $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of Ω/Γ . Choose a family of open subsets $\tilde{U}_\alpha$ such that $p_\alpha = p|_{\tilde{U}_\alpha}: \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha$ is a biholomorphism. Put $\omega_\alpha = (p_\alpha^{-1})^* \eta$. Then the family $\{U_\alpha, \omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a complex contact structure on Ω/Γ .

(2) When $\Omega = \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ is said to be a compact complete affinely flat manifold. Concerning the Auslander-Milnor conjecture, we do not know whether the fundamental group Γ is virtually polycyclic.

(3) By the monodromy argument, there exists a developing immersion: $\text{dev}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ from the universal covering $\tilde{M}$ of M . Then note that $\text{dev}_* J = J_0 \text{dev}_*$, i.e. dev is a holomorphic map. Here J is the lift of complex structure on $\tilde{M}$ (We wrote the same J on $\tilde{M}$).

When M is a complex manifold, we assume that the complex structure on M coincides with the one constructed in Proposition 2.2.

2.5 Complex Contact Similarity Geometry

It is in general difficult to find such a properly discontinuous group Γ as in Remark 2.3. $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ contains a maximal compact symplectic subgroup $\text{Sp}(n) = \{A \in \text{U}(2n) \mid {}^t A J_n A = J_n\}$ where $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Sp}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^{n(2n+1)}$.

Definition 2.4 Put $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*) \leq A(\mathcal{L})$. The pair $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ is called complex contact similarity geometry. If a manifold M is locally modelled on this geometry, M is said to be a complex contact similarity manifold. The euclidean subgroup of $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ is defined to be $E(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$.

For example, choose $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $|c| \neq 1$ and $A \in \text{Sp}(n)$. Put $r = ((0, 0), c \cdot A) \in \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^+$ be an infinite cyclic group generated by r . Then it is easy to see that $\mathbb{Z}^+$ acts freely and properly discontinuously on the complement $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}$. Here $0 = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} = \mathcal{L}$. The quotient $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}^+$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$. By Proposition 2.2 ((1) of Remark 2.3), $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ is a complex contact similarity manifold.

Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ be the $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic vector space. The quaternionic similarity group $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes ((\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ (resp. quaternionic euclidean group $E(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1))$) has a special subgroup $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes ((\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ (resp. $\widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$). When we identify $\mathbb{H}^n$ with the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ by the correspondence $(a + b\mathbf{j}) \mapsto (\bar{a}, b)$, $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is canonically isomorphic to the complex similarity subgroup $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*)$ where $\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Then there are commutative exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \cup & & \cup \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & E(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n) \longrightarrow 1. \end{array} \quad (2.11)$$

Choosing a torsionfree discrete cocompact subgroup Γ from $E(\mathcal{L})$, we obtain an infranilmanifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ of complex dimension $2n + 1$. In particular, $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}$ is discrete uniform in $\mathcal{L}$ by the Auslander-Bieberbach theorem. As $\mathbb{C}$ is the central subgroup of $\mathcal{L}$, $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{C}$ is discrete uniform in $\mathbb{C}$ and so $\Delta = p(\Gamma)$ is a discrete uniform subgroup in $\widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n)$. We obtain a Seifert singular fibration over a quaternionic euclidean orbifold $\mathbb{H}^n/\Delta: T_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n/\Delta$. By (1) of Remark 2.3, $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ is a complex contact manifold.

Remark 2.5 When we take a finite index nilpotent subgroup Γ' of Γ admitting a central extension: $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{4n} \rightarrow 1$, a nilmanifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma'$ admits a holomorphic principal $T_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ -bundle over a complex torus $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n} = \mathbb{H}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{4n}$. This holomorphic example is a special case of Foreman's T^2 -connection bundle over $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}$ (Foreman, 2000).

We give rise to a classification of compact complex contact similarity manifolds under the existence of S^1 -actions (Compare Fried, 1980; Miner, 1991 for the related results of similarity manifolds). Recall that $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$ is the group of complex contact transformations from Definition 2.1.

Theorem 2.6 Let M be a $4n + 2$ -dimensional compact complex contact similarity manifold. If $S^1 \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$ acts on M without fixed points, then M is holomorphically diffeomorphic to a complex contact infranilmanifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ or a complex contact Hopf manifold $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$.

Proof. Let J be a complex structure on M . Given a collection of charts $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, J_\alpha\}$ on M with $J_\alpha = J|_{U_\alpha}$ such that $\varphi_\alpha: (U_\alpha, J_\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}, J_0)$ is a holomorphic diffeomorphism onto its image, the monodromy argument shows that there is a developing pair:

$$(\rho, \text{dev}) : (\text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M}), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L}) \quad (2.12)$$

where $\tilde{M}$ is the universal covering and $\tilde{J}$ is a lift of J to $\tilde{M}$, and $\pi = \pi_1(M) \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M})$. Then dev is a holomorphic immersion $\text{dev}_* J = J_0 \text{dev}_*$ and $\rho: \text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ is a holonomy homomorphism. Put $\Gamma = \rho(\pi)$. Let $\tilde{S}^1$ be a lift of S^1 to $\tilde{M}$ so that $\rho(\tilde{S}^1) \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$.

Case 1) If $\Gamma \leq E(\mathcal{L})$, then there is a $E(\mathcal{L})$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{L}$. As M is compact, the pullback metric on $\tilde{M}$ by dev is (geodesically) complete, $\text{dev}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isometry. As dev becomes a complex contact diffeomorphism, M is holomorphically isomorphic to a complex contact infranilmanifold $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$.

Case 2) Suppose that some $\rho(\gamma)$ has a nontrivial summand in $\mathbb{R}^+ \leq \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$. In view of the affine representation $\rho(\gamma) = (p, P)$ where $P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda' w J_n A \\ 0 & \lambda A \end{pmatrix}$ from (2.7), we note $|\lambda| \neq 1$, i.e. P has no eigenvalue 1. Then there exists an element $z_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that the conjugate $(z_0, I)\rho(\gamma)(-z_0, I) = (0, P)$. We may assume that $\rho(\gamma) = (0, P) \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{L})$ from the beginning. As $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$ centralizes Γ , if $\rho(t) = (q, Q) \in \rho(\tilde{S}^1)$, then the equation $\rho(t)\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)\rho(t)$ implies that $Pq = q$ and so $q = 0$. Thus $\rho(t) = (0, Q) = ((0, 0), \mu_t \cdot B_t) \in \text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$. It follows $\rho(\tilde{S}^1) \leq \text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$. In particular, $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$ has a non-empty fixed point set $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathcal{L}$. If $\text{dev}(x) \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\text{dev}(\tilde{S}^1 x) = \rho(\tilde{S}^1) \text{dev}(x) = x$. Since dev is an immersion, $\tilde{S}^1 x = x$. As S^1 has no fixed points on M , it is noted that $\text{dev}(\tilde{M}) \subset \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$. Let $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$ be the subgroup of $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ whose elements leave $\mathcal{S}$ invariant. Note that $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$.

We determine $\mathcal{S}$ and $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$. Since $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$ belongs to the maximal abelian group $T^{2n} \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ up to conjugate in $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, we can put $\langle \lambda_t \rangle \leq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$, $\langle s_t \rangle = S^1$ and

$$\rho(\tilde{S}^1) = \{((0, 0), \mu_t \cdot B_t)\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ 0 & \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_t B_t \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$B_t = \begin{pmatrix} s_t & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & s_t & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in T^{2n} \leq \text{Sp}(n) \quad (2.13)$$

where $\text{Sp}(n) \leq \text{U}(2n)$ is canonically embedded so that $2k$ -numbers of s_t 's and 2ℓ -numbers of 1's. Recall that $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$ acts on $\mathcal{L}$ by $\rho(t)(z_0, z) = (\mu_t^2 z_0, \mu_t B_t z)$.

Case I. $\mu_t \neq 1$. Suppose that $\mu_t \lambda_t = 1$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \text{Fix}(\rho(\tilde{S}^1), \mathcal{L}) = \{(0, (z, 0)) \in \mathcal{L} | z \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}\} (0 \leq k \leq n)$. As the element $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ acts by $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A)(0, (z, 0)) = (a + \lambda' w J_n A z, w + \lambda A z) \in \mathcal{S}$ (cf. (2.5)), we can check that $a = 0$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$ and so $\lambda A z \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$. In particular, $A \in \text{Sp}(k)$. From $w J_n A z = 0$, it follows $w = 0$.

$$\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) = \{((0, 0), \lambda \cdot A) | A \in \text{Sp}(k)\} = \text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (2.14)$$

Case II. $\mu_i = 1$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \{(z_0, (0, z)) \in \mathcal{L} \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}\} = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ ($0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$). It follows as above

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) &= \{((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \mid w \in \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}, A \in \text{Sp}(\ell)\} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$ acts properly on $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. **Case I.** There is an equivariant inclusion

$$(\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) \subset (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{L} - \{0\}).$$

As there is an $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}$ and $\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is a closed subgroup, it acts properly on $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$.

Case II. Let $G = \mathbb{C}^{2\ell} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ be the semidirect group which preserves the complement $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$. Then there is an equivariant principal bundle:

$$(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}), \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}) \longrightarrow (G, \mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}). \quad (2.16)$$

We note that G acts properly on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$. For this, we observe that

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell} = S^{4n} - S^{4\ell} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4\ell+1} \times S^{4n-4\ell-1} \quad (2.17)$$

in which

$$G \leq \mathbb{R}^{4\ell} \rtimes (\text{O}(4\ell) \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{4\ell}) \leq \text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1). \quad (2.18)$$

As $\text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1) \times \text{O}(4n - 4\ell) = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4\ell+1} \times S^{4n-4\ell-1})$ and G is a closed subgroup of $\text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1)$, G acts properly on $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$.

Since $\mathbb{C}$ acts properly on $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$, the above principal bundle (2.16) implies that $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})$ acts properly on $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$. $\square$

We continue the proof of Theorem 2.6. For **Case I**, there is an $\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$. Put $H = \text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$. As $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} - \mathbb{C}^{2k} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4k+1} \times S^{4n-4k+1}$ where $H \leq \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{4k}) \leq \text{PO}(4k + 1, 1)$, note that the quotient $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$ is a Hausdorff space. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4k+1}/H \times S^{4n-4k+1} \\ &= \mathbb{R}^{4k} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+/H \times S^{4n-4k+1} \\ &= \mathbb{R}^{4k}/(\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1) \times S^{4n-4k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

$\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$ cannot be compact unless $k = 0$.

On the other hand, as M is compact and $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$, using Lemma 2.7, $\text{dev} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ is a covering map. $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ is simply connected unless $k = n$. Then $M \cong \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/\Gamma$ is compact ($k \neq n$). If we consider the fiber space $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$, $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$ must be compact, which cannot occur except for $k = 0$.

If $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1$ ($k = n$), then there is a lift of dev , $\tilde{\text{dev}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ which is a diffeomorphism. The group $\tilde{\Gamma} = \widetilde{\text{dev} \circ \pi \circ \text{dev}^{-1}}$ acts properly discontinuously and freely on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}/\tilde{\Gamma}$ is compact. As there is the canonical projection:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}/\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1/H, \quad (2.20)$$

$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1/H$ is compact. This case is also impossible.

For $k = 0$, $\mathcal{S} = \{0\}$, $\text{dev} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \{0\}$ is a diffeomorphism. As $\Gamma \leq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ acting freely on $\mathcal{L} - \{0\} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \times S^{4n+1}$, M is biholomorphic to $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\Gamma$ which is diffeomorphic with $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$.

For **Case II**, $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ is always simply connected (cf. (2.16)). Then M is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\Gamma$ so that $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}) = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ is a discrete subgroup. As there is a fiber space

$$\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}), \quad (2.21)$$

it follows that $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})/\Gamma$ is compact. Since $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ is a maximal nilpotent subgroup of $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})$, $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \cap \Gamma$ is discrete uniform in $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$. As $\mathbb{R}^+$ acts on $\mathcal{L}$ as multiplication, Γ cannot have a nontrivial summand in $\mathbb{R}^+$. This contradicts the hypothesis of **Case 2**. So **Case II** does not occur. This proves the theorem. $\square$

3. Connected Sum

In Kobayashi (1959), there is a complex contact structure on the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$; let $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1})$ be a holomorphic 1-form on $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$. Put $U_i = \{[w_0, \dots, w_{2n+1}] \mid w_i \neq 0\}$ which forms a cover $\{U_i\}$ of $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$. If s_i is a holomorphic cross-section of the principal bundle $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$ restricted to U_i , setting $\omega_i = s_i^* \omega$, $\{\omega_i\}$ defines a complex contact structure on $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$. For example, let $\iota: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ be the local coordinate system defined by $\iota([w_0, \dots, w_{2n+1}]) = (z_0, \dots, z_{2n})$ such that $w_{i+1}/w_0 = z_i$. A holomorphic map $s_0: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} - \{0\}$ may be defined as

$$s_0 \circ \iota^{-1}(z_0, \dots, z_{2n}) = (1, z_0, -z_1, z_2, -z_3, z_4, \dots, -z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}).$$

Then the holomorphic 1-form $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega$ on $\iota(U_0)$ is described as

$$(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega = dz_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n (z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1}). \quad (3.1)$$

For this,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega &= (s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* ((z_1 dz_2 - z_2 dz_1) + (z_3 dz_4 - z_4 dz_3) + \dots + (z_{2n+1} dz_{2n+2} - z_{2n+2} dz_{2n+1})) \\ &= dz_0 - (z_1 dz_2 - z_2 dz_1) - \dots - (z_{2n-1} \cdot dz_{2n} - z_{2n} dz_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega$ is equivalent with $\eta_{\iota(U_0)}$ of (2.2).

Let $p: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ be the holomorphic covering map. Put $V_0 = p(\iota(U_0))$ and $p(0) = x$. Then the map $p \circ \iota: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$ is a holomorphic map with $p \circ \iota([1, 0, \dots, 0]) = x$. Choose a neighborhood $U'_0 \subset U_0$ such that $\iota(U'_0)$ is a closed ball B at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$. Put $p(B) = V'_0 \subset V_0$ so that $p \circ \iota: U'_0 \rightarrow V'_0$ is a biholomorphism. Then a connected sum $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ is obtained by glueing $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} - \text{int}U'_0$ and $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma - \text{int}V'_0$ along the boundaries $\partial U'_0$ and $\partial V'_0$ by $p \circ \iota$.

Proposition 3.1 *The connected sum $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ admits a complex contact structure.*

Proof. As above $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega = \eta$ on $\iota(U_0)$. Note that $\omega_0 = s_0^* \omega = \iota^* \eta$ on U_0 . On the other hand, the complex contact structure $\{\eta_i\}$ on $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ satisfies that $p^* \eta_0 = \eta$ on $\iota(U_0)$. The holomorphic map $p \circ \iota: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$ satisfies that $(p \circ \iota)^* \eta_0 = \omega_0$. Since $J(p \circ \iota)_* = (p \circ \iota)_* J$ on U_0 , the complex structure J is naturally extended to a complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ along the boundary $\partial U'_0$. $\square$

Since any complex contact similarity manifold M is locally modelled on $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ by the definition, every point of M has a neighborhood U on which the complex contact structure is equivalent to a restriction of $(\eta, \mathcal{L})$. Similarly to the above proof, we have

Theorem 3.2 *Any connected sum $M_1 \# \dots \# M_k \# \ell \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$ admits a complex contact structure for a finite number of complex contact similarity manifolds $M_1, \dots, M_k$ and ℓ -copies of $\mathbb{CP}^{2n+1}$.*

4. Contact Complex Structure from Quaternionic Heisenberg Lie Group

4.1 Quaternionic Heisenberg Geometry

Denote $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ which is the imaginary part of the quaternion field $\mathbb{H}$. $\mathcal{M}$ is the product $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{H}^n$ with group law:

$$(\alpha, u) \cdot (\beta, v) = (\alpha + \beta + \text{Im}\langle u, v \rangle, u + v).$$

Here $\langle u, v \rangle = {}^t \bar{u} \cdot v = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i v_i$ is the Hermitian inner product where $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n)$ is the quaternion conjugate.

$\mathcal{M}$ is nilpotent because $[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}] = \mathbb{R}^3$ which is the center consisting of the form $((a, b, c), 0)$ ($a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$). $\mathcal{M}$ is called *quaternionic Heisenberg Lie group*. The similarity subgroup $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined to be the semidirect product $\mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$. The action of $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ on $\mathcal{M}$ is given as follows; for $h = ((\alpha, u), (A \cdot g, t)) \in \mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$, $(\beta, v) \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$h \circ (\beta, v) = (\alpha + t^2 g \beta g^{-1} + \text{Im}\langle u, t A v g^{-1} \rangle, u + t \cdot A v g^{-1}).$$

The pair $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$ is called *quaternionic Heisenberg geometry*.

Let $u_i = z_i + w_i \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}$ ($z_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}$). It is easy to check that the correspondence $p: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ defined by

$$(a\mathbf{i} + b\mathbf{j} + c\mathbf{k}, (u_1, \dots, u_n)) \mapsto (b + c\mathbf{i}, (\bar{z}_1, w_1, \bar{z}_2, w_2, \dots, \bar{z}_n, w_n)) \quad (4.1)$$

is a Lie group homomorphism. Let $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$. Then $p: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ induces a homomorphism $q: \widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ for which $(q, p): (\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ is equivariant.

Take the coordinates $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Define a $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ -valued 1-form on $\mathcal{M}$ to be

$$\omega = da\mathbf{i} + db\mathbf{j} + dc\mathbf{k} - \text{Im}\langle u, du \rangle. \quad (4.2)$$

We may put

$$\omega = \omega_1 \mathbf{i} + \omega_2 \mathbf{j} + \omega_3 \mathbf{k} \quad (4.3)$$

for some real 1-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Noting (4.1), $p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j}$ is a $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{j} (\leq \mathbb{H})$ -valued 1-form on $\mathcal{M}$. A calculation shows that

$$\omega - p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j} = da\mathbf{i} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{z}_i dz_i - z_i d\bar{z}_i + w_i d\bar{w}_i - \bar{w}_i dw_i) \quad (4.4)$$

which is an $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}$ -valued 1-form. Then we have from (4.3) that

$$\omega - p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j} = \omega_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}. \quad (4.5)$$

In particular when $p_*: T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T\mathcal{L}$ is the differential map, this equality shows

$$p_*(\text{Ker } \omega) = \text{Ker } \eta. \quad (4.6)$$

4.2 Quaternionic Carnot-Carathéodory Structure on $\mathcal{M}^{4n+3}$

Let $v: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$ be the projection defined by $v((a, b, c), u) = u$. Then it is easy to check that $v_*: \text{Ker } \omega \rightarrow T\mathbb{H}^n$ is an isomorphism at each point. By the pullback of this isomorphism, the standard quaternionic structure $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ induces an almost quaternionic structure on $\text{Ker } \omega$. (We write it as $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ also.) As $[\text{Ker } \omega, \text{Ker } \omega] = \mathbb{R}^3$, $(\text{Ker } \omega, \{J_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3})$ is said to be *quaternionic Carnot-Carathéodory* structure on $\mathcal{M}^{4n+3}$ (cf. Alekseevsky & Kamishima, 2008).

Set $u_i = z_i + w_i \mathbf{j} = x_i + y_i \mathbf{i} + (p_i + q_i \mathbf{i}) \mathbf{j}$, so that

$$g = |du|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (dx_i^2 + dy_i^2 + dp_i^2 + dq_i^2)$$

is the standard positive definite symmetric bilinear form on $\text{Ker } \omega$. Since $d\omega = -d\bar{u} \wedge du = d\omega_1 \mathbf{i} + d\omega_2 \mathbf{j} + d\omega_3 \mathbf{k}$ from (4.2), (4.3), a reciprocity of the quaternionic structure shows that

$$d\omega_1(J_1 X, Y) = d\omega_2(J_2 X, Y) = d\omega_3(J_3 X, Y) = -g(X, Y). \quad (\forall X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega). \quad (4.7)$$

Let J_0 be the complex structure on $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mu: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ the canonical projection. Since η is a holomorphic 1-form, $\mu_*: (\text{Ker } \eta, J_0) \rightarrow (T\mathbb{C}^{2n}, J_0)$ is an equivariant isomorphism. If $q: \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ is an isomorphism defined by $q(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (\bar{z}_1, w_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n, w_n)$, then there is the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{v} & \mathbb{H}^n \\ p \downarrow & & q \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

By the definition of $J_1, q_* \circ J_1 = J_0 \circ q_*$ on $T\mathbb{H}^n$.

Note that $\text{Ker } \omega_1 = \text{Ker } \omega \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$ with $\omega_1(\frac{d}{da}) = 1$ and $T\mathcal{L} = \text{Ker } \eta \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$. Since $p_* \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle = \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$ (cf. (4.1)) and by (4.6), $p_*: \text{Ker } \omega_1 \rightarrow T\mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism.

4.3 Complex Contact Bundle on $\mathcal{L}$

As $\mathbb{R}^3$ acts as translations on $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathbb{R}^3$ leaves ω (resp. ω_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$)) invariant. $\mathbb{R}^3$ induces the distribution of vector fields $\langle \frac{d}{da}, \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Define an almost complex structure $\bar{J}_1$ on $\text{Ker } \omega_1$ as

$$\bar{J}_1|_{\text{Ker } \omega_1} = J_1, \quad \bar{J}_1 \frac{d}{db} = \frac{d}{dc}, \quad \bar{J}_1 \frac{d}{dc} = -\frac{d}{db}. \quad (4.9)$$

Lemma 4.1 $p_* \circ \bar{J}_1 = J_0 \circ p_*$ on $\text{Ker } \omega_1$.

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Ker } \omega$. By the commutativity of (4.8)

$$\mu_*(p_*(J_1 X)) = q_* \nu_*(J_1 X) = J_0 q_* \nu_*(X) = \mu_*(J_0 p_*(X)), \quad (4.10)$$

so $p_*(J_1 X) = J_0 p_*(X)$.

Obviously, $p_*(\bar{J}_1(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc})) = J_0 p_*(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc})$. □

Lemma 4.2 $\bar{J}_1$ is integrable on $\text{Ker } \omega_1$.

Proof. Let $\text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} \oplus T_{\omega_1}^{0,1}$ be the eigenspace decomposition. Then $T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$. If we note that $d\omega_1(\bar{J}_1 X, \bar{J}_1 Y) = d\omega_1(X, Y)$ ($X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega_1$) from (4.7), then $[T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}] \subset \text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Then $p_*([T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}]) = [T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}), T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})]$. Since J_0 is the complex structure on $\mathcal{L}$, $[T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}), T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})] \subset T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})$. It follows

$$[T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}] \subset T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}. \quad (4.11)$$

□

Remark 4.3 The pair $(\text{Ker } \omega_1, \bar{J}_1)$ is not a strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure on $\mathcal{M}$ unlike Sasakian 3-structures. For this, $[\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc}] = 0$ in $\text{Ker } \omega_1 = \text{Ker } \omega \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$, so $d\omega_1(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc}) = 0$. However, $d\omega_1: \text{Ker } \omega \times \text{Ker } \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nondegenerate from (4.7).

We put $\text{Ker } \eta \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\eta}^{1,0} \oplus T_{\eta}^{0,1}$. Let $p_*: \text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be an isomorphism so that $p_*(\frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i}) = \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i}$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $p_*(T_{\omega}^{1,0}) = T_{\eta}^{1,0}$.

Theorem 4.4 The complex $2n$ -dimensional holomorphic subbundle $T_{\eta}^{1,0}$ is a complex contact subbundle on $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Let $T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$ and $T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}) = T_{\eta}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$ as above. From Remark 4.3, $d\omega_1: T_{\omega}^{1,0} \times \bar{T}_{\omega}^{1,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is nondegenerate. Since $J_1(J_3 X) = -\mathbf{i}(J_3 X)$, $J_3 X \in \bar{T}_{\omega}^{1,0}$. Then $d\omega_1(J_3 X, Y) = -d\omega_2(X, Y) = \omega_2([X, Y])$ from (4.7). Thus $\omega_2([T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}]) = \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $[T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}] \neq \{0\}$. As $[T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}] \subset T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$ by Lemma 4.2, it follows

$$[T_{\eta}^{1,0}, T_{\eta}^{1,0}] \equiv \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle \text{ mod } T_{\eta}^{1,0}. \quad (4.12)$$

Hence $T_{\eta}^{1,0}$ is a complex contact subbundle on $\mathcal{L}$. □

References

- Alekseevsky, D., & Kamishima, Y. (2008). Pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure on $(4n + 3)$ -dimensional manifolds. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 187(3), 487-529. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10231-007-0053-2>
- Blair, D. E. (2002). Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds Contact manifolds. *Progress in Math.*, 203 Birkhäuser.
- Foreman, B. (2000). Boothby-wang fibrations on complex contact manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 13, 179-196. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245\(00\)00025-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245(00)00025-5)
- Fried, D. (1980). Closed similarity manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55, 576-582. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02566707>

- Ishihara, S., & Konishi, M. (1979). Real contact and complex contact structure. *Sea. Bull. Math.*, 3, 151-161.
- Kamishima, Y. (1999). Geometric rigidity of spherical hypersurfaces in quaternionic manifolds. *Asian J. Math.*, 3(3), 519-556.
- Kobayashi, S. (1959). Remarks on complex contact manifolds. *Proc. AMS*, 10, 164-167.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2032906>
- Kulkarni, R. (1978). On the principle of uniformization. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 13, 109-138.
- Lebrun, C. (1995). Fano manifolds, contact structures, and quaternionic geometry. *Int. J. Math.*, 3, 419-437.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X95000146>
- Miner, R. (1991). Spherical CR -manifolds with amenable holonomy. *International J. of Math.*, 1(4), 479-501.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X9000023X>
- Moroianu, A., & Semmelmann, U. (1996). Kählerian Killing spinors, complex contact structures and twistor spaces. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 323, Série I*, 57-61.
- Salamon, S. (1989). Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups. *Pitman research notes in Math.*, 201, Longman Scientific.
- Tanno, S. (1996). Remarks on a triple of K -contact structures. *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, 48, 519-531.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178225296>
- Wolf, J. (1965). Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces. *J. Math. and Mech.*, 14, 1033-1047.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the authors, with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).