

Some Characterizations of I -modules

Mankagna Albert Diompy¹, Oumar Diankha¹ & Mamadou Sanghare¹

¹ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal

Correspondence: Oumar Diankha, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science and Technic, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Dakar, Senegal BP 5005. Tel: 221-77-519-6267. E-mail: odiankha@ucad.sn

Received: September 3, 2012 Accepted: September 16, 2012 Online Published: November 26, 2012

doi:10.5539/jmr.v4n6p106 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v4n6p106>

Abstract

Let R be a non-necessarily commutative ring and M an R -module. We use the category $\sigma[M]$ to introduce the notion of I -module who is a generalization of I -ring. It is well known that every artinian object of $\sigma[M]$ is co-hopfian but the converse is not true in general.

The aim of this paper is to characterize for a fixed ring, the left (right) R -modules M for which every co-hopfian object of $\sigma[M]$ is artinian.

We obtain some characterization of finitely generated I -modules over a commutative ring, faithfully balanced finitely generated I -modules, and left serial finitely generated I -modules over a duo-ring.

Keywords: ring, duo-ring, artinian, co-hopfian, category $\sigma[M]$, finitely generated, faithfully balanced, progenerator, serial and finite representation type

1. Introduction

Let R be a non-necessarily commutative ring and M an R -module. We use $\sigma[M]$ to introduce the notion of I -ring introduced in Kaidi and Sanghare (1988). The category $\sigma[M]$ introduced (Wisbauer, 1991), is the full subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ whose objects are all R -modules subgenerated by M .

An R -module N is said to be co-hopfian (or satisfies the property (I)), if every injective R -endomorphism of N is an automorphism.

It is well known that every artinian object of $\sigma[M]$ is co-hopfian but the converse is not true. For example: Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers, then the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers is co-hopfian and \mathbb{Q} is not artinian.

The motivation for this paper comes from trying to study for a fixed ring R , the left (right) R -modules M for which every co-hopfian object of $\sigma[M]$ is artinian. Such modules are called left (right) I -modules.

In doing so, we actually obtain some properties of I -modules and characterization of finitely generated I -modules over a commutative ring (Theorem 1), of faithfully balanced finitely generated I -modules and left serial finitely generated I -modules over a duo-ring respectively (Theorem 2) and (Theorem 3).

2. Some Properties of I -modules

Let $R\text{-Mod}$ (resp. $\text{Mod-}R$) be the category of left (resp. right) unitary modules over R . For modules M and N in $R\text{-Mod}$, we say N is subgenerated by M , if it is a submodule of an M -generated module. By $\sigma[M]$, we denote the full subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ whose objects are all R -modules subgenerated by M . The class of M -generated modules is denoted by $\text{Gen}(M)$.

An R -module M of finite length is said to be of finite representation type, if there are only a finite number of non isomorphic indecomposable modules in $\sigma[M]$. For any $m \in M$, the set $\text{Ann}(m) = \{r \in R/rm = 0\}$. is called *left annihilator* of m in R . In what follows, we deal with non-necessary commutative rings with unity 1 and unitary modules over a ring R .

Proposition 1

- 1) Every homomorphic image of an I -module is an I -module;
- 2) If a product of modules M_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ is an I -module. Then every M_i is an I -module;
- 3) Moreover, if $\text{Hom}(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then the converse of (2) is true.

Proof. (1) Let M be an I -module, $M' = f(M)$ homomorphic image of M , then $Gen(M')$ is in $Gen(M)$ (see Anderson & Fuller, 1974). This implies that $\sigma[M']$ is a full subcategory of $\sigma[M]$. Hence also M' is an I -module.

(2) Results from (1).

(3) Suppose that every M_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is an I -module.

As $Hom(M_i, M_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then, by Vanaja (1996), for every $N \in \sigma[\prod_{i=1}^n M_i]$, there is a unique $N_i \in \sigma[M_i]$ $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $N = \prod_{i=1}^n N_i$.

If N is co-hopfian, N_i is co-hopfian for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. So that N_i is artinian, also N . Hence M is an I -module.

Recall an R -module M is called locally of finite length, if every finitely generated submodule of M is of finite length.

Proposition 2 Let M be an R -module. If M is an I -module. Then M is locally of finite length.

Proof. Let N be a submodule of M and $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$ a generator subset of N .

As $\sigma[Rm_i]$ is a full subcategory of $\sigma[M]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then Rm_i is an I -module. It is also artinian. Hence Rm_i is of finite length for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Thus, N is of finite length and M is locally of finite length.

Proposition 3 Let M be a finite generated R -module. If M is an I -module then:

- There exists a finite number of non isomorphic simple modules in $\sigma[M]$;
- There exists an injective cogenerator of finite length W such that:
 - i) $S = End({}_R W)$ is a right artinian ring;
 - ii) W_S is an injective cogenerator in $Mod - S$;
 - iii) The functors $Hom_{(-,R} W)$ and $Hom_{(-,W_S)}$ define a duality between the finitely generated module in $\sigma[M]$ and $Mod - S$.

Proof. (1) Let L be the set of non isomorphic simple modules in $\sigma[M]$. Then the module $N = \bigoplus_{S \in L} S \in \sigma[M]$ and is co-hopfian. Thus N is artinian. Hence L is finite.

(2) Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k be a representation of class of isomorphism simple modules in $\sigma[M]$. Their M -injective envelopes $\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2, \dots, \tilde{S}_k$ are finite generated in $\sigma[M]$. Thus they are of finite length (see Wisbauer, 1985, Proposition 2.2). It follows that $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \tilde{S}_i$ is an injective cogenerator of finite length in $\sigma[M]$ and (i), (ii), and (iii) results from Wisbauer (1985), Lemma 1.2.

3. Aim Results

Theorem 1 Let R be a commutative ring and M be a finite generated R -module, then the following statements are equivalent:

- M is a I -module;
- M is of finite length and every submodule of M is cyclic;
- M is of finite representation type.

Proof. Let $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$ be a generator subset of M . Let's consider the homomorphism $\psi : R \rightarrow R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k)$ then $Ker\psi = Ann(M)$ is an ideal of R , and M is isomorphic to $R/Ann(M)$. As $R/Ann(M)$ is a commutative ring, then this Theorem 1 results from Kaidi and Sanghare (1988, Theorem 9).

Remark Now, we suppose that R is a duo-ring. It is a ring such that every one-sided ideal is two-sided ideal. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2 Let R be a duo-ring and M a faithfully balanced left finitely generated module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- M is a left I -module;
- M is of finite representation type;
- M is a right I -module;

- M is uniserial;
- M is of finite length and every submodule of M is cyclic.

Proof. Put $S = \text{End}(R_M)$ the ring of endomorphism of M .

If M is an R -module, then M is an S -module.

Thus it follows from Wisbauer (1991) $\sigma[M] = R/\text{Ann}(M) - \text{Mod}$ As $R/\text{Ann}(M)$ is a duo ring and M is isomorphic to $R/\text{Ann}(M)$, then the Theorem 2 results from Fall and Sanghare (2002, Theorem 3.3).

Theorem 3 Let R be a duo-ring and M a left serial finitely generated module such that $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$ and for any distinct $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, $\sigma[M_{\lambda}] \cap \sigma[M_{\mu}] = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- M is a I -module;
- M is of serial representation type;
- M is of finite representation type.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let $N \in \sigma[M]$. As $\sigma[M_{\lambda}] \cap \sigma[M_{\mu}] = 0$ for any distinct $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$, then by Vanaja (1996) there exists a unique object $N_{\lambda} \in \sigma[M_{\lambda}]$ such that $N = \bigoplus_{\lambda} N_{\lambda}$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist a set of indices δ and an epimorphism $\phi_{\lambda} : M_{\lambda}^{(\delta)} \rightarrow K_{\lambda}$ with N_{λ} is a submodule of K_{λ} . We know that $M_{\lambda}^{(\delta)}/\ker\phi_{\lambda} \simeq K_{\lambda}$. $M_{\lambda}^{(\delta)}$ is uniserial implies $M_{\lambda}^{(\delta)}/\ker\phi_{\lambda}$ is also uniserial. Then K_{λ} is uniserial. Thus N_{λ} is uniserial for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence N is serial.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$ be a generator subset of M . We have $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$. Then there exists a finite number $M_{\lambda_1}, M_{\lambda_2}, \dots, M_{\lambda_k}$ such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^k M_{\lambda_i}$. Thus, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that M_{λ_i} is of finite length for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Hence M is of finite length. Thus it follows from Wisbauer (1985) $\sigma[M]$ admits a progenerator Q . Q is a progenerator, then Q is finitely generated since we can write $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n Ax_i$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ Ax_i is cyclic then finitely generated. The A -module Ax_i belong $\sigma[M]$ thus Ax_i is of finite length from Wisbauer (1985). Hence Q is of finite length. Thus it follows from Wisbauer (1985) $\sigma[M] = \sigma[Q]$ and M is of finite representation type.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) is obvious.

References

- Anderson, F. W., & Fuller, K. (1974). *Ring and Categories of Modules*. Springer-Verlag.
- Diankha, O., Sanghare, M., & Sokhna, M. (1999). Sur les I_1 -modules. *Annales de l'université de Ouagadougou series B*, 2, 25-30.
- Fall, A. L., & Sanghare, M. (2002). On I -duo-ring. *Pub. Math. UFR. Sci. Tech.* Besancon.
- Kaidi, A., & Sanghare, M. (1988). Une caractérisation des anneaux artniens á idéaux principaux. *Lect. Note in Math.*, 328. Springer-Verlag.
- Vanaja, N. (1996). All finitely generated M -subgenerated modules are extending. *Comm. Algebra*, 24(2), 543-572. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825585>
- Wisbauer, R. (1985). Decomposition properties in modules categories. *Acta. Univ. Corilia Math. Physica*, 126(26), 57-68.
- Wisbauer, R. (1991). *Foundation of Module and Ring Theory*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers.