# Velocity of Micro Finance among Users Groups in Delta State, Nigeria: Implications for Economic Growth

F.O. Achoja Dept of Agricultural Economics & Extension Delta State University, Asaba Campus, Nigeria E-mail: lixmero40@yahoo.com

Received: July 29, 2010 Accepted: August 17, 2010 doi:10.5539/jas.v3n3p275

# Abstract

The velocity of micro finance among User Groups in Delta State was investigated. Snow ball sampling technique was adopted in selecting 15 micro finance Users Groups for the study A five – year panel data (2000 – 2004) was collected with the aid of questionnaire Data collected was analysed with the aid of descriptive statistics, efficiency model, regression and correlation models. The result of the study shows that a mean of  $\aleph$ 18,655.33 was purchased by each member as loan, while a mean of  $\aleph$ 14,965.50 was repaid by each member of the group. Since the mean amount repaid is not significantly different from mean amount borrowed, 1.86 (P>0.05), the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between the amount borrowed and amount repaid was upheld. This implies that the micro finance user groups were efficient in loan repayment/recovery ability. The micro finance users groups recorded loan marketing efficiency of 80.20%. A historical coefficient of variation of 14.77% and 13.8% indicate a relatively high velocity (steady growth rate) in amount of loan distributed and recovered respectively. It was therefore recommended that micro finance user groups should form linkage with financial institutions for the purpose of credit mobilization scheme. Also government policy of financial injection to transform the economy should adopt user group approach as a strategy.

Keyword: Velocity of micro finance, Users groups, Economic growth

# 1. Introduction

As farming in Nigeria is gradually transformed from a subsistence to commercial orientation, capital and finance challenges tend to become increasingly important. Cash is needed in the form of micro credit to finance farm expansion and to offset production cost such as tractor hiring purchase of modern technologies such as fertilizers, seed/seedlings, agrochemicals and labour hiring. Cramer *et al*, (2001), attributed the boom and bust in agriculture in the USA to the efficiency of credit institutions in farm credit administration. This can also be achieved in Nigeria. Credit needs of the farmers must fall within the borrowing limits imposed by lenders or by the farmers own attitude to debt, interest charge and income flows. Credit marketing involves the procurement of bulk credit from commercial banks by credit groups and distributing it in small units to members at a price (interest).

Several studies have revealed that credit from the formal sector of financial market directly obtained by farmers in Nigeria, accounted for only a small proportion of farmers loan. Loan defaults and losses have created some crises and inefficiency in the formal financial market. Ojo (1998) reported that farm credit granted were either improperly utilized or allocated to non-farmers and were never repaid. However members of credit user groups are serving as agent for development, by pulling their resources together and gaining corporate access into the credit markets.

It is important to identify Farm Credit Users groups and investigate how efficiently they procure and market credit to their members. Efficiency of informal credit in terms of credit marketing has increasingly become an interesting issue in economic debates. The efficiency of some agricultural lending institutions was reported to be low. Some operated with losses and collapsed when government could not sustain them financially. Cheap credit policies which often implies high transaction cost and negative real interest rates could have been responsible for the poor performance of credit institutions (Adams, 1998).

World Bank (2008) maintained that the main determinants of inefficiency of lending institutions could be traced to high default rates and high transaction costs for processing, (i.e. cost of loan monitoring and cost of enforcing small loans). These factors tend to increase the break-even rate in credit marketing.

Efficiency of farm credit Users-Groups may determine how well they could maintain competition with other lending institutions in credit market. Investigating the efficiency of micro finance user group will demonstrate how well they are able to fill the gap between their members and formal lending institutions in Delta State, Nigeria. Empirical information is lacking in this respect before now. This study was therefore designed to fill this information gap.

Specifically, the study was designed to:

(i) ascertain amount of credit traded by microfinance Users Groups in the study area from 2000 to 2004.

(ii) determine the percentage repayment efficiency of members of microfinance Users Groups

(iii) estimate the operational efficiency of microfinance users groups in the credit marketing.

(iv) estimate the relationship between loan traded and recovered by microfinance Users Groups in the study area.

# 1.1 Concept of Microfinance as a tool for Economic Transformation

The concept of microfinance refers to the provision of financial services-loans, savings or insurance transferred to low-income or resource-poor groups. In recent times, most donor interventions tend to concentrate on micro-credit as one of the microfinance services. According to Oluwalana et al (2004), micro-credit ranges between \$20,000 and \$100,000. It is rather unfortunate that the efforts of Nigerian governments towards economic transformation through the use of formal credit institutions have not been effective. Indeed most of the credit institutions have not been able to make a significant impact due to several operational deficiencies among which are gross inadequacies in management and poor loan recovery performance (Olomala, 1994; Oluwalana et al, 2005). According to Ike and Ajieh, (2009) formal credit programmes have failed to deliver credit to target economic agents at the grass roots. They have failed in promoting a viable credit delivery system in the country. This weakness gave rise to the penetration of informal credit users group into the credit market. The essence is to form linkage or intermediation between formal financial institutions and credit users groups. An effective linkage, or intermediation has the potential for high velocity of micro finance Economic transformation, that enroute bottom to top would require high financial velocity. Grass root economic transformation is the building block for national economic growth. Economic transformation requires popular participation and mobilization of financial resources. High velocity of micro finance is a good and reliable measure of efficiency of credit intermediation system.

# 1.2 Theoretical Framework

This study was predicated on three theories viz; credit market, credit groups and efficiency theories. Abbott and Makeham (1990) defined credit as the accessibility to the right to obtain and use financial capital which must be paid back at a specified period of time. Baker (1969) distinguished between credit and loan in such a way that credit is regarded as a financial reserve or asset for a borrower and credit becomes a loan as soon as the borrower starts to spend it and incurs interest charged on it. Credit market is different from commodity market in that the right of ownership to credit is temporary be it short term or long term; while that of commodity is permanent.

Abbot and Makeham (1990) defined credit as access to capital which will be paid at a later date. Their idea about credit is that through credit a farmer could used capital when needed and at price (interest) paid to mobilize such credit and direct it into profitable ventures. Ijere (1998) reviewed that credit is a catalyst that activates other factors of production for economic transformation. It makes unused capital functional for increased production. Micro finance is operationally defined as a financial instrument awarded to users in the absence of adequate personal savings and which must be repaid within a period of one year.

The assumption behind micro finance is that without it very little economic development occurs at the grass root and by extension national economic transformation is inhibited. Balogun and Otu (1999) hypothesized that farmers demand for credit was influenced mainly by availability of credit subsidies, relative farm profitability and availability of guarantors.

Hence demand and supply of financial services respond to interest rate charged (i.e price). Short term loans attract lower interest rate then longer term loans. As the flow (supply) of money in credit market fluctuates, the rate of interest tends to rise and fall. Accordingly Besey (1996) hypothesized that credit is assumed to respond to gains from trade. The expected and actual profitability of the agricultural activity would have a great influence on the farmer's decision and ability to borrow or demand for credit, since credit must yield profit to allow repayment of capital and transaction cost. To the supplier of financial service (Users Groups), credit must yield

profit to allow repayment of capital (capital flow) to formal sources and to cover transaction cost. To the user of financial instrument, credit must yield profit to boost investment and to allow repayment.

Credit marketing involves the flow of financial instrument (idle funds) from a source (formal or informal), through intermediaries (Users Groups) to an end user (users group members). Benefiting members use the credit as catalyst to boost their farm production and related activities. At the expiration of the loan duration, the loan is channeled back to the source through repayment/recovery process. The rate of repayment of credit and subsequent demand for loan is an indicator of the financial viability of the borrower.

Financial intermediation is the process of channeling surplus money from a lender to a borrower through a medium (e.g cooperative) at a price called interest. The lenders of fund are usually called surplus saving units (SSUs). These can be individuals, business firms, Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), or even the government and are ready to release it temporarily. Deficit saving unit (DSUs), on the other hand, are individuals or organizations that need more money than they currently have so as to satisfy some needs; and are willing to obtain the needed fund at the ruling rate of interest. Hence SSU occupies the supply side, while the DUSs represents the demand side of credit market. Financial intermediaries are institutions (e.g cooperatives), which serve as links between the surplus and the deficit units.

Financial intermediaries can be divided into two broad categories (i) the banking institution (i.e financial institutions that operate as banks) and (ii) the non-bank financial institutions (Iyoha *et al*, 1998). Credit marketing involves the pooling of credit facilities (idle funds) from a source (formal or informal), through intermediaries by way of credit delivery/administration to an end user (e.g the Farmer) for utilization. Adam (1998), had earlier asserted that credit obtained must be repaid according to agreed terms. An efficient credit repayment system encourages credit recycling. This is schematically presented in Figure 1:

The rate of repayment of credit and subsequent demand for loan is an indicator of the financial viability of the borrower or group of borrowers. And this enhances the sustainability of a credit system. Profit realized from farming can boost the repayment capacity of the borrower. Good repayment capacity and attitude can motivate the lending institutions in approving repeat applications for loan without delay. In traditional African setting, the integrity of the borrower may be a stake for loan. Hence integrity can be a substitute for tangible collateral for loan, though on a small scale.

High default rate is as a result of credit leakages among small-scale farmers. Credit leakages involve loan diversion to unintended purposes such as social demands and domestic needs such as feeding, payment of children school fees, medication and house rent. A loan that leaked from the credit marketing chain can hardly be recovered. It is hoped that micro finance groups using group dynamics can perform efficiently in credit market. Group approach to micro finance administration is believed to possess some potentials in reducing credit leakages.

# 2. Research Methodology

# 2.1 Study Area, Sampling Procedure and Data Collection Techniques

The study was carried out in Delta State of Nigeria. It is one of the states in the Niger-Delta region of Nigeria. This area was chosen for the study because majority of the people are small scale farmers who organized themselves into self-help financial groups. The major economic activities include farming, agro-allied industries and petty trading. Snow ball sampling technique was adopted in selecting 5 self-help groups (cooperative societies) from each of the 3 agro-economic zones. Hence a total of 15 self-help groups were selected and studied. Historical data were collected with the use of structured questionnaire information on credit transaction for 5 years (2000 to 2004). Data were collected on the amount of credit obtained; among repaid, interest charged, loan transaction costs.

# 2.2 Data Analysis Techniques

Collected data were analysed using a combination of descriptive and inferential statistical tools. Mean volume of loan administered per user group was determine by dividing the total amount disbursed for the five year period by the number of user group surveyed. Coefficient of variation(c.v) was used to determine the velocity of micro finance among user group for the period under study. The velocity of micro finance measures the rate of flow of micro finance from the source to user group members over time. The mean volume of loan repaid was also determined through similar procedure. Loan repayment efficiency ratio was determined as follows:

Loan Repayment Efficiency Ratio (LORER) =

```
Amount Repaid
Amount borrowed
```

% Repayment Efficiency

The percentage repayment Efficiency was evaluated as follows:

Amount repaid	х	100
Amount borrowed		1

The degree of relationship between loan repayment and loan disbursement was measured with the aid of simple regression model. This is explicitly stated as:

$$Y_{\beta} = \alpha + \beta_{REPT} + Ui$$

Where

 $Y_{\beta}$  = Amount borrowed (N)

REPT= Repayment

 $\alpha$  = Intercept term

 $\beta$  = Parameter estimate

U = Error term

# 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Velocity of Micro Finance Disbursed

The amount of credit disbursed by micro finance User Groups in the study area within the period of the study is presented in table 1. The result shows that the mean amount of \$18,655.33 was disbursed to members of farm credit Users groups within the period of the survey with a historical standard deviation of \$2755.79 and historical coefficient of variation of 14.77% indicating credit velocity 14.77% per year. This result implies that the rate of improvement in the volume of loan disbursed by farm credit users Groups was as high as 14.77% within 5 years (2000 – 2004) period that was surveyed. Micro finance users Groups were indeed efficient on the basis of steady growth (14.77%) in the volume of loan they channeled to their members. If supported by financial institutions in this respect, they could do more. This will result in improvement in output and economic well being of the groups members.

### 3.2 Velocity of micro finance Recovery/Repayment

The distribution of credit recovery/repayment capacity of Users Groups within the period of the survey (2000 - 2004) in the study areas is presented in table 2.0

$$\overline{X} = \mathbb{H}^{14,965.50}$$
, SX =  $\mathbb{H}^{2073.1}$ , C.V = 13,85%

The result showed that about \$3,370,857.2 mean amount recovered by the all users groups within the 5 years period under review. About \$223,723.30 was the mean amount of recovered per user group within the period (2000 – 2004, while about \$14,965 was the mean amount of loan repaid per member of the user groups in the study area. The implication of the finding is that within the survey years (2000 – 2004), user groups recorded a microfinance recovery velocity (growth rate) of 13.85% in the study area. This relatively high velocity of microfinance is a pointer to the repayment capacity and integrity of user groups. Government financial injection policy will be effective using group approach. Furthermore, loan administration efficiency ratio was computed by the formula below:

This implies that for every  $\rightarrow$ N100 transaction cost incurred by user groups, they could earn a return of  $\rightarrow$ N125. Furthermore loan repayment performance was evaluated using student t-test to test the difference between mean amount borrowed and mean amount repaid t<sub>cal</sub> (1.71) t<sub>crit</sub> (1.86) at (P>0.05) This shows that difference between amount disbursed and amount repaid was statistically insignificant. With this, the null hypothesis is upheld. This was used as loan repayment performance criterion of users groups in the study area. Members of farm credit users group have indeed performed very well in loan repayment. Where loan repayment performance is high, default rate and credit leakages are usually minimal. All these are important features that boost the confidence and integrity of microfinance banks. This will attract continuous patronage and support of funding institution and agencies such central bank of Nigeria (CBN), Bank of Industry and the government of Delta state, Nigeria.

#### 3.3 Relationship Between Short Term Loan Disbursement and Recovery

The relationship between the amount of short term loan disbursed and recovered by users groups in Delta State was evaluated with the use of correlation coefficient and simple regression models. The correlation coefficient (r)

= 0.9 and the simple regression equation, showed that there is positive and significant relationship between the amount of short-term loan disbursed and recovered by microfinance users groups in Delta State. The result implies that loan repayment index is an important and significant determinant of the amount of fund available for further disbursement of micro finance. Micro finance users groups in the study area were efficient enough to have a high repayment rate of 80.2%. Where short term loan recovery is defective, velocity of micro finance by users groups could slow down and intermediation system could stifled due to fund shortages. Under such situations, consideration of loan applications would delay. Loan recovery Performance is therefore a reliable measure of efficiency of micro finance groups.

#### 3.4 Implication for Economic Growth

According to Jhingan (2002), micro finance intermediaries are of great help in the working of financial markets. It is instrumental to credit policies formulation and execution of the central bank of Nigeria. Consequently, it promotes the growth of the economy. By transferring funds from surplus to deficit units, micro finance user groups create large financial assets. They provide the economy with money supply, thereby increasing the velocity of money. This helps in the proper functioning of the financial markets. Since the financial markets are responsible for the smooth working of the economy, the financial injection policies of the central bank are fine tuned to involve the micro-finance user-groups. In fact, the growth process of the economy is dependent upon the proper operations of the financial system, which in turn, depends to a large extent upon the non bank financial institutions. By acting as an efficient link between the ultimate lenders and ultimate borrowers, micro finance user groups provide liquidity and safety to financial capital for productive purposes. They increase the velocity of capital formation and consequently lead to economic growth of developing countries such as Nigeria, in a significant way.

#### 4. Conclusion/Recommendations

The investigation of the velocity of micro finance among users group and implication for growth in Delta State, revealed some useful facts. Microfinance disbursement and recovery flow at a velocity of 14% and 13% respectively over the period of 5 years (2000 – 2004) under review. This steady growth rate in micro-finance mobilization has some fundamental implication for economic transformation. For instance, farm size (acreage), farm income, and hence welfare of credit users must have transformed considerably after obtaining loan. Huge capital required for initial investments and expansion cannot be made by personal savings but by microfinance. Thus high velocity of microfinance could solve the problem of capital shortages that tend to impede economic transformation in developing countries. Since micro finance users groups have demonstrated high velocity of micro finance institutions such as the bank of industry and other commercial banks in the area of micro finance/revolving loan schemes. This is the road map to economic transformation in developing countries such as Nigeria.

#### References

Abott, J.C and Makeham, J.P. (1990). Agricultural Economics and Marketing in the Tropics (2<sup>nd</sup> edition) London: Longman. Pp. 170.

Adam, D.W. (1998). The Economics of Lending to Informal Groups of Small Farmers in Low Income Countries, Dept of Agricultural Economics, Ohio State University, Ohio (Unpublished).

Adekanye, T.O. (1983). Agricultural Credit in Africa: Implication of the Nigeria Experiment. Agricultural Administration and Extension, 14 (3), 203 – 211.

Ajakaiye, M.B. (1986). The role of Nigeria Agricultural and Cooperative Bank in Nigeria. In Osuntogun. A and R. Ugorji (eds) *Financing Agricultural Development in Nigeria*.

Arene, C.J. and Okpakpera. (2006). *Economic Agricultural Production to the Micro and Macro level Perspectives*, Enugu. Price Publishing Co.

Besky, S.S. (1994). Can Africa find Lasting means of Addressing Poverty – on the continent? *Sunday Time*, June Pp. 6.

Crammer, G.L., C.W. Jensen and D.D. Southgate (Jr). (2001). Agricultural *Economics and Agribusiness*, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

Ehigiamusoe, G. (2000). *Poverty and Microfinance in Nigeria*, LAPO Development Centre, Benin: Ob-zed Publishers Pp. 9 – 12

Ijere, M.O. (1998). *Agricultural Credit and Economic Development*. In Readings in Agricultural Finance Ibadan: Longman Plc.

Iyoha, M.A; S.A. Oyefusi and D.E Oriakhi. (1998). An Introduction to Modern Macroeconomics; Benin: Mindex Pub. Co. Ltd.

Ike P.C and Ajieh, P.C. (2009). Micro Financing and Poverty Alleviation Measures for Rural Development: The Role of Non-Governmental Organization in Nigeria In Agbamu, J.U (ed) *Perspectives In Agricultural Extension and Rural Development*, Pp. 309.

Ojo, M.O. (1998). Some Implications of Government Economic Policies for the Financial and Development of Agriculture in Nigeria: *Readings in Agricultural Finance*; Ibadan: Longman Nigeria Plc.

Olomila, A.S. (1994). Loan Administration and Utilization Under the Graduate Agricultural loan schemes in Nigeria. *NISER Individual Research Project Report*, No. 2 Ibadan.

Oluwalana, E.O.A., Okuneye, P.A and Sokoyo, G.O. (2004). *Micro Credit for Agricultural Development*. A Study of Women Groups in Ogun State Nigeria.

Otu, M.F. (2003). Informed Credit Market and Monetary Management in Nigeria. *Occasional Paper*, Central Bank of Nigeria Research Department, No. 29, Pp. 5 – 10.

World Bank. (2008). Annual Report.

Table 1. Amount of Credit Disbursed by Farm Credit Users g	groups
--	--------

Year	Total volume of credit ( <del>N</del> )	Mean volume of loan	Mean volume of loan obtain
		disbursed by Credit	by members
		Groups ( <del>N</del> )	
2000	3460,6000	230706.6	15.380.40
2001	360003000	240020	16,001.30
2002	4,47.600	298040	19,969.40
2003	47056300	313686.67	20912.40
2004	4750450	316,696.67	21.113.10

Table 2. Distribution of Farm Credit Users Groups in Load Recovery/Repayment

Year	Total volume of credit ( <del>N</del> )	Amount of loan Recovered	Amount of loan Repaid per
		per Groups ( <del>N</del> )	borrower ( <del>N</del> )
2000	2,837,692	189179.46	12,611.90
2001	2888024	192016.00	12,801.00
2002	3,685.890	240,726	16,301.70
2003	3,670134	244675	16,311.70
2004	3,780,300	252020	16,801.00
Total	16,854.256	1,118,616.50	74827.30

(Source: 2004 field survey)

Table 3. Loan Transaction Cost

Loan Transaction Cost	N
Exco sitting allowance	105500
Committee allowance	22700
Transportation	31900
Bank charges	425633.30
Communication	97000
Stationeries	68040
Total	<del>N</del> 750,773.30

(Source: 2004 field survey)



Figure 1. Micro Finance Marketing/Mobilization Cycle