# Stock Market Prediction Performance of Neural Networks: A Literature Review

Özgür İcan<sup>1</sup> & Taha Buğra Çelik<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey

Correspondence: Özgür İcan, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Kurupelit Kampusü, Samsun, Turkey. Tel: 90-505-560-4991. E-mail: ozgur.ican@omu.edu.tr

Received: January 19, 2017	Accepted: February 14, 2017	Online Published: October 15, 2017
doi:10.5539/ijef.v9n11p100	URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v9n	11p100

## Abstract

In this paper, previous studies featuring an artificial neural networks based prediction model have been reviewed. The main purpose of this review is to examine studies which use directional prediction accuracy (also known as hit ratio) or profitability of the model as a benchmark since other forecast error measures - namely mean absolute deviation (MAD), root mean squared error (RMSE), mean absolute error (MAE) and mean squared error (MSE) - have been criticized for the argument that they are not able to actually show how useful the prediction model is, in terms of financial gains (i.e. for practical usage). In order to meet the publication selection criteria mentioned above, a large number of publications have been examined and 25 of papers satisfying the criteria are selected for comparison. Classification of the eligible papers are summarized in a table format for future studies.

Keywords: ANN (Artificial Neural Networks), financial times series forecasting, stock markets prediction, review

### 1. Introduction

According to the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), stock prices cannot be forecasted by investors since markets reflect all of the currently available information. From this point of view, it is suggested that stock prices proceed in a stochastic manner. This idea is also known as Random Walk Hypothesis (RWH). Conversely; it has been suggested for a long time that prices can be predicted using different kind of techniques mainly classified as time series forecasting models. As a matter of fact, there is no certain consensus on which hypothesis is actually more likely to be relied on. However, a large number of studies empirically proved that prices can be predicted - at least to a certain degree - using different methods. For example, (Brock, Lakonishok, & LeBaron, 1992) investigated predictability of the Dow Jones Industrial Average index by using two technical trading rules namely moving averages and trading-range breaks. Using these two trading rules, they generated buy and sell signals. Their results provide strong support for the technical strategies. Especially recent studies which employ artificial (computational) intelligence methods such as artificial neural networks (ANN), support vector machines (SVM), genetic algorithms (GA) etc. suggest that significant levels of market inefficiency is present in a wide range of markets hence predictability of prices is viable.

Forecasting in the financial time series is basically predicting the behavior of one step ahead of the series with the help of various variables. Similarly, it would not be wrong to make the same generalization for stock price estimates. In finance practice, stock price prediction/forecasting efforts generally fall one of the two categories in terms of explanatory variables namely fundamental analysis and technical analysis. Techniques from both categories are also used by forecasters simultaneously for improving forecasting ability. Furthermore, there have been numerous time series forecasting models of statistical nature which employ variables from fundamental and technical analysis suggested by scholars. There are also a growing number of papers in the literature employing an artificial intelligence technique purely or combined with other statistical techniques. One of the most predominantly preferred and also in widespread use in the industry is ANN.

When employing ANN in prediction, selection of input variables for forecasting is as crucial as the topology of the ANN. It has been shown in many studies that the same model can produce significantly different outcomes when fed with different inputs i.e. independent variables. Thus another main purpose of this review is to examine studies which use directional prediction accuracy or profitability of model as a benchmark since from the practical point of view it is the main objective of the prediction of financial time series. A prediction with little

forecast error (measured as MAD, RMSE, MAE, and MSE) does not necessarily translate into a capital gain (Leung, Daouk, & Chen, 2000). The practical aim of forecasting is the profits generated from a successful sequence of trades or financial gains based on prediction results. It does not matter whether the forecasts are accurate or not in terms of normalized mean squared error (NMSE) or gradient (Yao & Tan, 2000). For example (O'Connor & Madden, 2006) and (De Faria, Albuquerque, Gonzalez, Cavalcante, & Albuquerque, 2009) found that there is a disparity between RMSE and profitability of the ANN model. Which means that obtaining low RMSE does not provide high returns, in other words the relationship is not linear between two. Moreover, correct directional predictions and profit-based performance metrics is also easy and practical to draw interpretations on the capability of the underlying prediction model.

Hence, in this paper it is intended to classify studies not only for their model selection criteria but also for the inputs used for the prediction and also how accurate is using them in terms of predicting directions. In this survey, we will consider studies which use percentage of profit-generating or in other terms percentage of winning trades benchmark measures for testing the suggested model. From this point of view, this survey's genuine approach is compare previous models in literature for their explanatory/input variables used for prediction and how accurate they are in predicting the direction of the related time series. Therefore the aim of this study is to put forward the importance of input selection as well as the model selection and give insight to researchers and practitioners.

There are other review studies on artificial intelligence and ANN based financial forecasting methods such as (Bahrammirzae, 2010), (Rather, Sastry, & Agarwal, 2017), (Zhang, Patuwo, & Hu, 1998), (Adya & Collopy, 1998), (Paliwal & Kumar, 2009), (Atsalakisa & Valavanisb, 2009). For example, (Bahrammirzaee, 2010) reviewed comparative studies where ANN, expert systems (ES) and hybrid systems were compared each other and also with traditional statistical methods. (Rather et al., 2017) described a more general framework by separating studies based on single asset prediction models (which contains autoregressive moving average, singular and hybrid models) with portfolio selection models. (Paliwal & Kumar, 2009) reviewed comparative studies of multilayered feedforward neural networks and statistical techniques used for prediction and classification in the areas of accounting and finance, health and medicine, engineering and manufacturing, marketing, general applications. (Zhang et al., 1998) summarized modeling issues of ANN forecasting and reviewed studies comparing ANN with traditional statistical methods based on predicted variables.

#### 2. Classification of Articles

In this review, a large number of publications were examined but only a small number of them considered to meet the criteria expressed before. For each publication, four categories are specified. Those categories are *model*, *forecasted index and predicted time interval*, *input variables*, and *result* categories. In the "model" category, prediction model(s) proposed by authors and other models for comparison are listed. The other category namely "forecasted index and predicted time interval" is considered since market conditions like developed markets, emerging markets and, frontier markets are important parameters of prediction and also the length of estimation (also known as test period) is a required feature for testing robustness of the model. As mentioned before, input or exploratory variables are quite important parameters for a prediction model because the predictive power of the model is largely dependent on the inputs used hence the third category. The last category which is essential to our survey for comparing studies in terms of correct directional prediction or return (profit) obtained by using proposed prediction models is the "result" category. All of the reviewed papers are summarized in Table-1 based on their qualifications at each category.

### **3. Review of Literature**

(Niaki & Hoseinzade, 2013) used 27 financial and economic factors as inputs for feed-forward neural networks in order to forecast direction of Standard & Poor's 500 (S&P 500). They followed a buy-and-sell strategy which is determined by the direction of the market. Due to their proposed strategy, portfolio is rearranged according to the ANN's forecast. They found that ANN performs better than passive buy-and-hold strategy and also outperforms the logit model. (Kara, Boyacioglu, & Baykan, 2011) developed an ANN and SVM using ten technical indicators as inputs and then compared their performances in predicting the direction of movement of the daily Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE) National 100 Index. Their output of the ANN network was two patterns (0 or 1) of stock price direction. They showed than ANN shows better performance than SVM. (Yao, Tan, & Poh, 1999) using some technical indicators as inputs, applied several back-propagation neural networks (BNN) in order to predict the KLSE stock market index and compared the returns earned by BNN with conventional ARIMA models. Their results show that the neural network model can get better returns compared to conventional ARIMA models. (Jasic & Wood, 2004) derived buy and sell signals from single hidden layer neural network predictions which uses lagged values of S&P 500, DAX, TOPIX and FTSE index as inputs and found significantly different from unconditional one-day mean return which can provide significant net profits for plausible decision rules and transaction cost assumptions. (Fernandez-Rodriguez, Gonzalez-Martel, & Sosvilla-Rivero, 2000) compared the profitability of back-propagation learning rule based artificial neural networks with a simple buy-and-hold strategy in General Index of the Madrid Stock Market. Their model receives 9 previous days' returns as input and scales output between [-1, 1] interval. As a result it is asserted that except for "bull" markets, in absence of trading costs, the technical trading rule is always superior to a buy-and-hold strategy. (O'Connor & Madden, 2006) compared different ANNs with different settings in predicting movements in the Dow Jones Industrial Average index. They conducted six experiments using feed-forward ANN. In each experiment different input setups are tested. Accordingly, in some of the experiments external factors (such as currency data and crude oil) haven't been taken into account as inputs, instead Dow Jones time series data and related technical indicators have been taken as inputs. The results have shown that using external indicators as inputs, the overall performance in terms of profitability and directional success of the model has improved significantly. (Chen, Leung & Daouk, 2003) favored the idea that forecasting the direction of price changes rather than price levels and used probabilistic neural networks in order to forecast the direction of index returns. Using the obtained forecasts of the direction of returns they employed two trading strategies called "single threshold triggering" and "multiple threshold triggering". Then the authors compared the results with simple buy and hold strategy, random walk models and GMM-Kalman filter models. (De Faria et al., 2009) predicted the directions of the principal index of the Brazilian stock market with ANN and adaptive exponential smoothing (AES) method where different settings tested for both ANN and AES and concluded that the AES method did not contribute to predict the correct sign of the return. On the other hand ANN and AES produced almost the same RMSE. (De Oliveira, Nobre, & Zárate, 2013) conducted a domain analysis to be informed about financial market and to identify variables that drive stock prices. Employing resilient back-propagation algorithm for network training, they forecasted Petrobras stock PETR4 time series with ANN. (Huang, Nakamori, & Wang, 2005) conducted a comparative study where predicted weekly movement direction of NIKKEI 225 index results obtained by SVM, Elman backpropagation neural networks (EBNN), random walk model (RW), linear discriminant analysis (LDA), quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) and a combining model of SVM with other classification methods compared each other. (Kumar & Thenmozhi, 2006) is another study of forecasting the direction of S&P CNX NIFTY Market Index with various methods. LDA, logit model (LM), ANN, Random Forest (RF) and SVM are compared each other. (Leung et al., 2000) compared linear discriminant analysis, logit, probit, probabilistic neural network, exponential smoothing, multivariate transfer function, vector auto regression with Kalman filter, and multilayered feedforward neural network in predicting daily direction of S&P 500, FTSE 100, and Nikkei 225. (Zhong & Enke, 2017) employed principal component analysis (PCA), fuzzy robust principal component analysis (FRPCA), and kernel-based principal component analysis (KPCA) for dimension reduction of 60 financial and economic variables. Following this, ANNs are used with the pre-processed data sets to forecast the daily direction of S&P 500 Index ETF. (Asadi, Hadavandi, Mehmanpazir, & Nakhostin, 2012) proposed a hybrid intelligent model which is combined of genetic algorithms and Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm with ANN and tested on Taiwan Stock Exchange index (TSE), Tehran Stock Exchange Prices Index (TEPIX), Index of top 50 Companies, Industry index, Index of Financial Group, Dow Jones Industrial Average Index Series, and Nasdaq Index Series. (Lee & Lim, 2011) utilized a neuro-fuzzy system which is a supervised classification technique named neural network with weighted fuzzy membership function (NEWFM) and applied on Korea composite stock price index (KOSPI) data. (Dai, Wu, & Lu, 2012) combined nonlinear independent component analysis (NLICA) and neural networks to forecast some Asian stock markets. Using NLICA they transformed raw data into independent components which are served as input variables of the neural network. (Lu & Wu, 2011) proposed cerebellar model articulation controller neural network (CMAC NN) and compared it with support vector regression (SVR) and a back-propagation neural network (BPNN) in forecasting Nikkei 225 and Taiwan Stock Exchange (TAEIX). (Yu, Wang, & Lai, 2009) improved a neural-network-based nonlinear metamodeling technique to forecast S&P 500, NYSE, and US dollars vs. Euros (EUR) and US dollars vs. Japanese yen (JPY) exchange rates. (Chao, Li-li, & Ting-ting, 2012) developed a new support vector machine (SVM) based on wavelet kernel function which is a combination of SVMs and wavelet kernel function. Prediction results on NASDAQ composite index of Polynomial kernel SVMs, Gaussian kernel SVMs, Morlet wavelet kernel SVM, Gaussian wavelet kernel SVM, and Biorthogonal spline wavelet Bior (4.4) kernel SVM are then compared each other. (Lu, Lee, & Chiu, 2009) first used independent component analysis (ICA) to generate the noiseless independent components and then served them as inputs to the support vector regression for financial time series forecasting. (Wang, Wang, Zhang, & Guo, 2012) combined the exponential smoothing model (ESM), autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA), and the back propagation neural network

(BPNN) to forecast the closing of the Shenzhen Integrated Index (SZII) and opening of the Dow Jones Industrial Average Index (DJIAI). (Kao, Chiu, Lu, & Yang, 2013) used nonlinear independent component analysis (NLICA) to extract features (independent components) from forecasting variables then used them as inputs of support vector regression (SVR) to forecast Shanghai Stock Exchange Composite (SSEC) and Nikkei 225 stock indexes. (Kim, 2003) applied support vector machines (SVMs) to forecast the daily Korea composite stock price index (KOSPI) and compared it with back-propagation neural networks and case-based reasoning. (Mingyue, Cheng, & Yu, 2016) optimized the ANN model using genetic algorithms (GA) to forecast the Japanese stock market index and compared results with other studies. (Kim & Han, 2000) employed genetic algorithms (GAs) to assign values of weights by simultaneous optimization of connection weights for artificial neural networks (ANNs) and to feature discretization, then they forecasted the daily Korea stock price index (KOSPI) with proposed hybrid model. They compared three models with each other. These are linear transformation with the back propagation neural network (BPLT), linear transformation with ANN trained by GA (GALT) and, GA approach to feature discretization (GAFD) for ANN.

In the comparison table best results obtained by authors are listed. Also, in the results column, if one study has both, percentage of correct directional predictions and returns obtained at some transaction costs performance measures, former is preferred.

Authors	Forecasted Index and	Input Variables			Re	sult	
and Year	Predicted Time Interva	1					
Niaki and	S&P 500 index	Input variables: 8		Percentage	of corr	ect directi	onal
Hoseinzade	e 365 trading days	Basic Price Data (8): Exchange rate between USD-B	British pound,	predictions	of;		
(2013)		USD-Canadian dollar, USD-Japanese yen, Exxon	Mobil stock	Logistic Reg	gression: 5	1.78	
		return in day t-1, General Electric stock return in day t	-1, Microsoft	ANN: µ	<sub>ANN</sub> > 51.	78 at	5%
		stock return in day t-1, Procter & Gamble stock return	rn in day t-1,	significance	level		
		Johnson and Johnson stock return in day t-1.					
Kara et al.		Input variables: 10		U		ect directi	onal
(2011)	index	Technical Analysis (10): Simple 10-day Moving Avera	0, 0	1		of;	
	6- months	10-day Moving Average, Momentum, Stochastic K					
	(In the period	D%, Relative Strength Index, Moving Average	e	•			
	1997-2007)*	Divergence, Larry William's R%	(LW%R),	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		evel,
		Accumulation/Distribution Oscillator (A/D Oscillator)	), Commodity		betw		nean
		Channel Index.		performanc		models	is
Jasic and	2000 trading days for	Input variables, 1 (for each time series)	Returns obtain	significant)		tion costs h	
Wood	S&P 500, DAX, and	Input variables: 1 (for each time series) Basic Price Data (1): Lagged values of S&P 500	Returns obtain	ANN	B&H	AR(1)	у;
(2004)	FTSE, and ~2700	index for S&P 500 predictions; DAX index for	S&D 500	29.52	21.02	AK(1) 0.43	
(2004)	trading days for	DAX predictions; TOPIX index for TOPIX		32.52	23.88	2.65	
	TOPIX.	<b>L</b> .	TOPIX	35.59	-6.69	2.03	
	101124.		FTSE	28.38	13.45	4.25	
Fernandez-	Madrid Stock Market		Returns obtain				:
Rodriguez		Basic Price Data(9): Returns of previous 9 days			ANN	B&H	,
et al.,	each		In bear marke	et	4	-40	
(2000)					8		
			In stable mark	cet	2	0.19	
					7		
			In bull marke	t:	2	44	
					9		

Table 1. Results of reviewed articles

Description: \*Predictions were made yearly. Half of the each year was used for training and the other half for prediction. Calculated returns in results column are average of each year's prediction.

O'Connor	Dow Jones	Input variables: 7	Percentage of correct directional
and	Industrial Average	Basic Price Data (7): Current day's Dow Jones opening value, Previous 5	predictions of;
Madden	index	days' Dow Jones opening values, Previous 5 days' Daily Dow Jones	ANN: 55.1
(2006)	500 trading days	Gradients, Previous 5 days' WTI Cushing crude oil price Previous 5 days	
		of the USD/YEN exchange rate, Previous 5 days of the USD/GBP	
		exchange rate, Previous 5 days of the USD/CAN exchange rate	

ijef.ccsenet.org

Exchange 12-months Brazilian stock ma	Basic Price Data (1): Lagged index data Economic Variables (6): Three-year government bond rate m 1-month risk-free rate, One-month interest rate, Lagged con-					at	0.03%
		ninus the	transactio	on costs	s by;		
Brazilian stock ma	1-month risk-free rate, One-month interest rate, Lagged con-		BH: 13.3	2			
Brazilian stock ma		sumption	RW: 43.6	54			
Brazilian stock ma	level, Lagged gross national and domestic products, Lagged c	consumer	KF: 180.	84			
Brazilian stock ma	price and production level		ST: 201.8	83			
Brazilian stock ma			MT: 282.	.29			
	rket Input variables: 60		Percenta	ige of	correct	dire	ctiona
236 trading days	s Basic Price Data (60): 1 to 60 days lagged price data		predictio	ons of;			
			ANN: 6	0			
			AES: 57	,			
PETR4 stock	Input variables: 15		Percent	age o	f correct	dire	ctiona
11 trading days	Technical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price	e, Moving	predicti	ions of;			
	averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance	e Volume	ANN: 8	37.50			
	Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price	, Domestic	:				
	market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index,	Investors					
	participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax	rate, Selic	;				
	interest tax rate						
S&P CNX NIFTY	/ Input variables: 12		Percenta	ige of	correct	dire	ctiona
Index	Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D,	Stochastic	predictio	ons of;			
~340 trading days	s slow %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William' s %	% R, A/D	LDA: 56	5.34			
	Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Ce	ommodity	LM: 59.	60			
	channel index, Relative strength index		ANN: 62	2.93			
			RF: 67.4	40			
			SVM: 6	8.44			
NIKKEI 225	Input variables: 9		Percenta	ige of	correct	dire	ctiona
index	Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, S	Short-term	predictio	ons of;			
36 trading days							
		national					
	product, Gross domestic product, Industrial production		-				
						_	
				-			
	*			ned (%	5) at 1%	trans	saction
•			•	~			
303 trading days			•				
				Strateg	y2: 25.81		
	average (10 days), Relative strength index, Momentum						
					1 0 12		
				-	od: 8.12		
<i>c</i> 0 : 1 1						1	c
		Percentage					
• •			S&PS	00 F.	ISE 100	IN1KK	ei 225
				57	60	60	
•	for the US, first difference of 20-year government bond rate	-			60 60	63	
unougn i	for the UK, and first difference of long term government bond late				60 60	63	
December 1005) f	ate for Japan; First difference of consumer price index for the				61	63	
			Kalman		55		
r	hree countries respectively. First difference of industrial		Naiiiidii			63	
r	hree countries respectively; First difference of industrial						
r	production for the three countries, respectively	filter	with	55	53	58	
r	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA	with				
r	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous			53 56	58 58	
r	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous variables		53	56	58	
r t F	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous variables ANN		53 63	56 50	58 60	ioti
r t F S&P 500 Index In	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous variables ANN Percer		53 63	56	58 60	iction
r t F S&P 500 Index In ETF Pi	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous variables ANN Percer A), of;	ntage of c	53 63 correct	56 50	58 60	iction
r t S&P 500 Index In ETF Pr ~378 trading Fo	production for the three countries, respectively	filter ARIMA exogenous variables ANN Percer A), of; and ANN	ntage of o	53 63 correct A: 58.1	56 50 directiona	58 60	iction
	11 trading days S&P CNX NIFTY Index ~340 trading days NIKKEI 225 index 36 trading days 36 trading days Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange 303 trading days	11 trading daysTechnical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum prica averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Baland Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax interest tax rateS&P CNX NIFTYInput variables: 12 Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, -340 trading daysSlow %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William's S Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Cr channel index, Relative strength indexNIKKEI 225Input variables: 9 Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, S interest rate, Long-term interest rate, Consumer price Government consumption, Private consumption, Gross product, Gross domestic product, Industrial productionKuala Lumpur 303 trading daysInput variables: 6 Basic Price Data (2): $I_t$ (index of the th period), $I_{t-1}$ (in of the (t-1)th period) Technical Analysis (4): Moving average (5 days), Mov average (10 days), Relative strength index, Momentum60 periodsInput variables: 4 (for each time series) rading. (monthly60 periodsInput variables: 4 (for each time series) rading. (monthly	11 trading days Technical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price, Moving averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance Volume Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price, Domestic market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, Investors participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax rate, Selic interest tax rate   S&P CNX NIFTY Input variables: 12   Index Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic ~340 trading days   slow %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William' s % R, A/D Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Commodity channel index, Relative strength index   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9   index Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, Short-term interest rate, Long-term interest rate, Consumer price index, Government consumption, Private consumption, Gross national product, Gross domestic product, Industrial production   Kuala Lumpur Input variables: 6 Return Stock Exchange   303 trading days Of the (t-1)th period) ANN   Technical Analysis (4): Moving average (5 days), Moving ANN average (10 days), Relative strength index, Momentum BH: - Bank   60 periods Input variables: 4 (for each time series) Percentage rading. (monthly Economic Variables (4): First difference of 3-month T-bill rate predictions-from for the US, and first difference of call money rate for the UK LDA	11 trading daysTechnical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price, Moving predicti averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance Volume ANN: 8 Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price, Domestic market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, Investors participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax rate, Selic interest tax rateS&P CNX NIFTY IndexInput variables: 12 Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic predictic -340 trading daysPercenta solw %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William's % R, A/D LDA: 50 Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Commodity LM: 59, channel index, Relative strength indexANN: 6 RF: 67.4 SVM: 6NIKKEI 225 indexInput variables: 9 Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, Short-term predictio interest rate, Long-term interest rate, Consumer price index, RW: 50 Government consumption, Private consumption, Gross national LDA: 52 product, Gross domestic product, Industrial productionQDA: 6 EBNN: SVM: 7 ComKuala Lumpur Stock ExchangeInput variables: 6 Basic Price Data (2): $I_t$ (index of the th period), $I_{t-1}$ (index costs by: of the (t-1)th period) Technical Analysis (4): Moving average (5 days), Moving ANN Trading a verage (10 days), Relative strength index, Momentum ARIMA: 19.11 60 periodsInput variables: 4 (for each time series) Percentage of correc rading. (monthly Economic Variables (4): First difference of 3-month T-bill rate sredictions-from for the US, and first difference of call money rate for the UK LDA	11 trading days Technical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price, Moving predictions of, averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance Volume ANN: 87.50   Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price, Domestic market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, Investors participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax rate, Selic interest tax rate   S&P CNX NIFTY Input variables: 12 Percentage of   Index Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic predictions of;   ~340 trading days slow %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William' s % R, A/D LDA: 56.34 Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Commodity LM: 59.60   channel index, Relative strength index ANN: 62.93   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9 Percentage of   index Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, Short-term predictions of; stoke 68.44   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9 Percentage of   index Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, Short-term predictions of; stoke 68.44   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9 Percentage of   government consumption, Private consumption, Gross national LDA: 55 product, Gross domestic product, Industrial production QDA: 69   Stock Exchange Basic Price Data (2): $I_t$ (index of the the period), $I_{t-1$	11 trading days Technical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price, Moving predictions of; averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance Volume ANN: 87.50   Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price, Domestic market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, Investors participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax rate, Selic interest tax rate   S&P CNX NIFTY Input variables: 12 Percentage of correct   Index Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic predictions of; -340 trading days   >340 trading days slow %D, Momentum, Rate of change (ROC), William' s % R, A/D LDA: 56.34 Oscillator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Commodity LM: 59.60   channel index, Relative strength index ANN: 62.93 RF: 67.40   SWH: 68.44 Percentage of correct Index   36 trading days interest rate, Long-term interest rate, Consumer price index, RW: 50 Government consumption, Private consumption, Gross national LDA: 55   groduct, Gross domestic product, Industrial production QDA: 69 EBNN: 69   SVM: 73 Combining model: 7   Kuala Lumpur Input variables: 6 Returns obtained (%) at 1%   Stock Exchange Basic Price Data (2): I <sub>t</sub> (index of the th period), I <sub>t-1</sub> (index costs by: Of the (t-1)th period)   Average (10 days), Relative strength index, Momentum	11 trading days Technical Analysis (7): Minimum price, Maximum price, Moving predictions of; averages, Bollinger bands, Opening price, Volume, On Balance Volume ANN: 87.50 Economic Variables (8): Formal employment, Brent oil price, Domestic market automobile sales, Consumer confidence index, Investors participation, Future expectations index, CDI interest tax rate, Selic interest tax rate ANN: 87.50   S&P CNX NIFTY Input variables: 12 Percentage of correct dire   Index Technical Analysis (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic predictions of; -340 trading days Solilator, Disparity 5, Disparity 10, Price oscillator, Commodity LM: 59.60 SVM: 62.93   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9 Percentage of correct dire   index Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rates, Short-term predictions of; SVM: 68.44   NIKKEI 225 Input variables: 9 Percentage of correct dire   index Economic Variables (9): Term structure of interest rate, Short-term predictions of; interest rate, Long-term interest rate, Consumer price index, RV: 50 Government consumption, Private consumption, Gross national LDA: 55 product, Gross domestic product, Industrial production QDA: 69 EBNN: 69 SVM: 73   Kuala Lumpur Input variables: 6 Returns obtained (%) at 1% trans   Stock Exchange Basic Price Data (2): $I_t$ (index of the th period), $I_{t-1}$ (index costs by: of the (t-1)th period) ANN Trading Strategy1: 26.02 

Asadi et al. (2012)	series	Input Variables: 7 Technical Analysis (7): Six days movin days bias (BIAS6), Six days relative stre days stochastic line (K,D), Moving ave divergence (MACD), 13 days psychologic	g average (MA6), Six ngth index (RSI), Nine erage convergence and cal line (PSY), Volume	TSE: 85 TEPIX: 60 Index of top 50 C Industry index: 7	ompanies: 57.:	
				Index of Financia Dow Jones Indu 58.3	strial Average	Index Series
				Nasdaq Index Ser		
	n: *Due to lack of s KOSPI	pace, reader is referred to the original pap	per to see each of the raw			
Lee and Lim (2011)	581 trading days	Input Variables: 13 Technical Analysis (13): Thirteen in KOSPI and KRW/USD exchange n Channel Index (CCI), Current Price Po	rates by; RSI, Commo			t directiona
Dai et al. (2012)	200 trading days fo both markets	r Input Variables: 4 Principal components (4): Using featu independent component analysis	re extraction tool (Nonli	Percentage near predictions dent Shanghai B-		
		components obtained as inputs from th high, low and closing prices and today	e previous day's cash ma	e	N 80.50 78.26 79.50	85.69 73.92 74.85 77.77
Lu and Wu	Nikkei 225 closing cash index;	Basic Price Data (4): Previous day's ca	ash market closing index	Percentage and predictions	of correc of;	
(2011)	TAIEX	three Nikkei 225 index futures prices			Nikkei 225 7	
	200 trading days fo both markets	r Input Variables (TAIEX): 6 Basic Price Data (1): Previous day's clo	osing index	BPNN SVR	79.39 78.95	76.77 74.84
	bour markets	Technical variables (5): Previous da volume, 6-days relative strength indi	y's cash market high, icator (RSI 6), and 10-	low, CMAC NN	81.58	79.35
Yu et al.	S&P 500; NYSE	total amount weight stock price index ( N/A	[API 10]	Percentage	of correc	t directiona
	252 trading days fo			predictions		
	both markets			-	S&P 500	NYSE
			ARIMA		58.33	60.71
			FNN		65.48	64.68
			SVM		69.84	63.89
			Simple averaging metan Simple MSE metamode		72.62 73.81	70.24 72.62
			Stacked regression meta	model	76.59	76.98
			Variance weighting meta	amodel	77.38	79.76
			FNN-based Metamodeli	-	82.54	81.35
Chao et al.	Nasdaq composite index	Input Variables: 4 Technical Analysis (4): Daily opening	, index value. The high	Percentage est predictions of	of correct	directiona
(2012)	41 trading days	index value, The lowest index value.	· · · ·	-	,	64.29
(2012)	i i uuunig uujo	value	, The daily closing hid	Gauss		78.57
				Morlet		78.57
				Gaussian wave	elet	78.57
				Bior4.4		78.57
Lu et al. $(2000)$	Nikkei 225 openin	<b>U</b> 1	55 index futures control	Percentage	of correct	directiona
(2009)	cash index; TAIEX closing cash index			is predictions of;	Nikkei 225	TAIEX
	•	or Input Variables (TAIEX) 8:	index	Random walk		46.15
	both markets.	Basic Price Data (2): Two TAIEX ind	lev future contracts trad		50.43 83.67	46.15 55.98
	ooui malkets.	on SGX-DT and TAIEX		ICA-SVR mo		60.15
		Technical variables (6): The previou low, volume, 6-days relative strengt amount weight stock price index, a index	h indicator, 10-days tot	al		

Wang et	SZII; DJIAI	NA	Percentage	of	correct	directional
al.	48 monthly trading		predictions of;			
(2012)	for SZII		SZII D			AI
	60 monthly trading		ESM	60.2	72	46.51
	for DJIAI		ARIMA	75.3	33	58.17
			BPNN	77.8	85	56.98
			EWH	80.	15	61.54
			PHM	83.9	91	70.16
			RWM	74.2	28	60.34
Kao et	Nikkei 225; SSEC	Input Variables (Nikkei 225 closing cash index) 4:	Percentage	of	correct	directional
al.	200 trading days for	Basic Price Data (4): Three previous day's futures closing prices	predictions of	f;		
(2013)	both markets	of Nikkei 255 traded on SGX-DT, OSE and CME, and the	N	likkei 2	25	SSEC
		previous day's cash market closing index	NLICA-SVR	1	83.7	71.5
		Input Variables (SSEC index closing price) 4:	LICA-SVR		68.2	67.8
		Basic Price Data (2): The previous day's cash market closing	PCA-SVR		64.4	60.3
		prices, and the current day's opening cash index	Single SVR		68.2	65.9
		Technical variables (2): The previous day's cash market high and low				
Kim	KOSPI	Input Variables 12:	Percentage	of	correct	directional
(2003)	581 trading days	Technical variables (12): %K., %D, Slow %D, Momentum, Price rate-of-change, Williams' %R, A/D Oscillator, Distance of	SVM: 57.83	ſ;		
		current price and the moving average of 5 days, Distance of current price and the moving average of 10 days, Price oscillator (OSCP), Commodity channel index, Relative strength index				
Mingyue	Nikkei 225 index	Input Variables (Type I inputs) 13:	Average Per	centage	e of corre	ect directional
et al. (2016)	30 trading days	Technical variables (13): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D, Stochastic slow %D, Momentum, ROC, LW%R, A/O Oscillator, Disparity in 5 days, Disparity in 10 days, OSCP, CCI, RSI Input Variables (Type II inputs) 8: Technical variables (8): On Balance Volume (OBV), Bias Ratio (BIAS <sub>6</sub> ), Ratio of the number of rising periods over the 12 day period (PSY <sub>12</sub> ), Average return in the last n days (ASY <sub>5</sub> , ASY <sub>4</sub> , ASY <sub>3</sub> , ASY <sub>2</sub> , ASY <sub>1</sub> )		of GA-A	ANN with	;
			,	Гуре II	inputs	Type I inputs
			GA-ANN	69.6	566	68.356
Kim and	I KOSPI	Input Variables 12:	Average P	ercenta	ge of con	ect directional
Han,	~586 trading day	s Technical variables (12): Stochastic %K, Stochastic %D,	-		of GA-Al	
(2000)		Stochastic slow %D, Momentum, ROC (rate of change),	BPLT		51.81	
. ,		LW %R, A/D Oscillator, Disparity 5 days, Disparity 10 days,			57.86	5
		OSCP, CCI, RSI	GAFD		65.7	9

Only 4 of the 25 papers listed in the above table have been identified as favoring the return rate of the underlying model as the performance measure, while the remaining 21 have been identified as papers which measure the performance of the proposed model as the percentage of correct directional predictions. Another reason for using the selection criteria mentioned before, is the fact that surveyed papers in this study have been using the same performance measures. Thus this gives a naturally appropriate bed for comparing them with each other.

#### 4. Conclusion

ANN is known to be employed in a wide range of application areas among which different business disciplines come first. Financial prediction is one such field in which ANN is used alone or in combination with different machine learning techniques. In this survey, selected papers which exploit ANN for making financial time series prediction have been reviewed based on certain criteria. These criteria are basically the usage of statistics concerning return rate of the investment made in a financial market or percentage of correct directional predictions of the underlying ANN based prediction model. To sum up, reviewed papers mostly suggest that ANN combined with another statistical or machine learning technique yield better results. Moreover, a preliminary analysis using multivariate statistical techniques on data sets that would be fed to ANN promise a more profitable set of hybrid models. Thus, promoting hybrid models wouldn't be unwise in case of financial time series predictions.

#### References

- Adya, M., & Collopy, F. (1998). How effective are Neural Networks at Forecasting and Prediction? A Review and Evaluation. *Journal of Forecasting*, *17*, 481-495. http://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-131X(1998090)17:5/6<481::AID-FOR709>3.0.CO;2-Q
- An-S., C., Leung, M. T., & Daouk, H. (2003). Application of neural networks to an emerging Financial market: forecasting and trading the Taiwan Stock Index. *Computers & Operations Research*, 30, 901-923. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0548(02)00037-0
- Asadi, S., Hadavandi, E., Mehmanpazir, F., & Nakhostin, M. M. (2012). Hybridization of evolutionary Levenberg–Marquardt neural networks and data pre-processing for stock market prediction. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 35, 245-258. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2012.05.003
- Atsalakis, G. S., & Valavanis, K. P. (2009). Surveying stock market forecasting techniques Part II: Soft computing methods. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 5932-5941. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2008.07.006
- Bahrammirzaee, A. (2010). A comparative survey of artificial intelligence applications in finance: Artificial neural networks, expert system and hybrid intelligent systems. *Neural Computing and Applications, 19*, 1165-1195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-010-0362-z
- Brock, W., Lakonishok, J., & Lebaron, B. (1992). Simple Technical Trading Rules and the Stochastic Properties of Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 47, 1731-1764. http://doi.org/10.2307/2328994
- Chao, H., Li-li, H., & Ting-ting, H. (2012). Financial Time Series Forecasting based on Wavelet Kernel Support Vector Machine. *International Conference on Natural Computation*, 8, 79-83. http://doi.org/10.1109/ICNC.2012.6234569
- Chi-Jie, L., & Jui-Yu, W. (2011). An efficient CMAC neural network for stock index forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38, 15194-15201. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.05.082
- Chi-Jie, L., Tian-Shyug, L., & Chih-Chou, C. (2009). Financial time series forecasting using independent component analysis and support vector regression. *Decision Support Systems*, 47, 115-125. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2009.02.001
- Dai, W., Jui-Yu, W., & Chi-Jie, L. (2012). Combining nonlinear independent component analysis and neural network for the prediction of Asian stock market indexes. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39, 4444-4452. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.09.145
- De Faria, E. L., Albuquerque, M. P., Gonzalez, J. L., Cavalcante, J. T. P., & Albuquerque, M. P. (2009). Predicting the Brazilian stock market through neural networks and adaptive exponential smoothing methods. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *36*, 12506-12509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.04.032
- De Oliveira, F. A., Nobre, C. N., & Zárate, L. E., (2013). Applying Artificial Neural Networks to prediction of stock price and improvement of the directional prediction index – Case study of PETR4, Petrobras, Brazil. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40, 7596-7606. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.06.071
- Fernandez-Rodriguez, F., Gonzalez-Martel, C., & Sosvilla-Rivero, S. (2000). On the profitability of technical trading rules based on artificial neural networks: Evidence from the Madrid stock market. *Economics Letters*, 69, 89-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-1765(00)00270-6
- Huang, C., Li-li, H., & Ting-ting, H. (2012). Financial Time Series Forecasting based on Wavelet Kernel Support Vector Machine. 8th International Conference on Natural Computation. http://doi.org/10.1109/ICNC.2012.6234569
- Huang, W., Nakamori, Y., & Shou-Yang, W. (2005). Forecasting stock market movement direction with support vector machine. *Computers & Operations Research*, 32, 2513-2522. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2004.03.016
- Jasic, T., & Wood, D. (2004). The profitability of daily stock market indices trades based on neural network predictions: case study for the S&P 500, the DAX, the TOPIX and the FTSE in the period 1965-1999. *Applied Financial Economics*, *14*, 285-297. https://doi.org/10.1080/0960310042000201228
- Ju-Jie, W., Jian-Zhou, W., Zhe-George, Z., & Shu-Po, G. (2012). Stock index forecasting based on a hybrid model. *Omega*, 40, 758-766. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2011.07.008
- Kara, Y., Boyacioglu, M. A., & Baykan, Ö. K. (2011). Predicting direction of stock price index movement using

artificial neural networks and support vector machines: The sample of the Istanbul Stock Exchange. *Expert Systems with Applications, 38*, 5311-5319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.10.027

- Kumar, M., & Thenmozhi, M. (2006). Forecasting Stock Index Movement: A Comparison of Support Vector Machines and Random Forest. *Indian Institute of Capital Markets 9th Capital Markets Conference Paper*. http://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.876544
- Kyoung-Jae, J. (2003). Financial time series forecasting using support vector machines. *Neurocomputing*, 55, 307-319. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(03)00372-2
- Kyoung-Jae, J., & Ingoo, H. (2000). Genetic algorithms approach to feature discretization in artificial neural networks for the prediction of stock price index. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 19, 125-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4174(00)00027-0
- Leung, M. T., Daouk, H., & An-Sing, C. (2000). Forecasting Stock Indices: A Comparison of Classification and Level Estimation Models. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 16, 173-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(99)00048-5
- Ling-Jing, K., Chih-Chou, C., Chi-Jie, L., & Jung-Li, Y. (2013). Integration of nonlinear independent component analysis and support vector regression for stock price forecasting. *Neurocomputing*, 99, 534-542. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2012.06.037
- Mingyue, Q., Cheng, L., & Yu, S. (2016). Application of the Artifical Neural Network in predicting the direction of stock market index. 10th International Conference on Complex, Intelligent, and Software Intensive Systems. http://doi.org/10.1109/CISIS.2016.115
- Niaki, S. T. A., & Hoseinzade, S. (2013). Forecasting S&P 500 index using artificial neural networks and design of experiments. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 9, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1186/2251-712X-9-1
- O'Connor, N., & Madden, M. G. (2006). A neural network approach to predicting stock exchange movements using external factors. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, *19*, 371-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2005.11.015
- Paliwal, M., & Kumar, U. A. (2009). Neural networks and statistical techniques: A review of applications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 2-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2007.10.005
- Rather, A. M., Sastry, V. N., & Agarwal, A. (2017). Stock market prediction and Portfolio selection models: a survey. *OPSEARCH*, 54, 558-579. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12597-016-0289-y
- Sang-Hong, L., & Joon, S. L. (2011). Forecasting KOSPI based on a neural network with weighted fuzzy membership functions. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38, 4259-4263. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.09.093
- Yao, J., & Tan, C. L. (2000). A case study on using neural networks to perform technical forecasting of forex. *Neurocomputing*, 34, 78-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-2312(00)00300-3
- Yao, J., Tan, C. L., & Poh, Hean-L. (1999). Neural Networks for Technical Analysis: A Study on KLCI. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, 2, 221-242. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219024999000145
- Yu, L., Wang, S., & Lai K. L. (2009). A neural-network-based nonlinear metamodeling approach to financial time series forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing*, 9, 563-574. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2008.08.001
- Zhang, G., Patuwo, B. E., & Hu, M. Y. (1998). Forecasting with artificial neural networks: The state of the art. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 14, 35-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(97)00044-7
- Zhong, X., & Enke, D. (2017). Forecasting daily stock market return using dimensionality reduction. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 67, 126-139. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2016.09.027

## Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).