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Abstract
This paper presents an empirical examination on the equilibrium relationships between macroeconomic variables (gross domestic product (GDP), foreign direct investment (FDI), and money supply (M2)) and Murabaha to the Purchase-Order (MPO), by using annual time series data for the 1978–2012 period. The Ng-Perron (2001) was applied to test the integration levels, while the bounds F-statistic test was used to examine the co-integration relationship among the variables. The autoregressive distributed lags (ARDL) approach was employed to examine long-run and short-run relationships between the variables. The results indicate that all variables are stationary at first difference and all variables are co-integrated. Further, the results of the ARDL indicate that there is a positive relationship between macroeconomic variables and MPO in long-run and short-run.
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1. Introduction
An expansive body of literature has attempted to explore the relationships between stock markets and the macroeconomic variables for both developed and developing countries (AL-Sharkas, 2004; Bekhet & Mugableh, 2012; Ratanapakorn & Sharma, 2007; Hasing & Hsieh, 2012). Most empirical evidence has demonstrated that there is a strong and positive nexus between economic growth and indicators of financial development (King & Levine, 1993a, 1993b; Levine, 1997; Rajan & Zingales, 1998).

Furthermore, there have been numerous studies that attempted to investigate the linkage between banking system and the macroeconomic variables (Deidda & Fattouh, 2002), but there are only a handful of researches that focus on the impact of macroeconomic variables on the Islamic banks as a single entity. Therefore, to analyse the effect of some macroeconomic variables on the Islamic bank, this study tries to explore the relationship between Murabaha to the Purchase Order (MPO) as proxy of Jordan Islamic Bank (JIB), which is, represents 53% of the JIB operations (Abdul-Khaliq, 2014) and macroeconomic variables represented by Gross Domestic Product (GDP), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and Money Supply (M2) for the period 1978 to 2012 by employing one of the contemporaneous time series analysis techniques which is the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) approach developed by Pesaran et al. (2001).

The main goal of this study is to ascertain if the macroeconomic variables have effect on the Islamic banking as in the stock market or not. Nonetheless, the literature showed that the stock markets have been affected by the macroeconomic variables, for instance, GDP has positive relationship with the stock market (Hasing & Hsieh, 2012) similar to FDI that also has positive linkage with the stock market (Ali, 2014), while there is a positive relationship between M2 and stock market in the short-run but negative in the long-run (Hump & Macmillan, 2005).

The rest of this study is organized as follows: The second section introduces the overview of the Jordanian economy and the Islamic bank. The third section presents the literature review in developed and developing countries. The fourth section reveals the methodology, models and the data used. The fifth section discusses the empirical results. The final section provides the conclusion and recommendations.
2. Overview of the Jordanian Economy and Islamic Bank

Jordan is a small open country with limited natural resources. The country’s economy is bogged down by deficiency of water, oil, gas, and other natural resources. Further, Jordan faces other issues such as high budget deficit, high outstanding public debt, high rates of poverty and unemployment (Central Bank of Jordan, 2013). Owing to these challenges, Jordanian economy relies heavily on external aids, labor remittances that are mostly from the Gulf countries and external debt, and with regard to the natural resources, Jordan imports oil from Iraq and gas from Egypt and recently from Qatar.

During the 1970s, the Jordanian economy recorded high growth rate and huge capital inflows as a result of the rise in the global oil prices. This has increased the demand on the Jordanian labors and indeed augmented the remittances to Jordan. However, in 1980s, Jordanian economy witnessed a recession period which was caused by a number of reasons such as the decrease in oil prices and the plummet of Jordanian dinar price from U.S. $ (2.95) for each one Jordanian dinar in 1982 to U.S. $ (1.73) for the same unit. The period of 1990s it was tough on Jordan's economy following the crisis in Kuwait which has caused the expulsion of Jordanian workers from Kuwait, reduction of remittances and decrease in international aid. However, Jordan’s economic situation started to recover after 1999 after following and implementing the new economic policies such as the privatization of companies, signing many international agreements and global open trade. Nonetheless, in 2008 Jordan’s economy was badly affected by the global financial crisis. More recently, in the end of 2010 Jordan had to face with difficult challenges caused by the political situation in the neighboring countries especially in Syria and Iraq. This had placed Jordan’s economy under pressure as many refugees fled from those countries while the countries (Syria and Iraq) had stopped supplying oil and gas.

In short, since 1976 until the present time Jordan has been trying to reform the economy by implementing different polices such as privatizing, encouraging the local and foreign investments and opening the trade regime. Since that year (1976), numerous financial crises and political events have happened and affected Jordan’s economy and financial system such as the Iraq war in 1991, the bombing of Amman in 2005, the Global Financial Crisis and more recently the 2011 Arab Spring that sprang up in the neighboring countries (Iraq, Egypt and Syria).

The Islamic banking in Jordan has existed for more than two decades, that is, since the establishment of the first Islamic bank in the country under name of Jordan Islamic Bank in 1978. From then on, the Bank has started to play an important role in the Jordanian financial market by contributing to different economies and social sectors with compliance with the Sharia principles and Islamic rules (Saleh & Zeitun, 2006). Currently, JIB offers many Islamic instruments to benefit the customers and one of those principles is Murabaha to the Purchase Order.

![Figure 1. Murabaha to the purchase-order in Jordan for the 1978–2012 period](source)


Figure 1 shows that MPO had reached a yearly growth rate of 2.9% for the 1978–2012 period and the increasing rate was notable before the global financial crisis (GFC) and during the period of Arab Spring. However, although the Islamic banks were affected by the global financial crisis and the political events, the effect differs from that of the conventional banks and stock markets that may be related to its nature assets of the Islamic banks (Kaleem, 2000). GDP is the sum of the monetary values of all final goods and services produced in the domestic economy and sold in organized market during a specified period of time, usually in a period of a year. Figure 2 reveals that the GDP has achieved an annual growth rate of 8.6% for the 1978–2012 period. This was due to the increased number of investors during the Iraq War in 2004 and the Arab Spring in 2011, which led to the transfer of investments to Jordan as one of the most stable countries in the Middle East.
Figure 2. Gross domestic product in Jordan for the 1978–2012 period

Figure 3 represents the FDI inflows to Jordan and the figure clearly shows that there is volatility in Jordanian FDI which means that the Jordanian economy has been impacted by the global wars and financial crises that had taken place in different phases of conflicts such as the financial crisis in 1989, the Gulf War in 1991, the Asian financial crisis (AFC) in 1997, the tragedy of September 11 in 1999, the Iraqi War in 2004, the GFC in 2008 and the Arab Spring in 2010.

Figure 3. Foreign direct investments in Jordan for the 1978–2012 period

M2 includes all physical money, such as coins and currency, as well as demand deposits, checking accounts and Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (NOW) accounts plus savings deposits, money market mutual funds and other time deposits, which are less liquid and not as suitable as exchange mediums but can be quickly converted into cash or checking deposits.

Figure 4 shows that M2 had reached a yearly growth rate of 7.4% for the 1978–2012 period. As shown in Figure 4, M2 started with a low value of JD 3.71 million in 1978 and reached the highest peak in 2012 with the value amounting to 7.15 billion. This was caused by the rising amounts of external grants from the developed countries and Gulf countries to support the Syrian refugees. Furthermore, some of these refugees had withdrawn their investments from their country and reinvested it in Jordan as they had seen it as a politically stable country in the Middle East.
3. Literature Review

The link between banking and economic set has been examined since 1911 when Schumpeter tried to find the importance of the banking system on the level and growth rate of national income. Nonetheless, more discussions are needed with regard to the issue from different perspectives. Financial instruments such as credit provided by banking sector and the liabilities of the system in the economy are correlated with GDP, savings, and openness trade (Leitão, 2012). Similarly, Josephine (2009) and Plamen and Khamis (2009) argued that bank credit could help in the provision of funds to generate productive investment.

In general, many studies have been conducted in the past on the relationship between bank credit and economic growth. For instance, a study by Ibrahim (2006) showed that there was a positive relationship between GDP and bank activity because an increase in GDP will raise both supply and demand for loans. As GDP increases, banks will have more funds to make loans due to the increase in the amount of deposits. Moreover, Agrawal (2001) found that both high rate of growth of income per capita and the rapidly declining age dependency ratio have contributed to the high rate of savings in the seven Asian countries namely, South Korea, Taiwan, Singapore, Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia and India (Haron & Wan Azmi, 2006). Further, Deidda and Fattouh (2002) concluded that there is a positive correlation between bank-based financial development and growth of the economy in countries with higher income, and as indicated by Lakstutiene (2008), when the income of the country grows, market-based financial structures will increase. Gavin and Hausmann (1998) and the report from IMF (1993) highlight that adverse shocks in trading activity have a significant impact on the banking systems of Argentina, Chile, Colombia and Uruguay. Albeit that, studies that investigate the relationship between Islamic banking and macroeconomic variables are still very rare (Furqani & Mulyany, 2009; Manap et al., 2012).

4. Data and Methodology

In this paper the annual time series data are used, which are from the 1978–2012 period. The MPO is a present of Jordan Islamic Bank (dependent variable) and three variables representing GDP, FDI and M2 (independent variables). The data gathered are from the World Bank, Jordan Central Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Annual Statistical Bulletins of Jordan Islamic Bank. All the time series data are transformed into logarithms. The current study analyses the equilibrium relationships among MPO and GDP, FDI and M2 in three steps. In the first step, the integration levels (stationary test) of variables are detected and Ng and Perron (2001) is the most popularly used for this purpose. In the second step, the Co-integration test is performed using the Bounds F-Statistics test, and in the final step, the ARDL approach is used to estimate the equilibrium relationships among variables in models. The paper has chosen the ARDL approach because it generates consistent estimates of the long-run coefficients that are asymptotically normal regardless of whether the underlying regressors are I(0), I(1) or factional integrated. In addition to that, Pesaran and Pesaran (1997) also discovered that the ARDL could be a reliable estimation and hypothesis testing tool for the long-run coefficients for a small sample in both cases where the underlying regressors are I(1) or I(0). The ARDL approach can be framed as in Equation. (1), (2), (3) and (4):

\[
\Delta \text{LMPO}_t = \beta_1 + \delta_{11} \text{LMPO}_{t-1} + \delta_{12} \text{LGDP}_{t-1} + \delta_{13} \text{LFDI}_{t-1} + \delta_{14} \text{LM2}_{t-1} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{11} \Delta \text{LMPO}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{12} \Delta \text{LGDP}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{13} \Delta \text{LFDI}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{14} \Delta \text{LM2}_{t-h} - \tau_{1} \text{ecm}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t}
\]

\[
\Delta \text{LGDP}_t = \beta_2 + \delta_{21} \text{LMPO}_{t-1} + \delta_{22} \text{LGDP}_{t-1} + \delta_{23} \text{LFDI}_{t-1} + \delta_{24} \text{LM2}_{t-1} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{21} \Delta \text{LGDP}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{22} \Delta \text{LMPO}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{23} \Delta \text{LFDI}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{24} \Delta \text{LM2}_{t-h} - \tau_{2} \text{ecm}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{2t}
\]

\[
\Delta \text{LFDI}_t = \beta_3 + \delta_{31} \text{LMPO}_{t-1} + \delta_{32} \text{LGDP}_{t-1} + \delta_{33} \text{LFDI}_{t-1} + \delta_{34} \text{LM2}_{t-1} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{31} \Delta \text{LFDI}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{32} \Delta \text{LMPO}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{33} \Delta \text{LGDP}_{t-h} - \tau_{3} \text{ecm}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{3t}
\]

\[
\Delta \text{LM2}_{t-h} + \sum_{h=1}^{b} v_{34} \Delta \text{LM2}_{t-h} - \tau_{3} \text{ecm}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{3t}
\]
\[ \Delta LM_2_t = \beta_4 + \delta_4 LM_2_{t-1} + \delta_4 LGDP_{t-1} + \delta_4 LFDI_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{h} \delta_{4i} \Delta LM_2_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{h} \beta_{4i} \Delta LPOM_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{h} \delta_{4i} \Delta LGDP_{t-i} - \tau ecm_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \]

Here \( \beta_i \) (i= 1...4) denotes the intercept terms, \( \delta_i \) (i= 1...4) represents the short-run coefficient, \( \tau_i \) (i = 1...4) denotes the coefficient of error correction terms, i.e., (ecm_{t-1}), \( \epsilon_t \) (i= 1...4) stand for the error terms. \( h \) Indicates the lag length selected using \( t \) which represents the lag order. \( \delta_i \) (i= 1...4) denotes the long-run coefficient.

5. Results Analysis

5.1 Stationarity Test

Table 1 presents the results of unit root test which Ng-Perron, which indicates that all series are found to be non-stationary at levels and stationary at their first differences. This means all variables are integrated for the same order, i.e. \( I(1) \). Based on these results the bounds F-statistics would be applied to test the co-integration in the next step. These results are in line with the results obtained by Mugableh (2013) who suggested the use of bounds F-statistics test if the variables are stationary at \( I(1) \).

Table 1. Stationary tests results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integration</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ng- Perron test statistics</th>
<th>Ng- Perron asymptotic critical values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta LMPO )</td>
<td>5.63***</td>
<td>4.03 5.48 6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I(1) )</td>
<td>( \Delta LGDP )</td>
<td>18.18***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta LFDI )</td>
<td>5.56**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta LM2 )</td>
<td>233.52***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. (1) ***, **, * denote statistical significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels, respectively. (2) \( \Delta \) = first difference. (3) The Ng- Perron was conducted using the E-views econometric software package version 7.1.

5.2 Co-Integration Test

Table 2 indicates that all variables are co-integrated which means that the \( H_0 \) of no co-integration among variables in the LMPO, LGDP, LFDI, and LM2 model is rejected. On the other hand, the ordinary least squares (OLS) bound F-statistics values in the LGDP and LFDI models are 15.52 and 7.27 respectively, which are higher than \([I(1) = 5.53]\) at the 1% significance level. Meanwhile, with regard to the LM2 model the value was 4.33, which is higher than \([I(1) = 4.08]\) at the 5% significance level. For LMPO, the value was 3.55, which is higher than \([I(1) = 3.46]\) at the 10% significance level.

Table 2. Co-integration test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bound F-statistics critical values with Intercept</th>
<th>( I(0) )</th>
<th>( I(1) )</th>
<th>( I(0) )</th>
<th>( I(1) )</th>
<th>( I(0) )</th>
<th>( I(1) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1% significance level</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% significance level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% significance level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OLS Bound F-statistics values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Calculated F-statistics</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMPO</td>
<td>3.55*</td>
<td>Co-integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDP</td>
<td>15.52***</td>
<td>Co-integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFDI</td>
<td>7.27****</td>
<td>Co-integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2</td>
<td>4.33**</td>
<td>Co-integrated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. (1) The bound F-statistic critical values were obtained from Pesaran and Pesaran (2009, p. 544) statistical tables. (2) ***, **, * represent significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. (3) The output of OLS Bound F-statistics values were extracted from the Micro-fit econometric software package version 4.1.
5.3 Equilibrium Relationships Analysis

Table 3 shows that LGDPt, LFDIt, and LM2t are positively associated with the LMPOt model in long-run and short-run at the 1% significance level. That is, if there is 1% increase in LGDPt, LFDIt, and LM2t, the LMPOt model increases by 0, 3661%, and 0 in long-run, respectively. While, in short-run are 0, 121% and 0, respectively, and vice versa.

Table 3. Equilibrium relationships analysis for the LMPOt model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>T-ratios</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Significance Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept term</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPt-1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFDIt-1</td>
<td>36.61</td>
<td>51.48</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2t-1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Equilibrium relationships analysis for the LGDPt model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>T-ratios</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Significance Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept term</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMPOt-1</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFDIt-1</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2t-1</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The selection of ARDL (1, 0, 1, 0) approach is based on Schwarz Bayesian Criteria (SBC).

Table 4. Equilibrium relationships analysis for the LGDPt model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>T-ratios</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Significance Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept term</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLMPOt</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLFDIt</td>
<td>-3.39</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLM2t</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecmt-1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33 observations for estimating the short-run relationships and ecmt-1 in the ΔLMPOt Model.

Diagnosis test: $\chi^2$ Autocorrelation (1) = [0.10]; $\chi^2$ Heteroscedasticity (1) = [0.85]; $\chi^2$ Normality (2) = [0.80]; $R^2$ = 0.53; $R^2$ = 0.44; F-statistic = 7.76; $p$-value = 0.00.

Note. (1) Figure in parentheses represents the p-values of F-statistics. (2) Figure in brackets denotes the p-values of the chi-square ($\chi^2$). (3) AIC presents Akaike information criterion that calculates the lag length and order. (4) The output was sourced from the Micro-fit econometric software package version 4.1.

Table 4 presents that LFDIt and LM2t are positively associated with the LGDPt model at the 1% significance level in long-run and short-run. That is, if there is 1% increase in LFDIt, the LGDPt model increases by 152% in long-run and 218% in short-run. In addition, if there is 1% increase in LM2t, the LGDPt model increases by 89% in long-run and 17% in short-run, and vice versa. Moreover, there is positive relationship between LMPOt and
LGDP_t model at the 5% significance level in long-run and negative relationship in short-run at the 1% significance level. That is, if there is 1% increase in LMPO_t, the LGDP_t model increases by 554% in long-run. While, if there is decrease 1% in LMPO_t in short-run, the LGDP_t model increases by 339%, and vice versa.

Table 5 depicts that LMPO_t is positively associated with LFDI_t model at the 5% significance level in the long-run and short-run. That is, if there is 1% increase in LMPO_t, the LFDI_t model increases by 72% in long-run and 25% in short-run, and vice versa. Thus, the increase of LMPO_t raises the LFDI_t model in long and short run.

Table 5. Equilibrium relationships analysis for the LFDI_t model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>T-ratios</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Significance Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interception term</td>
<td>-9.22</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMPO_t-1</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDP_t-1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM2t-1</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Equilibrium relationships analysis for the LM2_t model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard errors</th>
<th>T-ratios</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Significance Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interception term</td>
<td>-2.13</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLMPO_t</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLGDP_t</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔLM2t</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecm_t-1</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diagnostic test: $\chi^2$ Autocorrelation (1) = [0.54]; $\chi^2$ Heteroscedasticity (1) = [0.60]; $\chi^2$ Normality (2) = [0.23]; $R^2$ = 0.40; $\bar{R}^2$ = 0.32; F-statistic = 4.81; p-value= 0.04.

Table 5 also shows that LGDP_t is positive related to the LFDI_t model in long run at the 1% significance level. While, is negatively related in short-run at the 1% significance level. That is, if there is 1% increase in LGDP_t.
the LFDI model increases by 12% in long run. While, if there is decrease 1% in LGDP, in short-run, the LFDI model increases by 58%, and vice versa. Furthermore, there is negative associated in long between LM2 and LFDI model at insignificance level but there is positive associated in short-run at insignificance level.

Table 6 reviews that LMPO is negatively associated with LM2 model at insignificance level in the long-run and short-run. That is, if there is 1% decrease in LMPO, the LM2 model increases by 215% in long-run and 101% in short run, and vice versa. It is also shows that LGDP, is positively associated to the LM2 model at 1% significance level in long-run and short-run. That is, if there is 1% increase in LGDP, the LM2 model increases by 101% in long-run and 83% in short-run, and vice versa. Furthermore, it is shows that LFDI is positively related to the LM2 model at the 5% significance level in the long-run and short-run. That is, if there is 1% increase in LFDI, the LM2 model increases by 806% in long-run and 381% in short-run, and vice versa.

6. Conclusion and Further Study

The current study examines the long-run and the short-run relationships between the macroeconomic variables namely GDP, FDI and M2 and MPO. The ARDL approach was used to test the co-integration and estimate the equilibrium relationships for the annual time series data from the 1978-2012 period. The results indicate that all variables are stationary at first difference and there are co-integrations among the variables. Further, the results show that there is a positive relationship between macroeconomic variables and MPO in the long-run and the short-run. These results confirmed that Jordan Islamic bank is affected by macroeconomic variables.

The paper adds to the existing literature in the field of the impact of macroeconomic variables and Islamic bank by investigating the long-run and the short-run equilibrium relationship. Also, further study could be employed to analyse empirically the relationships macroeconomic variables and conventional banks in Jordan.
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