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#### Abstract

Understanding bilingualism in science and mathematics education and developing a principled instruction is a pressing issue in Malaysian system of education. With the implementation of government policy of teaching science and mathematics in English starting from year 2003, an increasing number of students are affected with this policy. An initial study has been undertaken to examine the view of instructors from two public universities in Malaysia. A total of 175 respondents comprise of professors, associate professors and lecturers from eleven faculties participated in the research. In the context of Malaysia, even though the dilemma was due to governmental initiatives, it is important to raise the consciousness of the bilingual educator and to liberate their view of bilingual education beyond a simple governmental definition or a single societal perspective.
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## 1. Introduction

Globalization is impacting on education system in many countries throughout the world. One aspect of this process is the language usage in the teaching of science and mathematics. This is particularly true in the context of Malaysia. The phenomenon where knowledge, value, principle and curricular developed in a local context gaining a global adherence is perceived as being an inevitable outcome. (Clarkson, P.C. 2004)
At the beginning of the 1970's, the main medium of instruction of Malaysian school system was changed from English to Malay, the national language. This was done in some way for political reason and motivated by the call of patriotism and moving away from colonial influence. However from 2003 onwards, the government has launched a reform in education system where all first year primary, secondary and Malaysian matriculation college has to use English in teaching science and mathematics as well as related subjects. The level that started to be affected with this policy were year 1 in primary school, form 1 in lower secondary schools and form 6 for higher secondary and matriculation level. The level that were affected increased gradually and culminated to all level affected by year 2008 including the tertiary level. Public university in Malaysia has mandated that English is to be used as a medium of instruction for all science and mathematics related courses starting from semester 1 for 2005/6 session and all first year courses beginning 2006/7 session. The implementation of this policy brings obvious ramification in the teaching of mathematics in higher education.

## 2. English as global issues in science and mathematics education

Students are required to take English exam as a compulsory requirement for entrance to public university. MUET (Malaysian undergraduate English Test) result for new entry student for the 2007/8 intake at one public university which can be considered as a typical sample for other public university indicated that most students scored below the satisfactory level in English competency. From the population of 2916 new students' intake at a public university, about $72.7 \%$ has a score of band 1, 2 and 3. (Figure 1)
After four years of implementation of a the policy, it is obvious that the incoming students that were admitted to university and other higher institution still have low proficiency in English. This scenario sends alarming signal and brings the Malaysian education system in great turmoil. The greatest dilemma of Malaysian education system has been its inability to understand the ethno linguistic complexity of bilingual education and its impact on student, classroom and society in such a way as to enable teacher and instructor to make informed decision about practice in classroom setting. There is a need in Malaysian education system for information about sociolinguistic and psycholinguistic issues that surround bilingualism in science and mathematics education. It is important to gather theoretical and practical
information from a variety of societal context in order to empower educators to see possibilities beyond their own constraints and to be able to perform their role appropriately. In the context of Malaysia, even though the dilemma was due to governmental initiatives, it is important to raise the consciousness of the bilingual educators and to liberate their view of bilingual education beyond a simple governmental definition or a single societal perspective (Baker, 1996)


Figure 1. Muet score for 2007/8 student's intake
Courtesy of Centre for Teaching \& Learning, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (2007)
A common assumption is that mathematics is a universal language and as such mathematical symbols cut across nations, ethnicity and cultures with different languages. However a study by Aziz et al (2000) showed that there is a strong correlation between test score in science and mathematics with English test score. The result indicated that student with high proficiency in English were more likely to achieve high scores in their science and mathematics test. In other word, the findings revealed that students with low proficiency in English are somewhat deprive of achieving high score in science and mathematics. This raise the issues of equity and language gap which is tantamount to creating performance gap in education.

## 3. Research methodology

The data for the research were collected from two public universities in Malaysia. A total of 175 respondents comprise of professors, associate professors and lecturers from eleven faculties had participated in the research. Questionnaires were used as the instrument for data collection and later analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for social science) software. The statistics used in the research were frequencies and percentages.

## 4. Findings

The study indicated that some of the reasons behind the use of English in classroom setting for most of the respondent in order of importance were as below:
a. Contributes to the internationalization of the university
b. Is necessary for competition in the job market
c. Is meaningful for Malaysian students, since it provides training in an internationalized context
d. Makes it possible for students from many cultures to learn together

There is an innate wish among the respondents to contribute to the internationalization of their university by conducting their courses in English (Table 1). By doing so, the respondents feel that they can provide platform in enhancing the proficiency of English among their student. The reason for using English can be seen as utilitarian in nature because they hope by acquiring and mastering English among their students can improve their prospect in the job market. The government is exerting effort to make Malaysia as the center of learning in the world map. In line with this aspiration, public universities has received enrollment from international students from various countries especially from the Middle East and Africa. The respondents feel that it is important to conduct their course in English in order to facilitate the students from different countries and cultures to learn together with the local students.

Table 1. Positive views

| Items on positive views | M | Disag | Ag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Contributes to the internationalization of the university | 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & (6.17) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 152 \\ & (93.83) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Makes it possible for students from many cultures to learn together | 2.73 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \\ & (11.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 140 \\ & (88.61) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Is meaningful for Malaysian students, since it provides training in an internationalized context | 2.73 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & (7.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 137 \\ & (92.57) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8. Is unavoidable given the prevalence of the English language | 2.21 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & (30.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & (69.52) \end{aligned}$ |
| 10. Is necessary to compete with the world | 2.69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & (10.60) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 135 \\ & (89.40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. Is necessary for competition in the job market | 2.80 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & (6.79) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151 \\ & (93.21) \end{aligned}$ |

Despite the low English proficiency among Malaysian university students, their instructors strongly feel that Malaysian students are able to compete internationally. However, there are negative arguments as well, such as, Malaysian students are more passive than other international students namely Africans, Bosnian or other Asian students (Table 2 and Table 3). Given their low English proficiency, $81.25 \%$ of the respondent viewed that Malaysian students have insufficient training in stating their opinion in English. Naturally, the student who has a good command of English tend to dominate the class discussion. However, this seem to be an elite group because less than 10 percent of Malaysian population has good command of English and are comfortable in using it as a form of communication (Johari et al., 2006).

Table 2. Positive views for courses taught in English

|  | M | Disag | Ag |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. It is livelier than a regular course | 1.84 | 30 |  |
| $(44.12)$ | 38 <br> $(55.88)$ |  |  |
| 5. It is exciting since there are many different opinions | 2.07 | 13 | 71 |
| $(15.48)$ | $(84.52)$ |  |  |
| 12. Malaysian students can compete internationally | 2.50 | 6 | 125 |
| $(458)$ |  |  |  |

Table 3. Negative views for courses taught in English

|  | M | Disag | Ag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Malaysian students are more passive than other Asian Students | 1.87 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 37 \\ (41.57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 52 \\ & (58.43) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Malaysian students are more passive than other non-Asian foreign students (Africans, Bosnians, etc) | 1.84 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & (38.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 56 \\ & (61.54) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. It is difficult since the needs of the students are diverse | 1.64 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 34 \\ (36.96) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 58 \\ & (63.04) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. It is exciting since there are many different opinions | 2.07 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 13 \\ (15.48) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 71 \\ & (84.52) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. It is difficult to find teaching material since there are few English publications that share your viewpoint | 1.16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 122 \\ (91.73) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & (8.27) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. The students whose first language is English tend to | 2.16 | 26 | 89 |


| dominate the discussions |  | $(22.61)$ | $(77.39)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10. Malaysian students have insufficient training in stating their <br> opinion in English | 2.24 | 24 | 104 |
| 11. Malaysian students have insufficient training in explaining about | 1.87 | 39 | 58 |
| $\quad$ their country to non-Malaysians |  | $(40.21)$ | $(59.79)$ |

The study also give evidence that university instructor prefers to write papers in English (Table 4). The main reason is because they perceived that the paper written in English will be read more widely in the world (Table 5). Writing in English for bilingual instructor is of course not without its hurdle. Admittedly, they have difficulties in writing articles in correct grammatical sentences and it became a common challenge for most English learner.

Table 4. Writing and publishing papers

| ITEM 15 | Freq | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. I write almost all of my papers in English but I also try to publish in Malay | 117 | 66.86 |
| 2. I write mostly in Malay, but I also try to publish in English | 32 | 18.29 |
| 3. It is not necessary to publish in English in my area | 4 | 2.29 |
| 4. Other (Please explain: | 19 | 10.86 |

Table 5. Reasons for preference to write in English

| ITEM 16 | Freq | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. The paper will be read more widely in the world that way | 125 | 71.43 |
| 2. There are not that many academic journals in the national language <br> in which I can publish | 55 | 31.43 |
| 3. People that write in English are more highly considered even within <br> the country | 35 | 20.00 |
| 4. Other (Please explain: | 67 | 38.29 |

## 5. Implications

Unlike the situation that occurs in some western countries, bilingual education in Malaysia is not the needs of minority students but the massive population of student. English is the second language of almost all of the students while their first language maybe Malay, Mandarin or Tamil. How can instructors meet the language development needs of these students while at the same time providing all of them the opportunity to fully develop their science and mathematics knowledge at the requirement level? There is no immediate, absolute or universal answer. All university programs have to be adapted according to the local context.

Students need access to multicultural environment, appropriate use of first language and use effective English method of content instruction as well as opportunity to show their competence in a variety of ways. In an active integrated learning environment, the development of language proficiency, thinking skills and science and mathematics knowledge are all intertwined. In the beginning, the use of instruction time for mathematics might be insufficient because of the emphasis of language development. However one can imagine a range of instructional emphasis as in Figure 1.


Figure 2. A continuum of instructional emphasis
Situations arise in which end of the continuum maybe appropriate for an instructional emphasis in bilingualism education. And as a continuum, there is not always a clear demarcation between the language focus and the content focus.
The concurrent approaches, the switching back and forth in one lesson between two languages are the most common approach. Concurrent approach is actually a skill that needs to be developed. The rationale for the skilled use of concurrent approach is to make the lesson comprehensible to all students and is accessible in an intellectually challenging ways. However, because of the primacy of language as a vehicle for cognitive and academic development, how it is used in the bilingual classroom can have a significant impact on the question of the learning environment. The following is a summary of recommendations brought forth from this study:

1) Implementation of bilingualism should be grounded in the context of socio cultural, economic and ideological realities.
2) Instead of focusing on deficiencies, instruction should build on the background and interests that student brings to classroom.
3) Bilingualism instruction has to provide a balance and integrated environment in developing thinking skills, science and mathematics knowledge as well as language proficiencies.
4) Concurrent approach or a skilled use of both languages may become a part of teaching process in science and mathematics instruction.

## 6. Challenges

This initial study emphasized that the implementation of bilingualism in science and mathematics raise several dilemmas and issues. The instructors need to cope in situations where they will not have full control of their situation. Intake of students to public university who are low proficiency in English compelled instructors to switch back and forth from their mother tongue to English. Instead of being frown upon, this practice could create opportunities for bilingual learners to flourish. There is a need to shift to other socio cultural perspective in countering the obstacles of bilingualism in science and mathematics learning. A socio cultural perspective shift away from deficiency models of bilingual learner and instead focuses on describing the resources bilingual students use to communicate mathematically (Moschkovich). By refusing to shift to socio cultural perspective, may result in designing instructional policy that neglects the experiences and competencies of student that they bring to science and mathematics classroom. If all we see are students, who don't speak English, mispronounce English words, incapable to discuss in English, instruction will focus on this deficiencies. If, instead, we learn to recognize the science and mathematical ideas this student express in spite of their accents, code-switching, or missing vocabulary, then instruction can build on students' competencies and resources. How to implement socio cultural perspective in order to better understand the processes underlying bilingualism in learning science and mathematics needs further exploration.

## 7. Conclusion

Understanding the complexity of bilingual science and mathematics education and developing a framework for bilingual education in science and mathematics instruction is a pressing practical issue in Malaysia. When the government mandated the policy of using English in teaching science and mathematics, there followed an unquestioned aggressiveness on the part of some administrator of schools and higher education institutions in implementing the rule. An increasing number of students who enter public university will have to face the dilemma of learning science and mathematics and other related courses entirely in English. It is crucial for teachers and educators to make an informed decision and performed an appropriate role in supporting bilingualism in a changing classroom setting.
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